



NICTA Advanced Course

Slide 1

Theorem Proving
Principles, Techniques, Applications

$$a = b \leq c \leq \dots$$

CONTENT

Slide 2

- Intro & motivation, getting started with Isabelle
- Foundations & Principles
 - Lambda Calculus
 - Higher Order Logic, natural deduction
 - Term rewriting
- **Proof & Specification Techniques**
 - Inductively defined sets, rule induction
 - Datatypes, recursion, induction
 - **More recursion, Computational reasoning**
 - Hoare logic, proofs about programs
 - Locales, Presentation

LAST WEEK

Slide 3

- Constructive Logic & Curry-Howard-Isomorphism
- The Coq System
- The HOL4 system
- Before that: datatypes, recursion, induction

GENERAL RECURSION

The Choice

Slide 4

- Limited expressiveness, automatic termination
 - `primrec`
- High expressiveness, prove termination manually
 - `recdef`

RECDEF — EXAMPLES

```
consts sep :: "'a × 'a list ⇒ 'a list"
recdef sep "measure (λ(a, xs). size xs)"
  "sep (a, x # y # zs) = x # a # sep (a, y # zs)"
  "sep (a, xs) = xs"
```

Slide 5

```
consts ack :: "nat × nat ⇒ nat"
recdef ack "measure (λm. m) <*lex*> measure (λn. n)"
  "ack (0, n) = Suc n"
  "ack (Suc m, 0) = ack (m, 1)"
  "ack (Suc m, Suc n) = ack (m, ack (Suc m, n))"
```

RECDEF

- The definitor:
- one parameter
 - free pattern matching, order of rules important
 - termination relation
(**measure** sufficient for most cases)

Slide 6

- Termination relation:
- must decrease for each recursive call
 - must be well founded
- Generates own induction principle

RECDEF — INDUCTION PRINCIPLE

→ Each **recdef** definition induces an induction principle

→ For each equation:

show that the property holds for the lhs provided it holds for each recursive call on the rhs

Slide 7

→ Example **sep.induct**:

```
[ [ ∧ a. P a [];
  [ ∧ a w. P a [w]
  [ ∧ a x y zs. P a (y#zs) ⇒ P a (x#y#zs);
  ] ] ⇒ P a xs
```

TERMINATION

Isabelle tries to prove termination automatically

- For most functions and termination relations this works.
- Sometimes not ⇒ error message with unsolved subgoal
- You can give **hints** (additional lemmas) to the recdef package:

Slide 8

```
recdef quicksort "measure length"
```

```
quicksort [] = []
```

```
quicksort (x#xs) = quicksort [y ∈ xs.y ≤ x]@[x]@ quicksort [y ∈ xs.x < y]
(hints recdef_simp: less_Suc_eq_je)
```

For exploration:

- allow failing termination proof
- **recdef (permissive)** quicksort "measure length"
- termination conditions as assumption in simp and induct rules

Slide 9

DEMO

HOW DOES RECDEF WORK?

We need: general recursion operator

something like: $rec\ F = F\ (rec\ F)$
(F stands for the recursion equations)

Example:

- recursion equations: $f = 0 \quad f\ (Suc\ n) = f\ n$
- as one λ -term: $f = \lambda n'.\ case\ n'\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow 0 \mid Suc\ n \Rightarrow f\ n$
- functor: $F = \lambda f.\ \lambda n'.\ case\ n'\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow 0 \mid Suc\ n \Rightarrow f\ n$
- $rec :: ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$ like above cannot exist in HOL (only total functions)
- But 'guarded' form possible:
wfrec :: $(\alpha \times \alpha)\ set \Rightarrow ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$
- $(\alpha \times \alpha)$ set a well founded order, decreasing with execution

HOW DOES RECDEF WORK?

Why $rec\ F = F\ (rec\ F)$?

Because we want the recursion equations to hold.

Example:

$F \equiv \lambda g.\ \lambda n'.\ case\ n'\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow 0 \mid Suc\ n \Rightarrow g\ n$

$f \equiv rec\ F$

$f\ 0 = rec\ F\ 0$

$\dots = F\ (rec\ F)\ 0$

$\dots = (\lambda g.\ \lambda n'.\ case\ n'\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow 0 \mid Suc\ n \Rightarrow g\ n)\ (rec\ F)\ 0$

$\dots = (case\ 0\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow 0 \mid Suc\ n \Rightarrow rec\ F\ n)$

$\dots = 0$

Slide 11

WELL FOUNDED ORDERS

Definition

$<_r$ is well founded if well founded induction holds
 $wf\ r \equiv \forall P.\ (\forall x.\ (\forall y <_r x.\ P\ y) \longrightarrow P\ x) \longrightarrow (\forall x.\ P\ x)$

Slide 12

Well founded induction rule:

$$\frac{wf\ r \ \bigwedge x.\ (\forall y <_r x.\ P\ y) \Longrightarrow P\ x}{Pa}$$

Alternative definition (equivalent):

there are no infinite descending chains, or (equivalent):
every nonempty set has a minimal element wrt $<_r$

$\min\ r\ Q\ x \equiv \forall y \in Q.\ y \not<_r\ x$

$wf\ r = (\forall Q \neq \{\}. \exists m \in Q.\ \min\ r\ Q\ m)$

WELL FOUNDED ORDERS: EXAMPLES

- $<$ on \mathbb{N} is well founded
well founded induction = complete induction
- $>$ and \leq on \mathbb{N} are **not** well founded
- $x <_r y = x \text{ dvd } y \wedge x \neq 1$ on \mathbb{N} is well founded
the minimal elements are the prime numbers
- $(a, b) <_r (x, y) = a <_1 x \vee a = x \wedge b <_1 y$ is well founded
if $<_1$ and $<_2$ are
- $A <_r B = A \subset B \wedge \text{finite } B$ is well founded
- \subseteq and \subset in general are **not** well founded

Slide 13

More about well founded relations: *Term Rewriting and All That*

THE RECURSION OPERATOR

Back to recursion: $\text{rec } F = F (\text{rec } F)$ not possible

Idea: have $\text{wfrec } R F$ where R is well founded

Cut:

- only do recursion if parameter decreases wrt R
- otherwise: abort
- arbitrary $:: \alpha$
cut $:: (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha \times \alpha) \text{ set} \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$
cut $G R x \equiv \lambda y. \text{if } (y, x) \in R \text{ then } G y \text{ else arbitrary}$

Slide 14

$$\text{wf } R \implies \text{wfrec } R F x = F (\text{cut } (\text{wfrec } R F) R x) x$$

THE RECURSION OPERATOR

Admissible recursion

- recursive call for x only depends on parameters $y <_R x$
- describes exactly one function if R is well founded

$$\text{adm_wf } R F \equiv \forall f g x. (\forall z. (z, x) \in R \longrightarrow f z = g z) \longrightarrow F f x = F g x$$

Slide 15 **Definition of wf_rec:** again first by induction, then by epsilon

$$\frac{\forall z. (z, x) \in R \longrightarrow (z, g z) \in \text{wfrec_rel } R F}{(x, F g x) \in \text{wfrec_rel } R F}$$

$$\text{wfrec } R F x \equiv \text{THE } y. (x, y) \in \text{wfrec_rel } R (\lambda f x. F (\text{cut } f R x) x)$$

More: John Harrison, *Inductive definitions: automation and application*

Slide 16

DEMO

Slide 17

CALCULATIONAL REASONING

THE GOAL

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \cdot x^{-1} &= 1 \cdot (x \cdot x^{-1}) \\
 \dots &= 1 \cdot x \cdot x^{-1} \\
 \dots &= (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot x \cdot x^{-1} \\
 \dots &= (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot (x^{-1} \cdot x) \cdot x^{-1} \\
 \dots &= (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot 1 \cdot x^{-1} \\
 \dots &= (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot (1 \cdot x^{-1}) \\
 \dots &= (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \\
 \dots &= 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Slide 18

Can we do this in Isabelle?

- Simplifier: too eager
- Manual: difficult in apply stile
- Isar: with the methods we know, too verbose

CHAINS OF EQUATIONS

The Problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= b \\
 \dots &= c \\
 \dots &= d
 \end{aligned}$$

Slide 19

shows $a = d$ by transitivity of =

Each step usually nontrivial (requires own subproof)

Solution in Isar:

- Keywords **also** and **finally** to delimit steps
- ... : predefined schematic term variable, refers to right hand side of last expression
- Automatic use of transitivity rules to connect steps

ALSO/FINALLY

Slide 20

have " $t_0 = t_1$ " [proof]	calculation register
also	" $t_0 = t_1$ "
have " $\dots = t_2$ " [proof]	
also	" $t_0 = t_2$ "
⋮	⋮
also	" $t_0 = t_{n-1}$ "
have " $\dots = t_n$ " [proof]	
finally	$t_0 = t_n$
show P	
— 'fi nally' pipes fact " $t_0 = t_n$ " into the proof	

MORE ABOUT ALSO

→ Works for all combinations of =, ≤ and <.

→ Uses all rules declared as [trans].

Slide 21

→ To view all combinations in Proof General:

Isabelle/Isar → Show me → Transitivity rules

DESIGNING [TRANS] RULES

calculation = " $l_1 \odot r_1$ "

have " $\dots \odot r_2$ " [proof]

also \Leftarrow

Anatomy of a [trans] rule:

→ Usual form: plain transitivity $\llbracket l_1 \odot r_1; r_1 \odot r_2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow l_1 \odot r_2$

→ More general form: $\llbracket P \ l_1 \ r_1; Q \ r_1 \ r_2; A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow C \ l_1 \ r_2$

Slide 22

Examples:

→ pure transitivity: $\llbracket a = b; b = c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a = c$

→ mixed: $\llbracket a \leq b; b < c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a < c$

→ substitution: $\llbracket P \ a; a = b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \ b$

→ antisymmetry: $\llbracket a < b; b < a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P$

→ monotonicity: $\llbracket a = f \ b; b < c; \bigwedge x \ y. x < y \Longrightarrow f \ x < f \ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a < f \ c$

Slide 23

DEMO

WE HAVE SEEN TODAY ...

→ Recdef

→ More induction

→ Well founded orders

→ Well founded recursion

→ Calculations: also/finally

→ [trans]-rules

Slide 24

EXERCISES

- Define a predicate **sorted** over lists
- Show that **sorted (quicksort xs)** holds
- Look at http://isabelle.in.tum.de/library/HOL/Wellfounded_Recursion.html
- Show that in groups, the left-one is also a right-one: $x \cdot 1 = x$
(you can use the `right_inv` lemma from the demo)
- Take an algebra textbook and formalize a simple theorem over groups in Isabelle.

Slide 25