


School of Computer Science & Engineering

COMP9242 Advanced Operating Systems

2023 T3 Week 01 Part 2

Introduction: Using seL4

@GernotHeiser



Copyright Notice

These slides are distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License

- You are free:
 - to share—to copy, distribute and transmit the work
 - to remix—to adapt the work
- under the following conditions:
 - Attribution: You must attribute the work (but not in any way that suggests that the author endorses you or your use of the work) as follows:

"Courtesy of Gernot Heiser, UNSW Sydney"

The complete license text can be found at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode



Today's Lecture

- seL4 Mechanisms
 - Capabilities
 - Address spaces & memory management
 - Threads
 - Interrupts and Exceptions
- seL4 System Design Hints

Aim: You should then be ready to start the project



seL4 Mechanisms


Capabilities






Derived Capabilities

- Badging is an example of capability derivation
- The *Mint* operation creates a new, less powerful cap
 - Can add a badge: Mint (○¬¬, ▼) → ○¬¬¬
 - Can strip access rights, eg RW→R/O
- Granting transfers caps over an Endpoint
 - Delivers copy of sender's cap(s) to receiver
 - Sender needs Endpoint cap with Grant permission
 - Receiver needs Endpoint cap with Write permission
 - else Write permission is stripped from new cap
- Retyping: fundamental memory management operation
 - Details later...





Capability Derivation



Copy, Mint, Mutate, Revoke are invoked on CNodes

Copy takes a CNode cap as destination

- Allows copying between CSpaces
- Alternative to IPC cap transfer



SeL4 System Calls [1/3]


- seL4 has 11 syscalls:
 - Yield(): invokes scheduler
 - doesn't require a capability!
 - Send(), Recv() and variants/combinations thereof
 - Call(), ReplyRecv()
 - Send(), NBSend()
 - Recv(), NBRecv(), NBSendRecv()
 - Wait(), NBWait(), NBSendWait()
 - Call() is atomic Send() + reply-object setup + Wait()
 - cannot be simulated with one-way operations!
 - ReplyRecv() atomic is NBSend() + Recv()

SeL4 System Calls [2/3]

Endpoints support all 10 Send/Receive variants



- ROs support:
 - NBSend()
 - NBSendRecv()
- Notifications support:
 - NBSend() aliased as Signal()
 - Wait()
 - NBWait() aliased as Poll()




But remember, you should just use Call() and ReplyRecv()

SeL4 System Calls [3/3]

- Endpoints support all 10 IPC variants
- ROs support NBSend (), NBSendRecv()
- Notifications support NBSend(), Wait(), NBWait
- Other objects only support Call()
 - Appear as (kernel-implemented) servers
 - Each has a kernel-defined protocol
 - operations encoded in message tag
 - parameters passed in message words

Most of this is hidden behind "syscall" wrappers







seL4 Memory-Management Principles

- Memory (and caps referring to it) is typed:
 - *Untyped* memory:
 - unused, free to Retype into something useful
 - Frames:
 - (can be) mapped to address spaces, no kernel semantics
 - Rest: TCBs, address spaces, CNodes, EPs, ...
 - used for specific kernel data structures
- After startup, kernel never allocates memory!
 - All remaining memory made Untyped, handed to initial address space
- Space for kernel objects must be explicitly provided to kernel
 - Ensures strong resource isolation
- Extremely powerful gun for shooting yourself in the foot!
 - We hide much of this behind the *cspace* and *ut* allocation libraries





CSpace Operations

```
int cspace_create_two_level(cspace_t *bootstrap, cspace_t *target, cspace_alloc_t cspace_alloc);
int cspace_create_one_level(cspace_t *bootstrap, cspace_t *target);
void cspace_destroy(cspace_t *c);
seL4_CPtr cspace_alloc_slot(cspace_t *c);
void cspace_free_slot(cspace_t *c, seL4_CPtr slot);
```


seL4 Mechanisms

Address Spaces and Memory Management






seL4 Memory Management Approach





Memory Management Mechanics: Retype








seL4 Address Spaces (VSpaces)

- Very thin (arch-dependent) wrapper of hardware page tables
 - Arm & x86 similar (32-bit 2-level, 64-bit 4–5 level)
- Arm 64-bit ISA (AArch64):
 - page global directory (PGD)
 - page upper directory (PUD)
 - page directory (PD)
 - page table (PT)
- PGD object represents VSpace:
 - Creating a PGD (by Retype) creates the VSpace
 - Deleting PGD deletes VSpace







Address Space Operations

Poor API choice!


```
seL4_Word paddr = 0;
ut_t *ut = ut_alloc_4k_untyped(&p_addr);
seL4_CPtr frame = cspace_alloc_slot(&cspace);
err = cspace_untyped_retype(&cspace, ut->cap, frame
seL4_ARM_SmallPageObject, self__ageE

err = map_frame(&cspace, frame, pgd, v_addr,
seL4_AllRights, seL4_Default_VMAttributes);
```

Each frame mapping has:

- virtual_address, phys_address, address_space and frame cap
- address_space struct identifies the level 1 page_directory cap
- you need to keep track of (frame, PD, v_addr, p_addr)!

```
seL4_ARCH_Page_Unmap(frame);
cspace_delete(&cspace, frame);
cspace_free_slot(&cspace, frame);
ut_free(ut, seL4_PageBits);
```







Multiple Frame Mappings: Shared Memory

Allocate frame

```
seL4_ARCH_Page_Unmap(frame);
cspace_delete(&cspace, frame);
cspace_free_slot(&cspace, frame);
seL4_ARCH_Page_Unmap(new_frame);
cspace_delete(&cspace, new_frame);
cspace_free_slot(&cspace, new_frame);
ut_free(ut, seL4_PageBits);
```

Each mapping requires its own frame cap even for the same frame!



seL4 Mechanisms

Threads





Threads

- Theads are represented by TCB objects
- They have a number of attributes (recorded in TCB): PGD reference
 - VSpace: a virtual address space, can be shared by multiple threads
 - CSpace: capability storage, can be shared Invoked by kernel upon exception

CNode reference: root of CSpace

- Fault endpoint and timeout endpoint
- IPC buffer (backing storage for virtual message registers)
- stack pointer (SP), instruction pointer (IP), general-purpose registers
- Scheduling priority and maximum controlled priority (MCP)
- Scheduling context: right to use CPU time

These must be explicitly managed

- we provide examples
- you probably don't need to deal with scheduling parameters



Threads

Creating a thread:

- Obtain a TCB object
- Set attributes: Configure()
 - associate with VSpace, CSpace, fault EP, define IPC buffer
- Set scheduling parameters
 - priority, scheduling context, timeout EP (maybe MCP)
- Set SP, IP (and optionally other registers): WriteRegisters()

Thread is now initialised

- if resume_target was set in call, thread is runnable
- else activate with Resume()




Creating a Thread in Own AS and CSpace

```
static char stack[100];
                                                                        Tip: If you use
int thread fct() {
                                                                        threads, write a
           while(1);
                           Alloc & map frame
                                                                           library for
           return 0:
                              for IPC buffer
                                                                        create/destroy!
ut_t *ut = ut_alloc(seL4_TCBBits, &cspace);
                                                 Alloc slot
seL4_CPtr tcb = cspace_alloc_slot(&cspace);
err = cspace_untyped_retype(&cspace, ut->cap, tcb, seL4_TCBObject, seL4_TCBBits);
err = seL4 TCB Configure(tcb, cspace.root cnode, seL4 NilData, seL4 CapInitThreadVSpace,
                         seL4NilData, PROCESS_IPC_BUFFER, ipc_buffer);
if (err != seL4 NoError) return err;
err = seL4 TCB SetSchedParams(tcb, seL4 CapInitThreadTCB, seL4 MinPrio,
                                APP PRIORITY, sched context, fault ep);
```

Threads and Stacks

- Stacks are completely user-managed, kernel doesn't care!
 - Kernel only preserves SP, IP on context switch
- Stack location, allocation, size must be managed by userland
- Beware of stack overflow!
 - Easy to grow stack into other data
 - Pain to debug!
 - Take special care with automatic arrays!

Recommend leaving page above top of stack unmapped!



Debugging

Creating a Thread in New AS and CSpace


```
/* Allocate, retype and map new frame for IPC buffer as before
* Allocate and map stack - note: this leaks, see m3, m6
* Allocate and retype a TCB as before
* Allocate and retype a PageGlobalDirectoryObject of size seL4 PageDirBits
* Mint a new badged cap to the syscall endpoint */
cspace t * new cpace = ut alloc(seL4 TCBBits);
elf telf file;
char *elf base = cpio get file(cpio archive, app name, &elf size);
err = elf_newFile(elf_base, elf_size, &elf_file);
seL4 Word sp = init process stack(&cspace, new pgd, &elf file);
err = elf load(&cspace, seL4 CapInitThreadVSpace, tty test process.vspace, &elf file);
err = seL4_TCB_Configure(tcb, new_cspace.root_cnode, seL4_NilData, new_pgd,
                          seL4NilData, PROCESS IPC BUFFER, ipc buffer cap):
seL4 UserContext context = {
    .pc = elf getEntryPoint(&elf file),
    .sp = sp,
err = seL4 TCB WriteRegisters(user process.tcb, 1, 0, 2, &context);
```





seL4 Scheduling (MCS kernel)

- 256 hard priorities (0–255), strictly observed
 - The scheduler will always pick the highest-prio runnable thread
 - Round-robin within priority level
 - Kernel will never change priority (but user can do with syscall)
- Thread without scheduling context or budget is not runnable
 - SC contains *budget*: when exhausted, thread removed from run queue
 - SC contains period: specifies when budget is replenished
 - Budget = period: Operates as a best-effort time slice (round robin)



Aim is real-time performance, not fairness!

 Can implement fair policy at user level



seL4 Mechanisms

Interrupts and Exceptions



24




Exception Handling

Exception types:

- invalid syscall
 - eg for instruction emulation, virtualisation
- capability fault
 - cap lookup failed or found invalid cap
- page fault
 - address not mapped
 - maybe invalid address
 - maybe grow stack, heap, load library...
- architecture-defined
 - divide by zero, unaligned access, ...
- timeout
 - scheduling context out of budget-


On exception:

- kernel sends message to fault EP
- pretends to be from faulter
- replying will restart thread



has its own fault endpoint







Interrupt Management

2 special objects for managing and acknowledging interrupts:

- Single IRQControl object
 - single IRQControl cap provided by kernel to initial VSpace
 - only purpose is to create IRQHandler caps
- Per-IRQ-source IRQHandler object
 - interrupt association and dissociation
 - interrupt acknowledgment
 - edge-triggered flag








Interrupt Handling

IRQHandler cap allows driver to bind Notification to interrupt

- Notification is used to receive interrupt
- IRQHandler is used to acknowledge interrupt



Unmasks IRQ





Device Drivers

- In seL4 (and all other L4 kernels) drivers are usermode processes
- Drivers do three things:
 - Handle interrupts (already explained)
 - Communicate with rest of OS (IPC + shared memory)
 - Access device registers
- Device register access (Arm uses memory-mapped IO)
 - Have to find frame cap from bootinfo structure
 - Map the appropriate page in the driver's VSpace


Magic device register access

```
device_vaddr = sos_map_device(&cspace, 0xA0000000, BIT(seL4_PageBits));
...
*((void *) device_v_addr= ...;
```

seL4 System Design Hints



PS on Reply Objects



Client Kernel Server

Call(ep, args)

Kernel sets up reply channel in RO

- overwrites previous RO state
- ⇒ need to have multiple ROs to support concurrent longrunning client requests!

deliver to server block client on RO

deliver to client

ReplyRecv(ro,ep,&args)

process

ReplyRecv(ro,ep,&args)




Kernel has no notion of a process/task!

Informally, a "task" consists of:


- a virtual address space (Vspace)
- a capability space (Cspace)
- one or more threads
- zero or more scheduling contexts
- likely Endpoint(s) & Notification(s)


A server may not need an SC, runs on client's Related tasks may share a Cspace






Shared memory is usually required...





Project: cspace and ut libraries





Memory Management Caveats

- The UT table handles allocation for you
- But: very simple buddy-allocator:
 - Freeing an object of size n
 ⇒ can allocate new objects ≤ size n

Values for
AArch64

Object	Size (B)	Align (B)
Frame	2 ¹²	2 ¹²
PT/PD/PUD/PGD	2 ¹²	2 ¹²
Endpoint	24	24
Notification	2 ⁵	2 ⁵
Scheduling Context	≥ 2 ⁸	28
Cslot	24	24
Cnode	≥ 2 ¹²	212
TCB	211	2 ¹¹





Memory-Management Caveats

Objects are allocated by Retype() of Untyped memory

But debugging nightmare if you try!!

- The kernel will not allow you to overlap objects
- ut_alloc and ut_free() manage user-level view of allocation.
 - Major pain if kernel and user view diverge
 - TIP: Keep objects address and CPtr together!

Untyped Memory 2¹⁵ B

8 frames

- Be careful with allocations!
- Don't try to allocate all of physical memory as frames, you need more memory for TCBs, endpoints etc.
- Your frametable will eventually integrate with ut_alloc to manage the 4KiB untyped size.



Project Platform: ODROID-C2

