



NICTA Advanced Course

Theorem Proving
Principles, Techniques, Applications



Slide 1

CONTENT

- Intro & motivation, getting started with Isabelle
- **Foundations & Principles**
 - **Lambda Calculus**
 - **Higher Order Logic, natural deduction**
 - Term rewriting
- **Proof & Specification Techniques**
 - Datatypes, recursion, induction
 - Inductively defined sets, rule induction
 - Computational reasoning, mathematics style proofs
 - Hoare logic, proofs about programs

Slide 2

λ CALCULUS IS INCONSISTENT

From last lecture:

Can find term R such that $R R \Rightarrow_{\beta} \text{not}(R R)$

There are more terms that do not make sense:

12 , `true false`, etc.

Slide 3

Solution: rule out ill-formed terms by using types.
(Church 1940)

INTRODUCING TYPES

Idea: assign a type to each "sensible" λ term.

Examples:

→ for term t has type α write $t :: \alpha$

→ if x has type α then $\lambda x. x$ is a function from α to α
Write: $(\lambda x. x) :: \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$

→ for $s t$ to be sensible:
 s must be function
 t must be right type for parameter

If $s :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ and $t :: \alpha$ then $(s t) :: \beta$

Slide 4

Slide 5

THAT'S ABOUT IT

Slide 6

NOW FORMALLY, AGAIN

SYNTAX FOR λ^{\rightarrow}

Terms: $t ::= v \mid c \mid (t t) \mid (\lambda x. t)$
 $v, x \in V, \quad c \in C, \quad V, C$ sets of names

Types: $\tau ::= \mathfrak{b} \mid \nu \mid \tau \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\mathfrak{b} \in \{\text{bool}, \text{int}, \dots\}$ base types
 $\nu \in \{\alpha, \beta, \dots\}$ type variables

$\alpha \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \gamma = \alpha \Rightarrow (\beta \Rightarrow \gamma)$

Contexts Γ :

Γ : function from variable and constant names to types.

Term t has type τ in context Γ : $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$

EXAMPLES

$\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x) :: \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$

$[y \leftarrow \text{int}] \vdash y :: \text{int}$

$[z \leftarrow \text{bool}] \vdash (\lambda y. y) z :: \text{bool}$

$[] \vdash \lambda f x. f x :: (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$

A term t is **well typed** or **type correct**
if there are Γ and τ such that $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$

Slide 7

Slide 8

TYPE CHECKING RULES

Variables: $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x :: \Gamma(x)}$

Application: $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 :: \tau_2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 :: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1 t_2) :: \tau_1}$

Abstraction: $\frac{\Gamma[x \leftarrow \tau_1] \vdash t :: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. t) :: \tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2}$

Slide 9

EXAMPLE TYPE DERIVATION:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{[x \leftarrow \alpha, y \leftarrow \beta] \vdash x :: \alpha}}{[x \leftarrow \alpha] \vdash \lambda y. x :: \beta \Rightarrow \alpha}}{\Box \vdash \lambda x y. x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \alpha}}$$

Slide 10

MORE COMPLEX EXAMPLE

5

MORE COMPLEX EXAMPLE

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash f :: \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x :: \alpha}}{\Gamma \vdash f x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x :: \alpha}}{\Gamma \vdash f x x :: \beta}}{[f \leftarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta] \vdash \lambda x. f x x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta}}{\Box \vdash \lambda f x. f x x :: (\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta}$$

Slide 11

$$\Gamma = [f \leftarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta, x \leftarrow \alpha]$$

MORE GENERAL TYPES

A term can have more than one type.

Example: $\Box \vdash \lambda x. x :: \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $\Box \vdash \lambda x. x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$

Slide 12

Some types are more general than others:

$$\tau \lesssim \sigma \text{ if there is a substitution } S \text{ such that } \tau = S(\sigma)$$

Examples:

$$\text{int} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \lesssim \alpha \Rightarrow \beta \lesssim \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \not\lesssim \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$$

MOST GENERAL TYPES

6

MOST GENERAL TYPES

Fact: each type correct term has a most general type

Formally:

$$\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau \implies \exists \sigma. \Gamma \vdash t :: \sigma \wedge (\forall \sigma'. \Gamma \vdash t :: \sigma' \implies \sigma' \lesssim \sigma)$$

It can be found by executing the typing rules backwards.

Slide 13

→ **type checking:** checking if $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$ for given Γ and τ

→ **type inference:** computing Γ and τ such that $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$

Type checking and type inference on λ^\rightarrow are decidable.

WHAT ABOUT β REDUCTION?

Definition of β reduction stays the same.

Fact: Well typed terms stay well typed during β reduction

Slide 14

Formally: $\Gamma \vdash s :: \tau \wedge s \longrightarrow_\beta t \implies \Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$

This property is called **subject reduction**

WHAT ABOUT TERMINATION?

β reduction in λ^\rightarrow always terminates.



(Alan Turing, 1942)

Slide 15

→ **$=_\beta$ is decidable**

To decide if $s =_\beta t$, reduce s and t to normal form (always exists, because \longrightarrow_β terminates), and compare result.

→ **$=_{\alpha\beta\eta}$ is decidable**

This is why Isabelle can automatically reduce each term to $\beta\eta$ normal form.

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR EXPRESSIVENESS?

Not all computable functions can be expressed in λ^\rightarrow !

How can typed functional languages then be Turing complete?

Slide 16

Fact:

Each computable function can be encoded as closed, type correct λ^\rightarrow term using $Y :: (\tau \Rightarrow \tau) \Rightarrow \tau$ with $Y t \longrightarrow_\beta t (Y t)$ as only constant.

→ Y is called fix point operator

→ used for recursion

TYPES AND TERMS IN ISABELLE

Types: $\tau ::= b \mid 'v \mid 'v :: C \mid \tau \Rightarrow \tau \mid (\tau, \dots, \tau) K$
 $b \in \{\text{bool}, \text{int}, \dots\}$ base types
 $v \in \{\alpha, \beta, \dots\}$ type variables
 $K \in \{\text{set}, \text{list}, \dots\}$ type constructors
 $C \in \{\text{order}, \text{linord}, \dots\}$ type classes

Slide 17 Terms: $t ::= v \mid c \mid ?v \mid (tt) \mid (\lambda x. t)$
 $v, x \in V, \quad c \in C, \quad V, C$ sets of names

- **type constructors:** construct a new type out of a parameter type.
Example: `int list`
- **type classes:** restrict type variables to a class defined by axioms.
Example: `$\alpha :: \text{order}$`
- **schematic variables:** variables that can be instantiated.

TYPE CLASSES

- similar to Haskell's type classes, but with semantic properties
- ```

axclass order < ord
 order_ref: " $x \leq x$ "
 order_trans: " $[x \leq y; y \leq z] \implies x \leq z$ "
 ...

```

**Slide 18** → theorems can be proved in the abstract

```

lemma order_less_trans: " $\bigwedge x :: 'a :: \text{order}. [x < y; y < z] \implies x < z$ "

```

- can be used for subtyping

```

axclass linorder < order
 linorder_linear: " $x \leq y \vee y \leq x$ "

```

- can be instantiated

```

instance nat :: "{order, linorder}" by ...

```

## SCHEMATIC VARIABLES

$$\frac{X \quad Y}{X \wedge Y}$$

→  $X$  and  $Y$  must be **instantiated** to apply the rule

**But:** **lemma** " $x + 0 = 0 + x$ "

- $x$  is free
- convention: lemma must be true for all  $x$
- **during the proof**,  $x$  must **not** be instantiated

**Slide 19**

**Solution:**

Isabelle has **free** ( $x$ ), **bound** ( $x$ ), and **schematic** ( $?X$ ) variables.

**Only schematic variables can be instantiated.**

Free converted into schematic after proof is finished.

## HIGHER ORDER UNIFICATION

**Unification:**

Find substitution  $\sigma$  on variables for terms  $s, t$  such that  $\sigma(s) = \sigma(t)$

**In Isabelle:**

Find substitution  $\sigma$  on schematic variables such that  $\sigma(s) =_{\alpha, \beta, \eta} \sigma(t)$

**Slide 20**

**Examples:**

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 ?X \wedge ?Y & =_{\alpha, \beta, \eta} & x \wedge x \quad [?X \leftarrow x, ?Y \leftarrow x] \\
 ?P \ x & =_{\alpha, \beta, \eta} & x \wedge x \quad [?P \leftarrow \lambda x. x \wedge x] \\
 P \ (?f \ x) & =_{\alpha, \beta, \eta} & ?Y \ x \quad [?f \leftarrow \lambda x. x, ?Y \leftarrow P]
 \end{array}$$

**Higher Order:** schematic variables can be functions.

---

## HIGHER ORDER UNIFICATION

- Unification modulo  $\alpha\beta$  (Higher Order Unification) is semi-decidable
- Unification modulo  $\alpha\beta\eta$  is undecidable
- Higher Order Unification has possibly infinitely many solutions

Slide 21

**But:**

- Most cases are well-behaved
- Important fragments (like Higher Order Patterns) are decidable

**Higher Order Pattern:**

- is a term in  $\beta$  normal form where
  - each occurrence of a schematic variable is of the form  $?f t_1 \dots t_n$
  - and the  $t_1 \dots t_n$  are  $\eta$ -convertible into  $n$  distinct bound variables
- 

---

## WE HAVE LEARNED SO FAR...

- Simply typed lambda calculus:  $\lambda^\neg$
  - Typing rules for  $\lambda^\neg$ , type variables, type contexts
  - $\beta$ -reduction in  $\lambda^\neg$  satisfies subject reduction
  - $\beta$ -reduction in  $\lambda^\neg$  always terminates
  - Types and terms in Isabelle
- 

Slide 22

Slide 23

---

## PREVIEW: PROOFS IN ISABELLE

---

## PROOFS IN ISABELLE

**General schema:**

**lemma** name: "<goal>"

**apply** <method>

**apply** <method>

...

**done**

Slide 24

- Sequential application of methods until all **subgoals** are solved.
-

---

## THE PROOF STATE

1.  $\bigwedge x_1 \dots x_p. [A_1; \dots; A_n] \implies B$
2.  $\bigwedge y_1 \dots y_q. [C_1; \dots; C_m] \implies D$

Slide 25

$x_1 \dots x_p$  Parameters  
 $A_1 \dots A_n$  Local assumptions  
 $B$  Actual (sub)goal

---

## ISABELLE THEORIES

### Syntax:

```
theory MyTh = ImpTh1 + ... + ImpThn :
(declarations, definitions, theorems, proofs, ...)*
end
```

Slide 26

- *MyTh*: name of theory. Must live in file *MyTh.thy*
- *ImpTh<sub>i</sub>*: name of *imported* theories. Import transitive.

Unless you need something special:

```
theory MyTh = Main:
```

---

---

## NATURAL DEDUCTION RULES

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} \text{ conjI}$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B \quad [A; B] \implies C}{C} \text{ conjE}$$

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \vee B \quad A \vee B} \text{ disjI1/2}$$

$$\frac{A \vee B \quad A \implies C \quad B \implies C}{C} \text{ disjE}$$

Slide 27

$$\frac{A \implies B}{A \longrightarrow B} \text{ impl}$$

$$\frac{A \longrightarrow B \quad A \quad B \implies C}{C} \text{ impE}$$

For each connective ( $\wedge, \vee$ , etc):  
**introduction** and **elimination** rules

---

## PROOF BY ASSUMPTION

**apply** assumption

proves

$$1. [B_1; \dots; B_m] \implies C$$

Slide 28

by unifying  $C$  with one of the  $B_i$

There may be more than one matching  $B_i$  and multiple unifiers.

**Backtracking!**

Explicit backtracking command: **back**

---

---

## INTRO RULES

**Intro** rules decompose formulae to the right of  $\implies$ .

**apply** (rule <intro-rule>)

Intro rule  $\llbracket A_1; \dots; A_n \rrbracket \implies A$  means

**Slide 29**

→ To prove  $A$  it suffices to show  $A_1 \dots A_n$

Applying rule  $\llbracket A_1; \dots; A_n \rrbracket \implies A$  to subgoal  $C$ :

→ unify  $A$  and  $C$

→ replace  $C$  with  $n$  new subgoals  $A_1 \dots A_n$

---

## ELIM RULES

**Elim** rules decompose formulae on the left of  $\implies$ .

**apply** (erule <elim-rule>)

Elim rule  $\llbracket A_1; \dots; A_n \rrbracket \implies A$  means

**Slide 30**

→ If I know  $A_1$  and want to prove  $A$  it suffices to show  $A_2 \dots A_n$

Applying rule  $\llbracket A_1; \dots; A_n \rrbracket \implies A$  to subgoal  $C$ :

Like **rule** but also

→ unifies first premise of rule with an assumption

→ eliminates that assumption

---

---

## DEMO

**Slide 31**

---

## EXERCISES

→ what are the types of  $\lambda x y. y x$  and  $\lambda x y z. x y (y z)$

→ construct a type derivation tree on paper for  $\lambda x y z. x y (y z)$

→ find a unifier (substitution) such that  $\lambda x y. ?F x = \lambda x y. c (?G y x)$

→ prove  $(A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C) = (A \wedge B \longrightarrow C)$  in Isabelle

**Slide 32**

→ prove  $\neg(A \wedge B) \implies \neg A \vee \neg B$  in Isabelle (tricky!)

---