

Figure 3.2

An ER schema diagram for the COMPANY database. The diagrammatic notation is introduced gradually throughout this chapter and is summarized in Figure 3.14.

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	<u>Ssn</u>	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

WORKS_ON

<u>Essn</u>	<u>Pno</u>	Hours
123456789	1	32.5
123456789	2	7.5
666884444	3	40.0
453453453	1	20.0
453453453	2	20.0
333445555	2	10.0
333445555	3	10.0
333445555	10	10.0
333445555	20	10.0
999887777	30	30.0
999887777	10	10.0
987987987	10	35.0
987987987	30	5.0
987654321	30	20.0
987654321	20	15.0
888665555	20	NULL

DEPARTMENT

Dname	<u>Dnumber</u>	Mgr_ssn	Mgr_start_date
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22
Administration	4	987654321	1995-01-01
Headquarters	1	888665555	1981-06-19

DEPT_LOCATIONS

<u>Dnumber</u>	<u>Dlocation</u>
1	Houston
4	Stafford
5	Bellaire
5	Sugarland
5	Houston

PROJECT

Pname	<u>Pnumber</u>	Plocation	Dnum
ProductX	1	Bellaire	5
ProductY	2	Sugarland	5
ProductZ	3	Houston	5
Computerization	10	Stafford	4
Reorganization	20	Houston	1
Newbenefits	30	Stafford	4

DEPENDENT


<u>Essn</u>	<u>Dependent_name</u>	Sex	Bdate	Relationship
333445555	Alice	F	1986-04-05	Daughter
333445555	Theodore	M	1983-10-25	Son
333445555	Joy	F	1958-05-03	Spouse
987654321	Abner	M	1942-02-28	Spouse
123456789	Michael	M	1988-01-04	Son
123456789	Alice	F	1988-12-30	Daughter
123456789	Elizabeth	F	1967-05-05	Spouse

Figure 5.6 (company DB, textbook)


Discuss all integrity constraints violated by:

- a. Insert <'Sophia', 'M', 'Wood', '973442298', '1974-05-21', '23 S Lamar Blvd. Rd, Austin, TX', 'F', 62000, '222445555', 5> into EMPLOYEE.
- b. Insert <'6Sigma', 4, 'Austin', 4> into PROJECT.
- c. Insert <'Information Technology', 2, '987987987', '2007-10-01'> into DEPARTMENT.
- d. Insert <'777624972', 15, '40.0'> into WORKS_ON.
- e. Insert <'888665555', 'John', 'M', null, 'Son'> into DEPENDENT.
- f. Delete the DEPENDENT tuples with Essn = '987654321'.
- g. Delete the DEPARTMENT tuples with Dnumber = 5.
- h. Delete the WORKS_ON tuples with Pnoe = 30.
- i. Modify the Plocation and Dnum of the PROJECT tuples with Dnum = 5 to 'Houston' and 1, respectively.
- j. Modify the Super_ssn attribute of the EMPLOYEE tuple with Ssn = '888665555' to null.
- k. Modify the Pnumber attribute of the PROJECT tuple with Pnumber = 30 to 40


Exercise 1 - Translate the ER to relational form



Exercise 2 - Translate the ER to relational form



Exercise 3: Translate this entity to relational form



Exercise 4: mapping subclasses

Use (a) ER-mapping, (b) OO-mapping, (c) 1-table-mapping

Are there aspects of the ER design that can't be mapped?

