XML and Databases

Lecture 7
Efficient XPath Evaluation

Sebastian Maneth
NICTA and UNSW

CSE@UNSW -- Semester 1, 2009

Outline

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *simple paths*
2. Node Sets only: Core XPath
3. Bottom-Up Evaluation of Core XPath
4. Polynomial Time Evaluation of Full XPath

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

Simple paths are of the form

```
(1)  //tag_1/tag_2/…/tag_n
(2)  //tag_1/tag_2/…/tag_{n-1}/text()
```

Selects any node which is (1) labeled `tag_n` (2) a text node and

is child of a node labeled `tag_{n-1}`

is child of a node labeled `tag_{n-2}`

…

is child of a node labeled `tag_1`

Examples

`//author/last` = select all last names of authors

`//strip/characters/character/text()` = select all character names from a DilbertML document

(return selected nodes in document order..)

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**




```
//a/b     =Q
```

query match position: p = 1

[startElement(a)]

```
=Q[1]
```

Thus, p=p+1=2

→ *partial match*. If element name was different from “a”, then p would remain equal to 1)

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**




```
//a/b     =Q
```

query match position: p = 2

[startElement(a)]

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**




```
//a/b     =Q
```

query match position: p = 2

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]

```
=Q[2]
```

p=2=length(Q), thus,
current node is a **match**!
→ Mark it as match/result
→ **push**(p)
→ p = 1

Push current match position p
for every startElement
(except for the root node)

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**




```
//a/b     =Q
```

query match position: p = 2

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]

```
=Q[2]
```

p=2=length(Q), thus,
current node is a **match**!
→ Mark it as match/result
→ **push**(p)
→ p = 1

Push current match position p
for every startElement
(except for the root node)

→ after closing endElement() we need to be in position p! (to match *next-sibling*)

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**




```
//a/b     =Q
```

query match position: p = 2

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]

```
=Q[2]
```

p=2=length(Q), thus,
current node is a **match**!
→ Mark it as match/result
→ **push**(p)
→ p = 1

Push current match position
for every startElement
(except for the root node)

Question Why is p set to 1? What if query was //a/a?

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**




```
//a/b     =Q
```



query match position: p = 1

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**





`//a/b` `=Q`

query match position: p = 1

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]

`=Q[1]`

Thus, **push**(p)
and p=p+1=2

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**




```
//a/b     =Q
```



query match position: p = 2

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**





`//a/b` `=Q`

query match position: p = 2

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]
[endElement(a)]

p = pop()

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**




```
//a/b     =Q
```

query match position: p = 1



[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]
[endElement(a)]

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**




```
//a/b     =Q
```

query match position: p = 1



[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]
[endElement(a)]
[startElement(a)]

```
=Q[1]
```

Thus, **push**(p)
and p=p+1=2

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**





`//a/b` `=Q`

query match position: p = 2

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]
[endElement(a)]
[startElement(a)]

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**






```
//a/b     =Q
```

query match position: p = 2

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]
[endElement(a)]
[startElement(a)]
[startElement(c)] push(2)
[endElement(c)] p = pop() = 2
[startElement(b)]

```
=Q[2]
```

p=2=length(Q), thus,
current node is a **match**!
→ Mark it as match/result
→ **push**(p)
→ p = 1

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**





`//a/b` `=Q`

query match position: p = 1

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]
[endElement(a)]
[startElement(a)]
[startElement(c)]
[endElement(c)]
[startElement(b)]

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**





`//a/b` `=Q`

query match position: p = 1

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]
[endElement(a)]
[startElement(a)]
[startElement(c)]
[endElement(c)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(c)] push(1)
[endElement(c)] p = pop() = 1
[startElement(a)] push(1)
[endElement(a)] p = pop() = 1

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**





//a/b =Q

query match position: p = 2

[endElement(b)] p = pop() = 2

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]
[endElement(a)]
[startElement(a)]
[startElement(c)]
[endElement(c)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(c)] push(1)
[endElement(c)] p = pop() = 1
[startElement(a)] push(1)
[endElement(a)] p = pop() = 1

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**



`//a/b` =Q



query match position: p = 1

[endElement(b)] p = pop() = 2
[endElement(a)] p = pop() = 1

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]
[endElement(a)]
[startElement(a)]
[startElement(c)]
[endElement(c)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(c)] push(1)
[endElement(c)] p = pop() = 1
[startElement(a)] push(1)
[endElement(a)] p = pop() = 1

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**




```
//a/b     =Q
```



query match position: p = 2

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]
[endElement(a)]
[startElement(a)]
[startElement(c)]
[endElement(c)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(c)] push(1)
[endElement(c)] p = pop() = 1
[startElement(a)] push(1)
[endElement(a)] p = pop() = 1

[endElement(b)] p = pop() = 2
[endElement(a)] p = pop() = 1
[endElement(b)] p = pop() = 2

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**





```
//a/b     =Q
```

query match position: p = 2

[endElement(b)] p = pop() = 2
[endElement(a)] p = pop() = 1
[endElement(b)] p = pop() = 2
[startElement(b)] ➔ **match**

[startElement(a)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(a)]
[endElement(a)]
[startElement(a)]
[startElement(c)]
[endElement(c)]
[startElement(b)]
[startElement(c)] push(1)
[endElement(c)] p = pop() = 1
[startElement(a)] push(1)
[endElement(a)] p = pop() = 1

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate in *one single pre-order traversal* (using a **stack)**




```
//a/b     =Q
```

Linear time: O(#Nodes)
= O(|D|)

Size of document

Even: Streaming Algorithm! ☺

➔ No need to store the document!!
Can evaluate on SAX event stream.

But, to print result subtrees we need an output buffer ☹

SAX-based path query evaluation (sketch):

1. Preparation:
   - Represent path query $//t_1/t_2/\ldots/t_{n-1}/\texttt{text()}$ via the *step array* $path[0] = t_1, path[1] = t_2, \ldots, path[n-1] = \texttt{text()}$.
   - Maintain an array index $i = 0 \ldots n$, the *current step* in the path.
   - Maintain a stack S of index positions.
2. [*startDocument*]
   Empty stack S. We start with the first step.
3. [*startElement*]
   If the current step's tag name $path[i]$ and the reported tag name match, proceed to next step. Otherwise make a failure transition[14]. Remember how far we have come already: **push** the current step i onto S.
4. [*endElement*]
   The parser ascended to a parent element. Resume path traversal from where we have left earlier: **pop** old i from S.
5. [*characters*]
   If the current step $path[i] = \texttt{text()}$ we have found a match. Otherwise do nothing.

[14] This "Knuth-Morris-Pratt failure function" *fail*[] is to be explained in the tutorial.

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate using *one single pre-order traversal*! (using a **stack)**



`//a/b/a/c`

NOT equal. p=4

What to do next?

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate using *one single pre-order traversal*! (using a **stack)**





Postfix (ending) of what we have seen,
is *prefix* (beginning) of the query!!

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate using *one single pre-order traversal*! (using a **stack)**





Jump to position 3 for next node!

Postfix (ending) of what we have seen,
is *prefix* (beginning) of the query!!

1. Top-Down Evaluation of *Simple Paths*

→ evaluate using *one single pre-order traversal*! (using a **stack)**





Jump to position 3 for next node!

Postfix (ending) of what we have seen,
is *prefix* (beginning) of the query!!

This is the **K**nuth-**M**orris-**P**ratt Algorithm! (KMP)

On match failure, query-position must be updated to longest prefix in Q that is postfix of what we have seen!

Linear time string matching

"jump-back-table": (preprocessing)
for each position in Q and **fail** (ing symbol), determine jump-back-position

2. Core XPath

Types
- Node Sets
- Booleans

→ all 12 axes

→ all node tests (but, here, we will simply talk about *element nodes only*)

→ **filters** with logical operations: **and, or, not**

E.g. //descendant::a/child::b**[** child::c/child::d **or not(**following::***)]**

Full XPath additionally has

→ Node set comparisons & operations (e.g., =, count)
→ Order functions (first, last, position)
→ Numerical operations (sum, +, -, *, div, mod, round, etc.)
and corresponding comparisons (=, !=, <, >, <=, >=)
→ String operations (contains, starts-with, translate, string-length, etc.)

2. Core XPath

Types
- Node Sets
- Booleans

→ all 12 axes

→ all node tests (but, here,
we will simply talk about *element nodes only*)

→ **filters** with logical operations: **and, or, not**

E.g. //descendant::a/child::b**[** child::c/child::d **or not(**following::***)]**

Thus in Core XPath

→ Select nodes only depending on labels.
No counting. No values.

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)



Node Set represented as *bit-field*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0

Axis Evaluation

Maps a Node Set to a Node Set

Forward Axes:

- → self
- → child
- → descendant-or-self
- → descendant
- → following
- → following-sibling

In doc order

Backward Axes:

- → parent
- → ancestor
- → ancestor-or-self
- ➔ preceding
- → preceding-sibling

- → attribute

reverse doc order

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)



Node Set represented as *bit-field*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0

Axis Evaluation

Maps a Node Set to a Node Set

Naïve:

Node-Set = { 3, 4, 5, 7 }

axis(Node-Set) = axis(3) ← time linear in #Nodes

∪ axis(4) ← time linear in #Nodes

∪ axis(5) ← time linear in #Nodes

∪ axis(7) ← time linear in #Nodes

at most #Nodes many

O(#Nodes #Nodes) =quadratic time ☹

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)



Node Set represented as *bit-field*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0

Axis Evaluation

Maps a Node Set to a Node Set

Forward Axes:

- → `self`
- → `child`
- → `descendant-or-self`
- → `descendant`
- → `following`
- → `following-sibling`

In doc order

Can be done for ANY axis in
linear time wrt number of nodes
if we have *constant time look-up* for
- first-child(node), parent(node)
- next-sibling(node), previous-sibling(node)

(for linear time forward axis evaluation, enough to have first-child/next-sibling.)

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)



Node Set represented as *bit-field*

1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9|10|11

0|0|1|1|1|0|1|0|0|0 |0

Axis Evaluation

Maps a Node Set to a Node Set

Idea

→ No node is visited >once!

Can be done for ANY axis in

linear time wrt number of nodes

if we have *constant time look-up* for

- first-child(node), parent(node)
- next-sibling(node), previous-sibling(node)

(for linear time forward axis evaluation, enough to have first-child/next-sibling.)

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)



Node Set represented as *bit-field*

1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9|10|11

0|0|0|0|1|0|0|1|1|0 |0

Axis Evaluation

Maps a Node Set to a Node Set

Idea

→ No node is visited >once!

e.g.: ancestor({5, 8, 9})

look-up parents, check if we are in result set already..

Can be done for ANY axis in **linear time** wrt number of nodes if we have *constant time look-up* for

- first-child(node), parent(node)
- next-sibling(node), previous-sibling(node)

(for linear time forward axis evaluation, enough to have first-child/next-sibling.)

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)



Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0

parent

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
-	1	2	2	2	2	6	6	1	9	9

Idea
→ No node is visited >once!

e.g.: ancestor({5, 8, 9})

look-up parents, check if we are in result set already..

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)



Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0

parent

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
-	1	2	2	2	2	6	6	1	9	9

Idea
→ No node is visited >once!

e.g.: ancestor({5, 8, 9})

look-up parents, check if we are in result set already..

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

After **one** parent look-up.

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)



Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0

parent

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
-	1	2	2	2	2	6	6	1	9	9

Idea
→ No node is visited >once!

e.g.: ancestor({5, 8, 9})

look-up parents, check if we are in result set already..

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

After **2** parent look-ups.

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)



Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0

parent

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
-	1	2	2	2	2	6	6	1	9	9

move to next node in Node Set

Idea
→ No node is visited >once!

e.g.: ancestor({5, 8, 9})

look-up parents, check if we are in result set already..

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

After **3** parent look-ups.

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)



Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0

parent

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
-	1	2	2	2	2	6	6	1	9	9

Idea
→ No node is visited >once!

e.g.: ancestor({5, 8, 9})

look-up parents, check if we are in result set already..

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

After **4** parent look-ups.

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)



Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0

parent

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
-	1	2	2	2	2	6	6	1	9	9

move to next node in Node Set

already in result set

Result Node Set

1	**2**	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	**1**	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

After **5** parent look-ups.

Idea
→ No node is visited >once!

e.g.: ancestor({5, 8, 9})

look-up parents, check if we are in result set already..

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)



Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0

parent

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
-	1	2	2	2	2	6	6	1	9	9

No next node in Node Set

already in result set

Finished!

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

Idea
→ No node is visited >once!

e.g.: ancestor({5, 8, 9})

look-up parents, check if we are in result set already..

After **6** parent look-ups.
\+ **5** result look-ups.

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)

Similarly:
For all other axes!

Forward-axes only:
binary (top-down) tree encoding provides easy
linear time evaluation!

+backward axes?

Question
do you see how this works for e.g., descendant axis?

Recall:
to access parent / ancestors on binary tree, keep dynamically list of all ancestors.

Idea
→ No node is visited >once!

e.g.: ancestor({5, 8, 9})

look-up parents, check if we are in result set already..

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

After **6** parent look-ups.
+ **5** result look-ups.

Axis Evaluation

Axis = Node Set (evaluated relative to context-node)

Question
do you see how this works for e.g., descendant axis?

descendant(node) = (first-child | next-sibling)* (first-child(node))

descendant({ node_1, node_2, …, node_k }) =

S

```
repeat{
  pick node N in S;
  (for N's descendants M in pre-order)
    {
      if (not(M in result set))
         add(M to result set) else break;
    }
}
```

Example

descendant(node) =
(first-child | next-sibling)* (first-child(node))

Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



descendant({ 1 }) =

(fc | ns)*(first-child({ 1 })) =

(fc | ns)*({ 2 }) =

{ 2 } +

fc

1	2
2	3
6	7
7	8

ns

2	6
3	4
4	5
7	10
8	9

This example comes from
Georg Gottlob and Christoph Koch "XPath Query Processing".
Invited tutorial at DBPL **2003**
http://www.dbai.tuwien.ac.at/research/xmlaskforce/xpath-tutorial1.ppt.gz

Example

descendant(node) =
(first-child | next-sibling)* (first-child(node))



descendant({ 1 }) =

(fc | ns)*(first-child({ 1 })) =

(fc | ns)*({ 2 }) =

{ 2 } +

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

fc

1	2
2	3
6	7
7	8

ns

2	6
3	4
4	5
7	10
8	9

Example

descendant(node) =
(first-child | next-sibling)* (first-child(node))



descendant({ 1 }) =

(fc | ns)*(first-child({ 1 })) =

(fc | ns)*({ 2 }) =

{ 2 } +
{ 3, 6 } +

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

fc

1	2
2	3
6	7
7	8

ns

2	6
3	4
4	5
7	10
8	9

Example

descendant(node) =
(first-child | next-sibling)* (first-child(node))



descendant({ 1 }) =

(fc | ns)*(first-child({ 1 })) =

(fc | ns)*({ 2 }) =

{ 2 } +
{ 3, 6 } +

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

fc

1	2
2	3
6	7
7	8

ns

2	6
3	4
4	5
7	10
8	9

Example

descendant(node) =
(first-child | next-sibling)* (first-child(node))



descendant({ 1 }) =

(fc | ns)*(first-child({ 1 })) =

(fc | ns)*({ 2 }) =

{ 2 } +
{ 3, 6 } +
{ 4, 7 } +

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0

fc

1	2
2	3
6	7
7	8

ns

2	6
3	4
4	5
7	10
8	9

Example

descendant(node) =
(first-child | next-sibling)* (first-child(node))



descendant({ 1 }) =

(fc | ns)*(first-child({ 1 })) =

(fc | ns)*({ 2 }) =

{ 2 } +
{ 3, 6 } +
{ 4, 7 } +

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0

fc

1	2
2	3
6	7
7	8

ns

2	6
3	4
4	5
7	10
8	9

Example

descendant(node) =
(first-child | next-sibling)* (first-child(node))



descendant({ 1 }) =

(fc | ns)*(first-child({ 1 })) =

(fc | ns)*({ 2 }) =

{ 2 } +
{ 3, 6 } +
{ 4, 7 } +
{ 5, 8, 10 } +

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1

fc

1	2
2	3
6	7
7	8

ns

2	6
3	4
4	5
7	10
8	9

Example

descendant(node) =
(first-child | next-sibling)* (first-child(node))



descendant({ 1 }) =

(fc | ns)*(first-child({ 1 })) =

(fc | ns)*({ 2 }) =

{ 2 } +
{ 3, 6 } +
{ 4, 7 } +
{ 5, 8, 10 } +

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1

fc

1	2
2	3
6	7
7	8

ns

2	6
3	4
4	5
7	10
8	9

Example

descendant(node) =
(first-child | next-sibling)* (first-child(node))



descendant({ 1 }) =

(fc | ns)*(first-child({ 1 })) =

(fc | ns)*({ 2 }) =

{ 2 } +
{ 3, 6 } +
{ 4, 7 } +
{ 5, 8, 10 } +
{ 9 }

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

fc

1	2
2	3
6	7
7	8

ns

2	6
3	4
4	5
7	10
8	9

Example

descendant(node) =
(first-child | next-sibling)* (first-child(node))



descendant({ 1 }) =

(fc | ns)*(first-child({ 1 })) =

(fc | ns)*({ 2 }) =

{ 2 } +
{ 3, 6 } +
{ 4, 7 } +
{ 5, 8, 10 } +
{ 9 }

Result Node Set

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

fc

1	2
2	3
6	7
7	8

ns

2	6
3	4
4	5
7	10
8	9

Core XPath

Types
- Node Sets
- Booleans

→ all 12 axes

→ all node tests (but, here, we will simply talk about *element nodes only*)

→ **filters** with logical operations: **and, or, not**

E.g. //descendant::a/child::b**[** child::c/child::d **or not(**following::***)]**

➔ For Core XPath we only need Node Set operations!!

- axis(Set1) = Set2
- ∪(Set1, Set2) = Set3 union of Set1 and Set2
- ∩(Set1, Set2) = Set3 intersection of Set1 and Set2
- -(Set1, Set2) = Set3 everything in Set1 but not in Set2
- lab(a) = Set1 all nodes labeled by a

3. Bottom-Up Evaluation of Core XPath

With respect to query-tree (parse tree)

NOT with respect to document tree!!

(algorithm f. simple paths is top-down wrt document tree)

3. Bottom-Up Evaluation of Core XPath

Axis evaluation: O(#Nodes) = O(|D|)

Size of the Document

Node Set operation: O(|D|)

Size of the Query (= #steps)

Core XPath query Q can be evaluated in time O(|Q| |D|)

linear time! ☺

➔ For Core XPath we only need Node Set operations!!

- axis(Set1) = Set2
- ∪(Set1, Set2) = Set3
- ∩(Set1, Set2) = Set3
- -(Set1, Set2) = Set3
- lab(a) = Set1

for everything else (steps, filters)

used for **or**'s

union of Set1 and Set2

used for **not**'s

intersection of Set1 and Set2

everything in Set1 but not in Set2

all nodes labeled by a

for node tests

//descendant::a/child::b[child::c/child::d **or not(**following::***)]**

becomes

∩(descendant({root}), lab(a))

axis context-nodes node test



lab(a) = { 2, 6, 8 }
lab(b) = { 3, 7, 9 }
lab(c) = { 1, 4 }
lab(d) = { 5 }

➔ For Core XPath we only need Node Set operations!!

- axis(Set1) = Set2
- ∪(Set1, Set2) = Set3
- ∩(Set1, Set2) = Set3
- -(Set1, Set2) = Set3
- lab(a) = Set1

for everything else (steps, filters)

union of Set1 and Set2 — used for **or**'s

intersection of Set1 and Set2

everything in Set1 but not in Set2 — used for **not**'s

all nodes labeled by a — for node tests

//descendant::a/child::b**[** child::c/child::d **or not(**following::***)]**

becomes

∩(descendant({root}), lab(a)) = { 2, 6, 8 }



lab(a) = { 2, 6, 8 }
lab(b) = { 3, 7, 9 }
lab(c) = { 1, 4 }
lab(d) = { 5 }

➔ For Core XPath we only need Node Set operations!!

- axis(Set1) = Set2
- ∪(Set1, Set2) = Set3
- ∩(Set1, Set2) = Set3
- -(Set1, Set2) = Set3
- lab(a) = Set1

for everything else (steps, filters)

used for **or**'s

union of Set1 and Set2

used for **not**'s

intersection of Set1 and Set2

everything in Set1 but not in Set2

all nodes labeled by a

for node tests

//descendant::a/child::b**[** child::c/child::c**d or not(**following::***)]***



becomes
∩(child(
∩(descendant({root}), lab(a)) = { 2, 6, 8 }),
lab(b)) =
∩({ 3, 7, 9 }, { 3, 7, 9 }) = { 3, 7, 9 }

axis
context-nodes
node test

lab(a) = { 2, 6, 8 }
lab(b) = { 3, 7, 9 }
lab(c) = { 1, 4 }
lab(d) = { 5 }



//descendant::a/child::b[child::c/child::d **or not(**following::***)]**

= { 3, 7, 9 }



intersect with

nodes x such that
∃y: child(x,y) and y∈lab(c) and
∃z: child(y,z) and z∈lab(d)

*/c/d

lab(a) = { 2, 6, 8 }
lab(b) = { 3, 7, 9 }
lab(c) = { 1, 4 }
lab(d) = { 5 }

Bottom-Up (parse "right-branching")

Candidates for z: lab(d)
For y: parent(lab(d)) and labeled c
= ∩(lab(c), parent(lab(d)))
For x: parent(…)





//descendant::a/child::b[child::c/child::d **or not(**following::***)]**

= { 3, 7, 9 }



intersect with

nodes x such that
∃y: child(x,y) and y∈lab(c) and
∃z: child(y,z) and z∈lab(d)

*/c/d

lab(a) = { 2, 6, 8 }
lab(b) = { 3, 7, 9 }
lab(c) = { 1, 4 }
lab(d) = { 5 }

Bottom-Up (parse "right-branching")

Candidates for z: lab(d)
For y: parent(lab(d)) and labeled c
= ∩(lab(c), parent(lab(d)))
For x: parent(…)





//descendant::a/child::b[child::c/child::d **or not(**following::***)]**

= { 3, 7, 9 }



intersect with

nodes x such that
∃y: child(x,y) and y∈lab(c) and
∃z: child(y,z) and z∈lab(d)

*/c/d

lab(a) = { 2, 6, 8 }
lab(b) = { 3, 7, 9 }
lab(c) = { 1, 4 }
lab(d) = { 5 }

Bottom-Up (parse "right-branching")

Candidates for z: lab(d)
For y: parent(lab(d)) and labeled c
= ∩(lab(c), parent(lab(d)))
For x: parent(…)





//descendant::a/child::b[child::c/child::d **or not(**following::***)]**

= { 3, 7, 9 }



intersect with

nodes x such that
∃y: child(x,y) and y∈lab(c) and
∃z: child(y,z) and z∈lab(d)

*/c/d

lab(a) = { 2, 6, 8 }
lab(b) = { 3, 7, 9 }
lab(c) = { 1, 4 }
lab(d) = { 5 }

Bottom-Up (parse "right-branching")

Candidates for z: lab(d)
For y: parent(lab(d)) and labeled c
= ∩(lab(c), parent(lab(d)))
For x: parent(…) = { 3 }





//descendant::a/child::b**[** child::c/child::d **or not(**following::***)]**

= { 3, 7, 9 }



intersect with

nodes x such that
not(∃y: following(x,y))



Bottom-Up (parse “right-branching”)

lab(a) = { 2, 6, 8 }
lab(b) = { 3, 7, 9 }
lab(c) = { 1, 4 }
lab(d) = { 5 }
dom = { 1, …, 9 }

Candidates for x:
-(dom, preceding(dom))
= -(dom, { 2, …, 7 })
= { 1, 8, 9 }







//descendant::a/child::b[child::c/child::d **or not(**following::***)**]

= { 3, 7, 9 }

intersect with

becomes union!



lab(a) = { 2, 6, 8 }
lab(b) = { 3, 7, 9 }
lab(c) = { 1, 4 }
lab(d) = { 5 }
dom = { 1, …, 9 }






//descendant::a/child::b[child::c/child::d or not(following::*)]
= { 3, 7, 9 }
intersect with
becomes union!
1:c
2:a
6:a
8:a
3:b
7:b
9:b
4:c
5:d
Document
{ 3, 9 }
{ 3, 7, 9 }
{ 1, 3, 8, 9 }
child
lab(b)
descendant
lab(a)
{ root }
{ 3 }
parent
{ 4 }
{ 1, 4 }
lab(c)
parent
{ 4 }
lab(d)
{ 5 }
- { 1, 8, 9 }
dom
preceding
dom

//descendant::a/child::b[child::c/child::d **or not(**following::***)]**



BU Core XPath Algorithm:

(1) Translate query (parse tree) into the node-set-operations tree below
(2) Evaluate node-set-ops tree.



From
Georg Gottlob and Christoph Koch "XPath Query Processing".
Invited tutorial at DBPL **2003**
http://www.dbai.tuwien.ac.at/research/xmlaskforce/xpath-tutorial1.ppt.gz

IE6 (native code, Windows)



Quadratic-time evaluation

Core Xpath query (below, size 3. Size in experiment: 20)

a//b[ancestor::a//b[ancestor::a//b[ancestor::a//b]]]

Compare this to the top-down algorithm for **simple queries** `//a/b/a/c`



BU Core XPath Algorithm:

(1) Translate query (parse tree) into the node-set-operations tree below
(2) Evaluate node-set-ops tree.

Streamable!

1:a
2:b
3:a
4:b
5:a
6:c



>8 set operations
(cost approx **|D|**)

vs

|D|(*look-up*
+ child-access
+ stack/int-update)
☺

Compare this to the top-down algorithm for **simple queries** `//a/b/a/c`



BU Core XPath Algorithm:

(1) Translate query (parse tree) into the node-set-operations tree below
(2) Evaluate node-set-ops tree.

Streamable!

Question

Can you extend the top-down look-up algorithm from simple queries (//a/b/c…) to all Core XPath queries?

How big are look-up tables (if you want to have one look-up per node..)?

→ Much faster than node-set based algorithm?

>8 set operations (cost approx **|D|**)

vs

|D|(*look-up*
+ child-access
+ stack/int-update)
☺

4. Polynomial Time Evaluation of Full XPath

All following slides are taken from
Georg Gottlob and Christoph Koch "XPath Query Processing".
Invited tutorial at DBPL **2003**
http://www.dbai.tuwien.ac.at/research/xmlaskforce/xpath-tutorial1.ppt.gz

Contexts

XPath expressions are evaluated w.r.t. <u>Contexts</u>

context: <x, k, n>

node position size

These values specify a current "situation" in which a query or subquery should be evaluated.
Determined by preceding XSL or Xpath computations.

Previously computed node-set $\{n_1,n_3,n_5,n_9\}$

Continuation of computation $<n_5,3,4>$

This is the context information used for the further query evaluation
Starting at n_5

Example of an Xpath query not in Core XPath

Sample document *D*:

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



Sample query *Q*:

child::b/following::*[position() > 2]

Example of an Xpath query not in Core XPath

Sample document *D*:

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



Sample query *Q*:

child::b/following::*[position() > 2]

result node-sets S

evaluated for each x∈ S, w.r.t context of x in S

$\mathcal{E}_\uparrow$: Expression $\rightarrow$ nset $\cup$ num $\cup$ str $\cup$ bool

Expr. E **: Operator Signature** **Semantics** $\mathcal{E}_\uparrow[\![E]\!]$
location step $\chi::t$: $\rightarrow$ nset $\{\langle x_0, k_0, n_0, \{x \mid x_0 \chi x,\ x \in T(t)\}\rangle \mid \langle x_0, k_0, n_0\rangle \in \mathbf{C}\}$
location step $E[e]$ over axis χ: nset $\times$ bool $\rightarrow$ nset $\{\langle x_0, k_0, n_0, \{x \in S \mid \langle x, \mathrm{idx}_\chi(x, S), \lvert S\rvert, \mathrm{true}\rangle \in \mathcal{E}_\uparrow[\![e]\!]\}\rangle$ $\mid \langle x_0, k_0, n_0, S\rangle \in \mathcal{E}_\uparrow[\![E]\!]\}$
location path $/\pi$: nset $\rightarrow$ nset $\mathbf{C} \times \{S \mid \exists k, n : \langle \mathrm{root}, k, n, S\rangle \in \mathcal{E}_\uparrow[\![\pi]\!]\}$
location path π_1/π_2 : nset $\times$ nset $\rightarrow$ nset $\{\langle x, k, n, z\rangle \mid 1 \le k \le n \le \lvert\mathrm{dom}\rvert,$ $\langle x, k_1, n_1, Y\rangle \in \mathcal{E}_\uparrow[\![\pi_1]\!],$ $\bigcup_{y \in Y} \langle y, k_2, n_2, z\rangle \in \mathcal{E}_\uparrow[\![\pi_2]\!]\}$
location path $\pi_1 \mid \pi_2$: nset $\times$ nset $\rightarrow$ nset $\mathcal{E}_\uparrow[\![\pi_1]\!] \cup \mathcal{E}_\uparrow[\![\pi_2]\!]$
position() : $\rightarrow$ num $\{\langle x, k, n, k\rangle \mid \langle x, k, n\rangle \in \mathbf{C}\}$
last() : $\rightarrow$ num $\{\langle x, k, n, n\rangle \mid \langle x, k, n\rangle \in \mathbf{C}\}$

$$\mathcal{E}_\uparrow[\![Op(e_1, \ldots, e_m)]\!] :=$$
$$\{\langle \vec{c}, \mathcal{F}[\![Op]\!](v_1, \ldots, v_m)\rangle \mid \vec{c} \in \mathbf{C}, \langle \vec{c}, v_1\rangle \in \mathcal{E}_\uparrow[\![e_1]\!], \ldots, \langle \vec{c}, v_m\rangle \in \mathcal{E}_\uparrow[\![e_m]\!]\}$$

Example: Formal Semantics of Xpath Relational Operators

$\mathcal{F}[\![RelOp : \text{nset} \times \text{nset} \rightarrow \text{bool}]\!](S_1, S_2)$ $\exists n_1 \in S_1, n_2 \in S_2 : \text{strval}(n_1)\ RelOp\ \text{strval}(n_2)$
$\mathcal{F}[\![RelOp : \text{nset} \times \text{num} \rightarrow \text{bool}]\!](S, v)$ $\exists n \in S : \text{to\_number}(\text{strval}(n))\ RelOp\ v$
$\mathcal{F}[\![RelOp : \text{nset} \times \text{str} \rightarrow \text{bool}]\!](S, s)$ $\exists n \in S : \text{strval}(n)\ RelOp\ s$
$\mathcal{F}[\![RelOp : \text{nset} \times \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}]\!](S, b)$ $\mathcal{F}[\![\text{boolean}]\!](S)\ RelOp\ b$
$\mathcal{F}[\![EqOp : \text{bool} \times (\text{str} \cup \text{num} \cup \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool}]\!](b, x)$ $b\ EqOp\ \mathcal{F}[\![\text{boolean}]\!](x)$
$\mathcal{F}[\![EqOp : \text{num} \times (\text{str} \cup \text{num}) \rightarrow \text{bool}]\!](v, x)$ $v\ EqOp\ \mathcal{F}[\![\text{number}]\!](x)$
$\mathcal{F}[\![EqOp : \text{str} \times \text{str} \rightarrow \text{bool}]\!](s_1, s_2)$ $s_1\ EqOp\ s_2$
$\mathcal{F}[\![GtOp : (\text{str} \cup \text{num} \cup \text{bool}) \times$ $(\text{str} \cup \text{num} \cup \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool}]\!](x_1, x_2)$ $\mathcal{F}[\![\text{number}]\!](x_1)\ GtOp\ \mathcal{F}[\![\text{number}]\!](x_2)$

Std. Semantics of Location Paths

$P[\![\chi::t[e_1]\cdots[e_m]]\!](x) :=$
begin
  $S := \{y \mid x\chi y,\ y \in T(t)\}$;
  for $1 \le i \le m$ (in ascending order) **do**
    $S := \{y \in S \mid [\![e_i]\!](y, \mathrm{idx}_\chi(y,S), |S|) = \mathbf{true}\}$;
  return S;
end;
$P[\![\pi_1|\pi_2]\!](x) := P[\![\pi_1]\!](x) \cup P[\![\pi_2]\!](x)$
$P[\![/\pi]\!](x) := P[\![\pi]\!](\mathrm{root})$
$P[\![\pi_1/\pi_2]\!](x) := \bigcup_{y \in P[\![\pi_1]\!](x)} P[\![\pi_2]\!](y)$

First formal semantics of a relevant fragment of XPath: Phil Wadler 1999

Context-value Tables (CVT)

- Four types of values (*nset, num, str, bool*)
- Defined for each XPath expression *e*
- The CVT of *e* is a relation $R \subseteq C \times (nset \cup num \cup str \cup bool)$

Parse Tree of the Query

Query:
child::b/following::*[position() != last() and self::b]

Query Tree:



<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N6: position()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	1
b2	2	3	2
c2	3	3	3
b2	1	2	1
c2	2	2	2
c2	1	1	1

(In fact, this is only a relevant subset of the full tables.)

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N6: position()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	1
b2	2	3	2
c2	3	3	3
b2	1	2	1
c2	2	2	2
c2	1	1	1

N7: last()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	3
b2	2	3	3
c2	3	3	3
b2	1	2	2
c2	2	2	2
c2	1	1	1

(In fact, this is only a relevant subset of the full tables.)

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N4: N6 != N7			
cn	cp	cs	res

N6: position()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	1
b_2	2	3	2
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	1
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

N7: last()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	3
b_2	2	3	3
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	2
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_4: N_6 != N_7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	true

N_6: position()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	1
b_2	2	3	2
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	1
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

N_7: last()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	3
b_2	2	3	3
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	2
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>





N_4: N_6 != N_7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	true
b_2	2	3	true

N_6: position()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	1
b_2	2	3	2
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	1
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

N_7: last()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	3
b_2	2	3	3
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	2
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>





N_4: N_6 != N_7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	true
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false

N_6: position()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	1
b_2	2	3	2
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	1
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

N_7: last()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	3
b_2	2	3	3
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	2
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_4: N_6 != N_7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	true
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true

N_6: position()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	1
b_2	2	3	2
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	1
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

N_7: last()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	3
b_2	2	3	3
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	2
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_4: N_6 != N_7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	true
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false

N_6: position()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	1
b_2	2	3	2
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	1
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

N_7: last()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	3
b_2	2	3	3
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	2
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N4: N6 != N7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	true
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

N6: position()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	1
b_2	2	3	2
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	1
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

N7: last()			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	3
b_2	2	3	3
c_2	3	3	3
b_2	1	2	2
c_2	2	2	2
c_2	1	1	1

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N8: self::b			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	{ }
b2	2	3	{ b2 }
c2	3	3	{ }
b2	1	2	{ b2 }
c2	2	2	{ }
c2	1	1	{ }

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_5: boolean(N_8)			
cn	cp	cs	res

N_8: self::b			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	{ }
b_2	2	3	{ b_2 }
c_2	3	3	{ }
b_2	1	2	{ b_2 }
c_2	2	2	{ }
c_2	1	1	{ }

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_5: boolean(N_8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false

N_8: self::b			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	{ }
b_2	2	3	{ b_2 }
c_2	3	3	{ }
b_2	1	2	{ b_2 }
c_2	2	2	{ }
c_2	1	1	{ }

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_5: boolean(N_8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true

N_8: self::b			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	{ }
b_2	2	3	{ b_2 }
c_2	3	3	{ }
b_2	1	2	{ b_2 }
c_2	2	2	{ }
c_2	1	1	{ }

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_5: boolean(N_8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false

N_8: self::b			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	{ }
b_2	2	3	{ b_2 }
c_2	3	3	{ }
b_2	1	2	{ b_2 }
c_2	2	2	{ }
c_2	1	1	{ }

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_5: boolean(N_8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true

N_8: self::b			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	{ }
b_2	2	3	{ b_2 }
c_2	3	3	{ }
b_2	1	2	{ b_2 }
c_2	2	2	{ }
c_2	1	1	{ }

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_5: boolean(N_8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false

N_8: self::b			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	{ }
b_2	2	3	{ b_2 }
c_2	3	3	{ }
b_2	1	2	{ b_2 }
c_2	2	2	{ }
c_2	1	1	{ }

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_5: boolean(N_8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

N_8: self::b			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	{ }
b_2	2	3	{ b_2 }
c_2	3	3	{ }
b_2	1	2	{ b_2 }
c_2	2	2	{ }
c_2	1	1	{ }

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N3: N4 and N5			
cn	cp	cs	res

N4: N6 != N7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	true
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

N5: boolean(N8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N3: N4 and N5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	false

N4: N6 != N7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	true
b2	2	3	true
c2	3	3	false
b2	1	2	true
c2	2	2	false
c2	1	1	false

N5: boolean(N8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	false
b2	2	3	true
c2	3	3	false
b2	1	2	true
c2	2	2	false
c2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N3: N4 and N5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	false
b2	2	3	true

N4: N6 != N7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	true
b2	2	3	true
c2	3	3	false
b2	1	2	true
c2	2	2	false
c2	1	1	false

N5: boolean(N8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	false
b2	2	3	true
c2	3	3	false
b2	1	2	true
c2	2	2	false
c2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N3: N4 and N5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	false
b2	2	3	true
c2	3	3	false

N4: N6 != N7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	true
b2	2	3	true
c2	3	3	false
b2	1	2	true
c2	2	2	false
c2	1	1	false

N5: boolean(N8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	false
b2	2	3	true
c2	3	3	false
b2	1	2	true
c2	2	2	false
c2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N3: N4 and N5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	false
b2	2	3	true
c2	3	3	false
b2	1	2	true

N4: N6 != N7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	true
b2	2	3	true
c2	3	3	false
b2	1	2	true
c2	2	2	false
c2	1	1	false

N5: boolean(N8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c1	1	3	false
b2	2	3	true
c2	3	3	false
b2	1	2	true
c2	2	2	false
c2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N3: N4 and N5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false

N4: N6 != N7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	true
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

N5: boolean(N8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N3: N4 and N5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

N4: N6 != N7			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	true
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

N5: boolean(N8)			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_2: following::*[N_3]			
cn	cp	cs	res

N_3: N_4 and N_5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_2: following::*[N_3]			
cn	cp	cs	res
a	.	.	{ }

N_3: N_4 and N_5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_2: following::*[N_3]			
cn	cp	cs	res
a	.	.	{ }
b_1	.	.	{ b_2 }

N_3: N_4 and N_5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_2: following::*[N_3]			
cn	cp	cs	res
a	.	.	{ }
b_1	.	.	{ b_2 }
c_1	.	.	{ b_2 }

N_3: N_4 and N_5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_2: following::*[N_3]			
cn	cp	cs	res
a	.	.	{ }
b_1	.	.	{ b_2 }
c_1	.	.	{ b_2 }
b_2	.	.	{ }

N_3: N_4 and N_5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_2: following::*[N_3]			
cn	cp	cs	res
a	.	.	{ }
b_1	.	.	{ b_2 }
c_1	.	.	{ b_2 }
b_2	.	.	{ }
c_2	.	.	{ }

N_3: N_4 and N_5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_1: child::b/N_2			
cn	cp	cs	res

N_2: following::*[N_3]			
cn	cp	cs	res
a	.	.	{ }
b_1	.	.	{ b_2 }
c_1	.	.	{ b_2 }
b_2	.	.	{ }
c_2	.	.	{ }

N_3: N_4 and N_5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_1: child::b/N_2			
cn	cp	cs	res
a	.	.	{ b_2 }

N_2: following::*[N_3]			
cn	cp	cs	res
a	.	.	{ }
b_1	.	.	{ b_2 }
c_1	.	.	{ b_2 }
b_2	.	.	{ }
c_2	.	.	{ }

N_3: N_4 and N_5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

<a> <b/> <c/> <b/> <c/>



N_1: child::b/N_2			
cn	cp	cs	res
a	.	.	{ b_2 }
b_1	.	.	{ }
c_1	.	.	{ }
b_2	.	.	{ }
c_2	.	.	{ }

N_2: following::*[N_3]			
cn	cp	cs	res
a	.	.	{ }
b_1	.	.	{ b_2 }
c_1	.	.	{ b_2 }
b_2	.	.	{ }
c_2	.	.	{ }

N_3: N_4 and N_5			
cn	cp	cs	res
c_1	1	3	false
b_2	2	3	true
c_2	3	3	false
b_2	1	2	true
c_2	2	2	false
c_2	1	1	false

Context-Value Table Principle

if CVT for each operation $Op(e_1,...,e_n)$ can be computed in polynomial time given the CVTs for sub-expressions $e_1,...,e_n$

then CVT of overall query can be computed (bottom-up) in polynomial time.

Time and Space Bounds

Bottom-up evaluation based on CVT:

- **Time $O(|data|^5 * |query|^2)$, Space $O(|data|^4 * |query|^2)$.**

Space bound (n … number of nodes in input document.):

- Contexts are at most triples: at most n^3 contexts.
- Sizes of values:
  - Node sets: at most O(n)
  - Strings, numbers: at most O(|data|* |query|) – (iterated concatenation of strings, multiplication of numbers)

$\Rightarrow$ Each CVT is of size ($|data|^4 * |query|$).

Need to compute a CVT for each query node and each input node

→ (|data| * |query|) ($|data|^4 * |query|$)

Time bound: most expensive computation is $O(n^2)$ – Relational operation "=" on node sets (e.g. a/b//c[d//e/f/g = h/i//j])

Efficiency of the PTIME Algorithm

- Time Complexity $O(|D|^5 * |Q|^2)$
- Space Complexity $O(|D|^4 * |Q|^2)$
- In practice, most queries run in quadratic time
- This is for main-memory implementations.
- Adaptation to secondary storage algorithms with PTIME complexity is easy (but with worse bounds than the ones given above).

Alternative Context Representation

- Contexts represented as
  ("previous context node", "current context node")
  rather than
  ("context node", "position", "size").
- Need to recompute "position" and "size" on demand.

```
//a/b[position() + 1 = size()]
```

child::b … { (1,2), (1,3), (1,4), (5,6), (5,7) }

child::b[position()+1=size()] … { (1,3), (5,6) }



- Complexity lowered to time $O(|data|^4 * |query|^2)$, space $O(|data|^3 * |query|^2)$.

Context Simplification Technique

1. Only materialize relevant context.
2. Core Xpath evaluation algorithm for outermost and innermost paths //a/b/c//d[…]/e[…(a/b/c)].
3. Treating “position” and “size” in a loop.
   - Because of tree shape of query, loops never have to be nested.



Linear Space Fragment

- "Wadler Fragment" [Wadler, 1999]: Core Xpath + position(), last(), and arithmetics.
- Evaluation in *quadratic time and linear space*.



- For x in [[//a]] compute contexts (y,p,n) in x.[[b]]
  Compute Y = { y | (y,p,n) ∈ x.[[b]] and p*2=n }.
- Similarly, compute Z = { z | z.[[d[position()*3 = last()]]] is true}.
- Compute X = { x | z ∈ Z, x ∈ z.[[child::c]]$^{-1}$ } – in linear time.
- Result is { w | v ∈ X ∩ Y, w ∈ v.[[descendant::e]] }.

Summary

Full XPath

- Bottom-up algorithm based on CVT
  - Time $O(|data|^5 * |query|^2)$, space $O(|data|^4 * |query|^2)$.
- Top-down evaluation
  - Time $O(|data|^4 * |query|^2)$, space $O(|data|^3 * |query|^2)$.
- Context-reduction technique
  - Time $O(|data|^4 * |query|^2)$, space $O(|data|^2 * |query|^2)$.

Wadler fragment

- Time $O(|data|^2 * |query|^2)$, space $O(|data| * |query|)$.

Core Xpath

- Time and space $O(|data| * |query|)$.

END
Lecture 7