

**Figure 3.2**An ER schema diagram for the COMPANY database. The diagrammatic notation is introduced gradually throughout this chapter and is summarized in Figure 3.14.



#### **EMPLOYEE**

Minit

В

T

S

K

Lname

Smith

Wong

Zelaya

Wallace

Narayan

Fname

John

Franklin

Jennifer

Ramesh

Alicia

Ssn

123456789

333445555

999887777

987654321

666884444

**Bdate** 

Address

1965-01-09 731 Fondren, Houston, TX

1955-12-08 | 638 Voss, Houston, TX

1968-01-19 | 3321 Castle, Spring, TX

1962-09-15 975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX

1941-06-20 291 Berry, Bellaire, TX

Sex

M

Salary

30000

40000

25000

43000

Super\_ssn

333445555

888665555

987654321

888665555

38000 333445555

Dno

5

5

4

4

5

WORKS\_ON

Essn

123456789

123456789

666884444

Pno

1

2

3

Hours

32.5

40.0

7.5

		,				7.								4EQ4EQ4EQ	1	000
Joyce	Α	English	45345	3453	1972-07-31		5631 Rice, Houston, TX		F	25000	3334	45555	5	453453453		20.0
Ahmad	٧	Jabbar 98798		7987 1969-03-		-29	980 Dallas, Hou	ston, TX	М	25000	9876	54321	4	453453453	2	20.0
James	Е	Borg	888665555		1937-11-10		450 Stone, Hou	ston. TX	М	55000	00 NULI		1	333445555	2	10.0
30	- 1	9					100 0100, 1.00	,			1			333445555	3	10.0
DEPARTMENT									DEPT_LOCATIONS					333445555	10	10.0
Dname		Dnui	Dnumber		Mgr_ssn		Mgr_start_date	Dnumber Dnumber			Dlocation			333445555	20	10.0
Resear	Research		5		333445555		1988-05-22		Dilu	1			Ī	999887777	30	30.0
Administration			4	987654321		T	1995-01-01				Hou			999887777	10	10.0
Headquarters		<u> </u>	1		888665555		1981-06-19		4		Staf			987987987	10	35.0
Ticauquarters					00000000		1301-00-13	J		5	Bella	aire	ŀ	987987987	30	5.0
PROJECT										5	Sug	arland	-			
		Pnumb	or D		Dnum					5		ston		987654321	30	20.0
	Pname					ım								987654321	20	15.0
	ProductX			llaire 5		$\dashv$								888665555	20	NULL
ProductY		2	Su	garland	5		DEPENDENT							,		
Product	ProductZ		Ho	uston	5		Essn	Dependent_name			Sex	Bdate		Relationship		
Comput	Computerization 10		Stafford		4	333445555		Alice		F	1986-04-05		Daughter			
Reorgan	anization 20		Ho	uston	1		333445555	Theodore		М	1983-10-25		Son			
Newber	nefits	30		afford	4		333445555	Joy		F	1958-05-03		Spouse			
						987654321	Abner			М	1942-02-28		Spouse			
Figure 5.6 (company DB, textbook)						123456789	Michael			М	1988-01-04		Son			
rigore 3.0 (company DB, textbook)						123456789	Alice			F	1988-12-30		Daughter	<u></u>		
						123456789	Elizabeth			F	1967-05-05		Spouse	U	NSW SYDNEY	

### Discuss all integrity constraints violated by:

- a. Insert <'Sophia', 'M', 'Wood', '973442298', '1974-05-21', '23 S Lamar Blvd. Rd, Austin, TX', 'F', 62000, '222445555', 5> into EMPLOYEE.
- b. Insert <'6Sigma', 4, 'Austin', 4> into PROJECT.
- c. Insert <'Information Technology', 2, '987987987', '2007-10-01'> into DEPARTMENT.
- d. Insert <'777624972', 15, '40.0'> into WORKS\_ON.
- e. Insert <'888665555', 'John', 'M', null, 'Son'> into DEPENDENT.
- f. Delete the DEPENDENT tuples with Essn = '987654321'.
- g. Delete the DEPARTMENT tuples with Dnumber = 5.
- h. Delete the WORKS\_ON tuples with Pnoe = 30.
- i. Modify the Plocation and Dnum of the PROJECT tuples with Dnum = 5 to 'Houston' and 1, respectively.
- j. Modify the Super\_ssn attribute of the EMPLOYEE tuple with Ssn = '888665555' to null.
- k. Modify the Pnumber attribute of the PROJECT tuple with Pnumber = 30 to 40



## Discuss all integrity constraints violated by:

No (22244555?)

a. Insert <'Sophia', 'M', 'Wood', '973442298', '1974-05-21', '23 S Lamar Blvd. Rd, Austin, TX', 'F', 62000, '222445555', 5> into EMPLOYEE.

Yes

b. Insert <'6Sigma', 4, 'Austin', 4> into PROJECT.

Yes

c. Insert <'Information Technology', 2, '987987987', '2007-10-01'> into DEPARTMENT.

No (ESSN?)

d. Insert <'777624972', 15, '40.0'> into WORKS\_ON.

Yes

e. Insert <'888665555', 'John', 'M', null, 'Son'> into DEPENDENT.

Yes

f. Delete the DEPENDENT tuples with Essn = '987654321'

g. Delete the DEPARTMENT tuples with Dnumber = 5.

Technically yes – but deal with the consequences.

You can also block this sort of delete.

Yes

h. Delete the WORKS\_ON tuples with Pnoe = 30.

Yes

i. Modify the Plocation and Dnum of the PROJECT tuples with Dnum = 5 to 'Houston' and 1, respectively.

Yes (partial participation)

- j. Modify the Super\_ssn attribute of the EMPLOYEE tuple with Ssn = '888665555' to null.
- k. Modify the Pnumber attribute of the PROJECT tuple with Pnumber = 30 to 40


Technically yes – but careful with the consequences.

You can also block this sort of update.

Depends on your application
requirements.




### **Exercise 1 - Translate the ER to relational form**





#### **Exercise 1**

ACCOUNT (Acct#, Balance, Used\_Store)
FK: Used\_Store references Store (Phone), Not NULL




CUSTOMER (<u>Cust#</u>, Name, Address, Fav\_Store) FK: Fav\_Store references STORE (Phone)

FK: Cust# references Customer(Cust#) NOT NULL FK: Acct# references Account (acct#) NOT NULL



### **Exercise 2 - Translate the ER to relational form**





### **Exercise 2**

DEPARTMENT (Name, Phone, Location, Manager, Mdate)

FK: Manager references Employee (SSN)


FK: (Pname, Pnumber) references Project (Pname, Pnumber)

EMPLOYEE (SSN, Birthdate, Name, Department)


FK: Department references Department (Name) NOT NULL Birthdate Phone SSN Name Name Location WorksFor Employee Department Manager Family Mdate PROJECT (Pname, Pnumber) Participation. Project Dependent Pname Pnumber Time Relation Name PROJ\_EMP\_Participation (SSN, Pname, Pnumber, Time) Birthdate FK: SSN references Employee(SSN)



# **Exercise 3: Translate this entity to relational form**



# **Exercise 3: Translate this entity to relational form**



Person(<u>SSN</u>, family\_name, given\_name, weight, birthdate)

Hobbies(SSN, Hobby\_Name)


\* SSN + Hobby\_Name should be unique (primary key of the relation)



# **Exercise 4: mapping subclasses**

Use (a) ER-mapping, (b) OO-mapping, (c) 1-table-mapping

Are there aspects of the ER design that can't be mapped?






# **Exercise 4: mapping subclasses**

Use (a) ER-mapping, (b) OO-mapping, (c) 1-table-mapping

Are there aspects of the ER design that can't be mapped?



STUDENT (Sid, name, address, degree, major, thesis, stud\_type)

Disjoint possible, - as only one Sid entry per table would be allowed.

Total participation possible - make stud\_type Not NULL and one of the subclass attribute should have a value

UNSW SYDNEY

**ER Style** 

STUDENT (Sid, Name, Address)

Undergrad (Sid, degree)

Masters (Sid, major)

Research(Sid, thesis)

Note: Sid in the subclasses reference Student.Sid

Total participation/disjoint, both possible (i.e., records can be stored to satisfy this condition)

But "enforcing" them are difficult without using

database triggers or other available means at the database level

Overlapping possible ...