



NICTA Advanced Course

Theorem Proving
Principles, Techniques, Applications

HOL

CONTENT

→ Intro & motivation, getting started with Isabelle

→ **Foundations & Principles**

- Lambda Calculus
- **Higher Order Logic, natural deduction**
- Term rewriting

→ Proof & Specification Techniques

- Datatypes, recursion, induction
- Inductively defined sets, rule induction
- Calculational reasoning, mathematics style proofs
- Hoare logic, proofs about programs

LAST TIME ON HOL

→ Proof rules for propositional and predicate logic

LAST TIME ON HOL

- Proof rules for propositional and predicate logic
- Safe and unsafe rules

LAST TIME ON HOL

- Proof rules for propositional and predicate logic
- Safe and unsafe rules
- Forward Proof

LAST TIME ON HOL

- Proof rules for propositional and predicate logic
- Safe and unsafe rules
- Forward Proof
- The Epsilon Operator

LAST TIME ON HOL

- Proof rules for propositional and predicate logic
- Safe and unsafe rules
- Forward Proof
- The Epsilon Operator
- Some automation

DEFINING HIGHER ORDER LOGIC

WHAT IS HIGHER ORDER LOGIC?

→ Propositional Logic:

- no quantifiers
- all variables have type bool

WHAT IS HIGHER ORDER LOGIC?

→ Propositional Logic:

- no quantifiers
- all variables have type bool

→ First Order Logic:

- quantification over values, but not over functions and predicates,
- terms and formulas syntactically distinct

WHAT IS HIGHER ORDER LOGIC?

→ Propositional Logic:

- no quantifiers
- all variables have type bool

→ First Order Logic:

- quantification over values, but not over functions and predicates,
- terms and formulas syntactically distinct

→ Higher Order Logic:

- quantification over everything, including predicates
- consistency by types
- formula = term of type bool
- definition built on λ^{\rightarrow} with certain default types and constants

DEFINING HIGHER ORDER LOGIC

Default types:

`bool`

DEFINING HIGHER ORDER LOGIC

Default types:

bool - \Rightarrow -

DEFINING HIGHER ORDER LOGIC

Default types:

bool - \Rightarrow - ind

DEFINING HIGHER ORDER LOGIC

Default types:

bool $- \Rightarrow -$ **ind**

→ **bool** sometimes called *o*

→ \Rightarrow sometimes called *fun*

DEFINING HIGHER ORDER LOGIC

Default types:

`bool` `- ⇒ -` `ind`

→ `bool` sometimes called *o*

→ `⇒` sometimes called *fun*

Default Constants:

DEFINING HIGHER ORDER LOGIC

Default types:

bool $- \Rightarrow -$ **ind**

→ **bool** sometimes called *o*

→ \Rightarrow sometimes called *fun*

Default Constants:

\longrightarrow $::$ *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool*

DEFINING HIGHER ORDER LOGIC

Default types:

`bool` `- => -` `ind`

→ `bool` sometimes called *o*

→ `=>` sometimes called *fun*

Default Constants:

`→` `::` *bool => bool => bool*

`=` `::` *α => α => bool*

DEFINING HIGHER ORDER LOGIC

Default types:

bool $_ \Rightarrow _$ **ind**

→ **bool** sometimes called *o*

→ \Rightarrow sometimes called *fun*

Default Constants:

\longrightarrow :: $bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool$

$=$:: $\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow bool$

ϵ :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow \alpha$

HIGHER ORDER ABSTRACT SYNTAX

Problem: Define syntax for binders like \forall , \exists , ε

HIGHER ORDER ABSTRACT SYNTAX

Problem: Define syntax for binders like \forall , \exists , ε

One approach: $\forall :: var \Rightarrow term \Rightarrow bool$

Drawback: need to think about substitution, α conversion again.

HIGHER ORDER ABSTRACT SYNTAX

Problem: Define syntax for binders like $\forall, \exists, \varepsilon$

One approach: $\forall :: var \Rightarrow term \Rightarrow bool$

Drawback: need to think about substitution, α conversion again.

But: Already have binder, substitution, α conversion in meta logic

λ

HIGHER ORDER ABSTRACT SYNTAX

Problem: Define syntax for binders like $\forall, \exists, \varepsilon$

One approach: $\forall :: var \Rightarrow term \Rightarrow bool$

Drawback: need to think about substitution, α conversion again.

But: Already have binder, substitution, α conversion in meta logic

λ

So: Use λ to encode all other binders.

HIGHER ORDER ABSTRACT SYNTAX

Example:

$ALL :: (\alpha \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$

HOAS

usual syntax

HIGHER ORDER ABSTRACT SYNTAX

Example:

$ALL :: (\alpha \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$

HOAS

usual syntax

$ALL (\lambda x. x = 2)$

HIGHER ORDER ABSTRACT SYNTAX

Example:

$ALL :: (\alpha \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$

HOAS

usual syntax

$ALL (\lambda x. x = 2)$

$\forall x. x = 2$

HIGHER ORDER ABSTRACT SYNTAX

Example:

$ALL :: (\alpha \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$

HOAS

usual syntax

$ALL (\lambda x. x = 2)$

$\forall x. x = 2$

$ALL P$

HIGHER ORDER ABSTRACT SYNTAX

Example:

$ALL :: (\alpha \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$

HOAS

usual syntax

$ALL (\lambda x. x = 2)$

$\forall x. x = 2$

$ALL P$

$\forall x. P x$

HIGHER ORDER ABSTRACT SYNTAX

Example:

$ALL :: (\alpha \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$

HOAS

usual syntax

$ALL (\lambda x. x = 2)$

$\forall x. x = 2$

$ALL P$

$\forall x. P x$

Isabelle can translate usual binder syntax into HOAS.

SIDE TRACK: SYNTAX DECLARATIONS IN ISABELLE

→ **mixfix:**

consts `drvbl` :: *ct* ⇒ *ct* ⇒ *fm* ⇒ *bool* ("_, _ ⊢ _")

Legal syntax now: $\Gamma, \Pi \vdash F$

→ **priorities:**

pattern can be annotated with priorities to indicate binding strength

Example: `drvbl` :: *ct* ⇒ *ct* ⇒ *fm* ⇒ *bool* ("_, _ ⊢ _" [30, 0, 20] 60)

SIDE TRACK: SYNTAX DECLARATIONS IN ISABELLE

→ **mixfix:**

consts `drvbl` :: *ct* ⇒ *ct* ⇒ *fm* ⇒ *bool* ("`_`", "`_`" ⊢ "`_`")

Legal syntax now: $\Gamma, \Pi \vdash F$

→ **priorities:**

pattern can be annotated with priorities to indicate binding strength

Example: `drvbl` :: *ct* ⇒ *ct* ⇒ *fm* ⇒ *bool* ("`_`", "`_`" ⊢ "`_`" [30, 0, 20] 60)

→ **infixl/infixr:** short form for left/right associative binary operators

Example: `or` :: *bool* ⇒ *bool* ⇒ *bool* (infixr "`∨`" 30)

SIDE TRACK: SYNTAX DECLARATIONS IN ISABELLE

→ **mixfix:**

consts $\text{drvbl} :: ct \Rightarrow ct \Rightarrow fm \Rightarrow bool$ ("_, _ \vdash _")

Legal syntax now: $\Gamma, \Pi \vdash F$

→ **priorities:**

pattern can be annotated with priorities to indicate binding strength

Example: $\text{drvbl} :: ct \Rightarrow ct \Rightarrow fm \Rightarrow bool$ ("_, _ \vdash _" [30, 0, 20] 60)

→ **infixl/infixr:** short form for left/right associative binary operators

Example: $\text{or} :: bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool$ (infixr " \vee " 30)

→ **binders:** declaration must be of the form

$c :: (\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2) \Rightarrow \tau_3$ (binder " B " $\langle p \rangle$)

$B x. P x$ translated into $c P$ (and vice versa)

Example $\text{ALL} :: (\alpha \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ (binder " \forall " 10)

SIDE TRACK: SYNTAX DECLARATIONS IN ISABELLE

→ **mixfix:**

consts `drvbl :: ct ⇒ ct ⇒ fm ⇒ bool ("_, _ ⊢ _")`

Legal syntax now: $\Gamma, \Pi \vdash F$

→ **priorities:**

pattern can be annotated with priorities to indicate binding strength

Example: `drvbl :: ct ⇒ ct ⇒ fm ⇒ bool ("_, _ ⊢ _" [30, 0, 20] 60)`

→ **infixl/infixr:** short form for left/right associative binary operators

Example: `or :: bool ⇒ bool ⇒ bool (infixr " ∨ " 30)`

→ **binders:** declaration must be of the form

`c :: (τ1 ⇒ τ2) ⇒ τ3 (binder "B" < p >)`

`B x. P x` translated into `c P` (and vice versa)

Example `ALL :: (α ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool (binder "∀" 10)`

More (including pretty printing) in Isabelle Reference Manual (7.3)

BACK TO HOL

Base: $bool, \Rightarrow, ind$ $=, \longrightarrow, \varepsilon$

And the rest is

BACK TO HOL

Base: $bool, \Rightarrow, ind \quad =, \longrightarrow, \varepsilon$

And the rest is definitions:

$\text{True} \equiv (\lambda x :: bool. x) = (\lambda x. x)$

$\text{All } P \equiv P = (\lambda x. \text{True})$

$\text{Ex } P \equiv \forall Q. (\forall x. P x \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow Q$

$\text{False} \equiv \forall P. P$

$\neg P \equiv P \longrightarrow \text{False}$

$P \wedge Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

$P \vee Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow (Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

$\text{If } P x y \equiv \text{SOME } z. (P = \text{True} \longrightarrow z = x) \wedge (P = \text{False} \longrightarrow z = y)$

$\text{inj } f \equiv \forall x y. f x = f y \longrightarrow x = y$

$\text{surj } f \equiv \forall y. \exists x. y = f x$

THE AXIOMS OF HOL

$$\frac{}{t = t} \text{ refl} \quad \frac{s = t \quad P s}{P t} \text{ subst} \quad \frac{\bigwedge x. f x = g x}{(\lambda x. f x) = (\lambda x. g x)} \text{ ext}$$

THE AXIOMS OF HOL

$$\frac{}{t = t} \text{ refl} \quad \frac{s = t \quad P \ s}{P \ t} \text{ subst} \quad \frac{\bigwedge x. f \ x = g \ x}{(\lambda x. f \ x) = (\lambda x. g \ x)} \text{ ext}$$
$$\frac{P \implies Q}{P \ \longrightarrow \ Q} \text{ impl} \quad \frac{P \ \longrightarrow \ Q \quad P}{Q} \text{ mp}$$

THE AXIOMS OF HOL

$$\frac{}{t = t} \text{ refl} \quad \frac{s = t \quad P s}{P t} \text{ subst} \quad \frac{\bigwedge x. f x = g x}{(\lambda x. f x) = (\lambda x. g x)} \text{ ext}$$

$$\frac{P \implies Q}{P \longrightarrow Q} \text{ impl} \quad \frac{P \longrightarrow Q \quad P}{Q} \text{ mp}$$

$$\frac{}{(P \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow (Q \longrightarrow P) \longrightarrow (P = Q)} \text{ iff}$$

THE AXIOMS OF HOL

$$\frac{}{t = t} \text{ refl} \quad \frac{s = t \quad P s}{P t} \text{ subst} \quad \frac{\bigwedge x. f x = g x}{(\lambda x. f x) = (\lambda x. g x)} \text{ ext}$$

$$\frac{P \implies Q}{P \longrightarrow Q} \text{ impl} \quad \frac{P \longrightarrow Q \quad P}{Q} \text{ mp}$$

$$\frac{}{(P \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow (Q \longrightarrow P) \longrightarrow (P = Q)} \text{ iff}$$

$$\frac{}{P = \text{True} \vee P = \text{False}} \text{ True_or_False}$$

THE AXIOMS OF HOL

$$\frac{}{t = t} \text{ refl} \quad \frac{s = t \quad P s}{P t} \text{ subst} \quad \frac{\bigwedge x. f x = g x}{(\lambda x. f x) = (\lambda x. g x)} \text{ ext}$$

$$\frac{P \implies Q}{P \longrightarrow Q} \text{ impl} \quad \frac{P \longrightarrow Q \quad P}{Q} \text{ mp}$$

$$\frac{}{(P \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow (Q \longrightarrow P) \longrightarrow (P = Q)} \text{ iff}$$

$$\frac{}{P = \text{True} \vee P = \text{False}} \text{ True_or_False}$$

$$\frac{P ?x}{P (\text{SOME } x. P x)} \text{ someI}$$

THE AXIOMS OF HOL

$$\frac{}{t = t} \text{ refl} \quad \frac{s = t \quad P s}{P t} \text{ subst} \quad \frac{\bigwedge x. f x = g x}{(\lambda x. f x) = (\lambda x. g x)} \text{ ext}$$

$$\frac{P \implies Q}{P \longrightarrow Q} \text{ impl} \quad \frac{P \longrightarrow Q \quad P}{Q} \text{ mp}$$

$$\frac{}{(P \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow (Q \longrightarrow P) \longrightarrow (P = Q)} \text{ iff}$$

$$\frac{}{P = \text{True} \vee P = \text{False}} \text{ True_or_False}$$

$$\frac{P ?x}{P (\text{SOME } x. P x)} \text{ some1}$$

$$\frac{}{\exists f :: \text{ind} \Rightarrow \text{ind. inj } f \wedge \neg \text{surj } f} \text{ infty}$$

THAT'S IT.

- 3 basic constants
- 3 basic types
- 9 axioms

THAT'S IT.

→ 3 basic constants

→ 3 basic types

→ 9 axioms

With this you can define and derive all the rest.

THAT'S IT.

→ 3 basic constants

→ 3 basic types

→ 9 axioms

With this you can define and derive all the rest.

Isabelle knows 2 more axioms:

$$\frac{x = y}{x \equiv y} \text{ eq_reflection} \qquad \frac{}{(\text{THE } x. x = a) = a} \text{ the_eq_trivial}$$

DEMO: THE DEFINITIONS IN ISABELLE

DERIVING PROOF RULES

In the following, we will

DERIVING PROOF RULES

In the following, we will

→ look at the definitions in more detail

DERIVING PROOF RULES

In the following, we will

- look at the definitions in more detail
- derive the traditional proof rules from the axioms in Isabelle

DERIVING PROOF RULES

In the following, we will

- look at the definitions in more detail
- derive the traditional proof rules from the axioms in Isabelle

Convenient for deriving rules: **named assumptions in lemmas**

```
lemma [name :]  
assumes [name1 :] "< prop >1"  
assumes [name2 :] "< prop >2"  
:  
shows " < prop > " < proof >
```

DERIVING PROOF RULES

In the following, we will

- look at the definitions in more detail
- derive the traditional proof rules from the axioms in Isabelle

Convenient for deriving rules: **named assumptions in lemmas**

```
lemma [name :]  
assumes [name1 :] "< prop >1"  
assumes [name2 :] "< prop >2"  
:  
shows "< prop >" < proof >
```

```
proves: [ [ < prop >1; < prop >2; ... ]  $\implies$  < prop >
```

TRUE

consts True :: *bool*

True $\equiv (\lambda x :: \text{bool}. x) = (\lambda x. x)$

Intuition:

right hand side is always true

TRUE

consts True :: *bool*

True $\equiv (\lambda x :: \text{bool}. x) = (\lambda x. x)$

Intuition:

right hand side is always true

Proof Rules:

$\overline{\text{True}}$ TrueI

Proof:

$$\frac{\overline{(\lambda x :: \text{bool}. x) = (\lambda x. x)}}{\text{True}} \begin{array}{l} \text{refl} \\ \text{unfold True_def} \end{array}$$

DEMO

UNIVERSIAL QUANTIFIER

consts ALL :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

ALL $P \equiv P = (\lambda x. \text{True})$

UNIVERSIAL QUANTIFIER

consts ALL :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

ALL $P \equiv P = (\lambda x. \text{True})$

Intuition:

→ ALL P is Higher Order Abstract Syntax for $\forall x. P x$.

UNIVERSIAL QUANTIFIER

consts ALL :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

ALL $P \equiv P = (\lambda x. \text{True})$

Intuition:

- ALL P is Higher Order Abstract Syntax for $\forall x. P x$.
- P is a function that takes an x and yields a truth values.

UNIVERSIAL QUANTIFIER

consts ALL :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

ALL $P \equiv P = (\lambda x. \text{True})$

Intuition:

- ALL P is Higher Order Abstract Syntax for $\forall x. P x$.
- P is a function that takes an x and yields a truth values.
- ALL P should be true iff P yields true for all x , i.e.
if it is equivalent to the function $\lambda x. \text{True}$.

UNIVERSIAL QUANTIFIER

consts ALL :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

ALL $P \equiv P = (\lambda x. \text{True})$

Intuition:

- ALL P is Higher Order Abstract Syntax for $\forall x. P x$.
- P is a function that takes an x and yields a truth values.
- ALL P should be true iff P yields true for all x , i.e.
if it is equivalent to the function $\lambda x. \text{True}$.

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{\bigwedge x. P x}{\forall x. P x} \text{allI} \qquad \frac{\forall x. P x \quad P ?x \Longrightarrow R}{R} \text{allE}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

FALSE

consts False :: *bool*

False $\equiv \forall P.P$

FALSE

consts False :: *bool*

False $\equiv \forall P.P$

Intuition:

Everything can be derived from *False*.

FALSE

consts False :: *bool*

False $\equiv \forall P.P$

Intuition:

Everything can be derived from *False*.

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{\text{False}}{P} \text{ FalseE} \quad \frac{}{\text{True} \neq \text{False}}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

NEGATION

consts Not :: *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (\neg _)

$\neg P \equiv P \longrightarrow \text{False}$

NEGATION

consts Not :: *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (\neg _)

$\neg P \equiv P \longrightarrow \text{False}$

Intuition:

Try $P = \text{True}$ and $P = \text{False}$ and the traditional truth table for \longrightarrow .

NEGATION

consts Not :: *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (\neg _)

$\neg P \equiv P \longrightarrow \text{False}$

Intuition:

Try $P = \text{True}$ and $P = \text{False}$ and the traditional truth table for \longrightarrow .

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{A \Longrightarrow \text{False}}{\neg A} \text{ notI} \qquad \frac{\neg A \quad A}{P} \text{ notE}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

consts EX :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

EX $P \equiv \forall Q. (\forall x. P\ x \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow Q$

EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

consts EX :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

EX $P \equiv \forall Q. (\forall x. P\ x \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow Q$

Intuition:

→ EX P is HOAS for $\exists x. P\ x$. (like \forall)

EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

consts EX :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

EX $P \equiv \forall Q. (\forall x. P\ x \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow Q$

Intuition:

- EX P is HOAS for $\exists x. P\ x$. (like \forall)
- Right hand side is characterization of \exists with \forall and \longrightarrow

EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

consts EX :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

EX $P \equiv \forall Q. (\forall x. P\ x \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow Q$

Intuition:

- EX P is HOAS for $\exists x. P\ x$. (like \forall)
- Right hand side is characterization of \exists with \forall and \longrightarrow
- Note that inner \forall binds wide: $(\forall x. P\ x \longrightarrow Q)$

EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

consts EX :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

EX $P \equiv \forall Q. (\forall x. P\ x \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow Q$

Intuition:

- EX P is HOAS for $\exists x. P\ x$. (like \forall)
- Right hand side is characterization of \exists with \forall and \longrightarrow
- Note that inner \forall binds wide: $(\forall x. P\ x \longrightarrow Q)$
- Remember lemma from last time:

$$(\forall x. P\ x \longrightarrow Q) = ((\exists x. P\ x) \longrightarrow Q)$$

EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

consts EX :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

EX $P \equiv \forall Q. (\forall x. P\ x \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow Q$

Intuition:

- EX P is HOAS for $\exists x. P\ x$. (like \forall)
- Right hand side is characterization of \exists with \forall and \longrightarrow
- Note that inner \forall binds wide: $(\forall x. P\ x \longrightarrow Q)$
- Remember lemma from last time:

$$(\forall x. P\ x \longrightarrow Q) = ((\exists x. P\ x) \longrightarrow Q)$$

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{P\ ?x}{\exists x. P\ x} \text{ exI} \qquad \frac{\exists x. P\ x \quad \bigwedge x. P\ x \Longrightarrow R}{R} \text{ exE}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

CONJUNCTION

consts And :: *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (*-* \wedge *-*)

$P \wedge Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

CONJUNCTION

consts And :: *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (*-* \wedge *-*)

$P \wedge Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

Intuition:

→ Mirrors proof rules for \wedge

CONJUNCTION

consts And :: *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (*-* \wedge *-*)

$P \wedge Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

Intuition:

- Mirrors proof rules for \wedge
- Try truth table for P , Q , and R

CONJUNCTION

consts And :: *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (*-* \wedge *-*)

$P \wedge Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

Intuition:

- Mirrors proof rules for \wedge
- Try truth table for P , Q , and R

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} \text{ conjI} \qquad \frac{A \wedge B \quad [[A; B]] \Longrightarrow C}{C} \text{ conjE}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

DISJUNCTION

consts Or :: *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (*_* \vee *_*)

$P \vee Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow (Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

DISJUNCTION

consts Or :: *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (*_* \vee *_*)

$P \vee Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow (Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

Intuition:

→ Mirrors proof rules for \vee (case distinction)

DISJUNCTION

consts Or :: *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (*_* \vee *_*)

$P \vee Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow (Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

Intuition:

- Mirrors proof rules for \vee (case distinction)
- Try truth table for P , Q , and R

DISJUNCTION

consts Or :: *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (*_* \vee *_*)

$P \vee Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow (Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

Intuition:

- Mirrors proof rules for \vee (case distinction)
- Try truth table for P , Q , and R

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{A}{A \vee B} \quad \frac{B}{A \vee B} \quad \text{disjI1/2} \qquad \frac{A \vee B \quad A \Longrightarrow C \quad B \Longrightarrow C}{C} \quad \text{disjE}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

IF-THEN-ELSE

consts If :: $bool \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$ (if_ then _ else _)

If $P x y \equiv \text{SOME } z. (P = \text{True} \longrightarrow z = x) \wedge (P = \text{False} \longrightarrow z = y)$

IF-THEN-ELSE

consts If :: $bool \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$ (if_ then _ else _)

If $P\ x\ y \equiv \text{SOME } z. (P = \text{True} \longrightarrow z = x) \wedge (P = \text{False} \longrightarrow z = y)$

Intuition:

→ for $P = \text{True}$, right hand side collapses to $\text{SOME } z. z = x$

IF-THEN-ELSE

consts If :: $bool \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$ (if_ then _ else _)

If $P\ x\ y \equiv \text{SOME } z. (P = \text{True} \longrightarrow z = x) \wedge (P = \text{False} \longrightarrow z = y)$

Intuition:

- for $P = \text{True}$, right hand side collapses to $\text{SOME } z. z = x$
- for $P = \text{False}$, right hand side collapses to $\text{SOME } z. z = y$

IF-THEN-ELSE

consts $\text{If} :: \text{bool} \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$ (if_then_else_)

$\text{If } P \ x \ y \equiv \text{SOME } z. (P = \text{True} \longrightarrow z = x) \wedge (P = \text{False} \longrightarrow z = y)$

Intuition:

- for $P = \text{True}$, right hand side collapses to $\text{SOME } z. z = x$
- for $P = \text{False}$, right hand side collapses to $\text{SOME } z. z = y$

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{}{\text{if True then } s \text{ else } t = s} \text{ifTrue} \qquad \frac{}{\text{if False then } s \text{ else } t = t} \text{ifFalse}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

THAT WAS HOL

MORE ON AUTOMATION

Last time: safe and unsafe rule, heuristics: use safe before unsafe

MORE ON AUTOMATION

Last time: safe and unsafe rule, heuristics: use safe before unsafe

This can be automated

MORE ON AUTOMATION

Last time: safe and unsafe rule, heuristics: use safe before unsafe

This can be automated

Syntax:

[<kind>!]

for safe rules (<kind> one of intro, elim, dest)

[<kind>]

for unsafe rules

MORE ON AUTOMATION

Last time: safe and unsafe rule, heuristics: use safe before unsafe

This can be automated

Syntax:

[<kind>!] for safe rules (<kind> one of intro, elim, dest)
[<kind>] for unsafe rules

Application (roughly):

do safe rules first, search/backtrack on unsafe rules only

MORE ON AUTOMATION

Last time: safe and unsafe rule, heuristics: use safe before unsafe

This can be automated

Syntax:

[<kind>!] for safe rules (<kind> one of intro, elim, dest)
[<kind>] for unsafe rules

Application (roughly):

do safe rules first, search/backtrack on unsafe rules only

Example:

declare attribute globally	declare conj1 [intro!] allE [elim]
remove attribute globally	declare allE [rule del]
use locally	apply (blast intro: some1)
delete locally	apply (blast del: conj1)

DEMO: AUTOMATION

WE HAVE LEARNED TODAY ...

→ Defining HOL

WE HAVE LEARNED TODAY ...

- Defining HOL
- Higher Order Abstract Syntax

WE HAVE LEARNED TODAY ...

- Defining HOL
- Higher Order Abstract Syntax
- Deriving proof rules

WE HAVE LEARNED TODAY ...

- Defining HOL
- Higher Order Abstract Syntax
- Deriving proof rules
- More automation

EXERCISES

- derive the classical contradiction rule $(\neg P \implies False) \implies P$ in Isabelle
- define **nor** and **nand** in Isabelle
- show $\text{nor } x\ x = \text{nand } x\ x$
- derive safe intro and elim rules for them
- use these in an automated proof of $\text{nor } x\ x = \text{nand } x\ x$