# COMP4161 Advanced Topics in Software Verification





Thomas Sewell, Miki Tanaka, Rob Sison T3/2024



# Content

→ Foundations & Principles	
<ul> <li>Intro, Lambda calculus, natural deduction</li> </ul>	[1,2]
<ul> <li>Higher Order Logic, Isar (part 1)</li> </ul>	[2,3 <sup>a</sup> ]
Term rewriting	[3,4]
→ Proof & Specification Techniques	
<ul> <li>Inductively defined sets, rule induction</li> </ul>	[4,5]
<ul> <li>Datatype induction, primitive recursion</li> </ul>	[5,7]
<ul> <li>General recursive functions, termination proofs</li> </ul>	[7]
<ul> <li>Proof automation, Isar (part 2)</li> </ul>	[8 <sup>b</sup> ]
<ul> <li>Hoare logic, proofs about programs, invariants</li> </ul>	[8,9]
C verification	[9,10]
<ul> <li>Practice, questions, exam prep</li> </ul>	[10 <sup>c</sup> ]



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>a1 due; <sup>b</sup>a2 due; <sup>c</sup>a3 due

We used a datatype com to represent the syntax of IMP.

→ We then defined semantics over this datatype.



We used a **datatype** *com* to represent the **syntax** of IMP.

→ We then defined semantics over this datatype.

This is called a deep embedding:

→ separate representation of language terms and their semantics.

We used a **datatype** *com* to represent the **syntax** of IMP.

→ We then defined semantics over this datatype.

# This is called a deep embedding:

→ separate representation of language terms and their semantics.

## Advantages:

- → Prove general theorems about the **language**, not just of programs.
- → e.g. expressiveness, correct compilation, inference completeness ...
- → usually by induction over the syntax or semantics.



## We used a **datatype** *com* to represent the **syntax** of IMP.

→ We then defined semantics over this datatype.

## This is called a **deep embedding**:

→ separate representation of language terms and their semantics.

## Advantages:

- → Prove general theorems about the **language**, not just of programs.
- → e.g. expressiveness, correct compilation, inference completeness ...
- → usually by induction over the syntax or semantics.

## Disadvantages:

- → Semantically equivalent programs are not obviously equal.
- → e.g. "IF True THEN SKIP ELSE SKIP = SKIP" is not a true theorem.
- → Many concepts already present in the logic must be reinvented.



**Shallow Embedding:** represent only the semantics, directly in the logic.

- → A definition for each language construct, giving its **semantics**.
- → Programs are represented as instances of these definitions.

**Shallow Embedding:** represent only the semantics, directly in the logic.

- → A definition for each language construct, giving its **semantics**.
- → Programs are represented as instances of these definitions.

**Example:** program semantics as functions *state* ⇒ *state* 

SKIP ≡

**Shallow Embedding:** represent only the semantics, directly in the logic.

- → A definition for each language construct, giving its **semantics**.
- → Programs are represented as instances of these definitions.

**Example:** program semantics as functions  $state \Rightarrow state$ 

SKIP  $\equiv \lambda s. s$ 

**Shallow Embedding:** represent only the semantics, directly in the logic.

- → A definition for each language construct, giving its **semantics**.
- → Programs are represented as instances of these definitions.

**Example:** program semantics as functions  $state \Rightarrow state$ 

SKIP  $\equiv \lambda s. s$ 

IF b THEN c ELSE d  $\equiv$ 

**Shallow Embedding:** represent only the semantics, directly in the logic.

- → A definition for each language construct, giving its **semantics**.
- → Programs are represented as instances of these definitions.

**Example:** program semantics as functions  $state \Rightarrow state$ 

SKIP  $\equiv \lambda s. s$ 

IF b THEN c ELSE d  $\equiv \lambda$ s. if b s then c s else d s

**Shallow Embedding:** represent only the semantics, directly in the logic.

- → A definition for each language construct, giving its **semantics**.
- → Programs are represented as instances of these definitions.

**Example:** program semantics as functions *state* ⇒ *state* 

 $SKIP \equiv \quad \lambda s. \ s$  IF b THEN c ELSE d  $\equiv \quad \lambda s. \ if \ b \ s \ then \ c \ s \ else \ d \ s$ 

- → "IF True THEN SKIP ELSE SKIP = SKIP" is now a true statement.
- → can use the simplifier to do semantics-preserving program rewriting.

**Shallow Embedding:** represent only the semantics, directly in the logic.

- → A definition for each language construct, giving its **semantics**.
- → Programs are represented as instances of these definitions.

**Example:** program semantics as functions *state* ⇒ *state* 

 $SKIP \equiv \quad \lambda s. \ s$  IF b THEN c ELSE d  $\equiv \quad \lambda s.$  if b s then c s else d s

- → "IF True THEN SKIP ELSE SKIP = SKIP" is now a true statement.
- → can use the simplifier to do semantics-preserving program rewriting.

Today: a shallow embedding for (interesting parts of) C semantics



Records are *n*-tuples with named components

Records are *n*-tuples with named components

**Example:** 

record A = a :: nat

b :: int



Records are *n*-tuples with named components

## Example:

record A = a :: nat

b :: int

→ Selectors:  $a :: A \Rightarrow nat$ ,  $b :: A \Rightarrow int$ , a r = Suc 0

Records are *n*-tuples with named components

# Example:

```
record A = a :: nat
b :: int
```

- → Selectors:  $a :: A \Rightarrow nat$ ,  $b :: A \Rightarrow int$ , a r = Suc 0
- → Constructors: (|a = Suc 0, b = -1|)

Records are *n*-tuples with named components

# Example:

```
record A = a :: nat
b :: int
```

- → Selectors:  $a :: A \Rightarrow nat$ ,  $b :: A \Rightarrow int$ , a r = Suc 0
- → Constructors: (|a = Suc 0, b = -1|)
- → Update: r(|a| = Suc 0 |),  $b_update (\lambda b. b + 1) r$

## Records are *n*-tuples with named components

## Example:

```
record A = a :: nat

b :: int

\Rightarrow Selectors: a :: A \Rightarrow nat, b :: A \Rightarrow int, a r = Suc 0

\Rightarrow Constructors: (|a = Suc 0, b = -1 |)

\Rightarrow Update: r(|a := Suc 0), b_{-}update (\lambda b. b + 1) r
```

#### Records are extensible:

## Records are *n*-tuples with named components

## Example:

```
record A = a :: nat
b :: int

Selectors: a :: A \Rightarrow nat, b :: A \Rightarrow int, a r = \text{Suc } 0

Constructors: (| a = Suc 0, b = -1 |)

Update: r(| a := Suc 0 |), b_update (\lambda b. b + 1) r
```

#### Records are extensible:

record B = A + 
$$c :: nat \ list$$
 (| a = Suc 0, b = -1, c = [0,0] |)

# **DEMO**

**Shallow embedding** suitable for (a useful fragment of) C.



**Shallow embedding** suitable for (a useful fragment of) C.

Can express lots of C ideas:

- → Access to volatile variables, external APIs: Nondeterminism
- → Undefined behaviour: Failure
- → Early exit (return, break, continue): Exceptional control flow

**Shallow embedding** suitable for (a useful fragment of) C.

Can express lots of C ideas:

- → Access to volatile variables, external APIs: Nondeterminism
- → Undefined behaviour: Failure
- → Early exit (return, break, continue): Exceptional control flow

Relatively straightforward Hoare logic



**Shallow embedding** suitable for (a useful fragment of) C.

Can express lots of C ideas:

- → Access to volatile variables, external APIs: Nondeterminism
- → Undefined behaviour: Failure
- → Early exit (return, break, continue): Exceptional control flow

Relatively straightforward Hoare logic

Used extensively in the seL4 microkernel verification work.



**Shallow embedding** suitable for (a useful fragment of) C.

Can express lots of C ideas:

- → Access to volatile variables, external APIs: Nondeterminism
- → Undefined behaviour: Failure
- → Early exit (return, break, continue): Exceptional control flow

Relatively straightforward Hoare logic

Used extensively in the seL4 microkernel verification work.

**AutoCorres**: verified translation from deeply embedded C to monadic representation

→ Specifically designed for humans to do proofs over.

Model the **semantics** of a (deterministic) computation as a function

$$\ddot{s}\Rightarrow(\ddot{a}\times\ddot{s})$$

Model the **semantics** of a (deterministic) computation as a function

$$\dot{s} \Rightarrow (\dot{a} \times \dot{s})$$

The computation operates over a **state** of type 's:

→ Includes all global variables, external devices, etc.

Model the **semantics** of a (deterministic) computation as a function

$$\dot{s} \Rightarrow (\dot{a} \times \dot{s})$$

The computation operates over a **state** of type 's:

→ Includes all global variables, external devices, etc.

The computation also yields a **return value** of type 'a:

→ models e.g. exit status and return values

Model the **semantics** of a (deterministic) computation as a function

$$\dot{s} \Rightarrow (\dot{a} \times \dot{s})$$

The computation operates over a **state** of type 's:

→ Includes all global variables, external devices, etc.

The computation also yields a **return value** of type 'a:

→ models e.g. exit status and return values

**return** – the computation that leaves the state unchanged and returns its argument:

return 
$$x \equiv \lambda s$$
.



Model the **semantics** of a (deterministic) computation as a function

$$\dot{s} \Rightarrow (\dot{a} \times \dot{s})$$

The computation operates over a **state** of type 's:

→ Includes all global variables, external devices, etc.

The computation also yields a **return value** of type 'a:

→ models e.g. exit status and return values

**return** – the computation that leaves the state unchanged and returns its argument:

return 
$$x \equiv \lambda s$$
.  $(x,s)$ 



**get** – returns the entire state without modifying it: get  $\equiv \lambda s$ .

get - returns the entire state without modifying it:

get  $\equiv \lambda s. (s,s)$ 

**get** – returns the entire state without modifying it:

get 
$$\equiv \lambda s. (s,s)$$

put - replaces the state and returns the unit value ():

put 
$$s \equiv$$

get - returns the entire state without modifying it:

get 
$$\equiv \lambda s. (s,s)$$

put - replaces the state and returns the unit value ():

put 
$$s \equiv \lambda_-$$
. ((), $s$ )

**get** – returns the entire state without modifying it:

get 
$$\equiv \lambda s. (s,s)$$

put - replaces the state and returns the unit value ():

put 
$$s \equiv \lambda_{-}$$
. ((), $s$ )

**bind** – sequences two computations; 2nd takes the first's result:

$$c>>=d\equiv$$

**get** – returns the entire state without modifying it:

get 
$$\equiv \lambda s. (s,s)$$

put - replaces the state and returns the unit value ():

put 
$$s \equiv \lambda_{-}$$
. ((), $s$ )

**bind** – sequences two computations; 2nd takes the first's result:

$$c \gg = d \equiv \lambda s$$
. let  $(r,s') = c s$  in  $d r s'$ 

**get** – returns the entire state without modifying it:

get 
$$\equiv \lambda s. (s,s)$$

**put** – replaces the state and returns the unit value ():

put 
$$s \equiv \lambda_{-}$$
. ((), $s$ )

**bind** – sequences two computations; 2nd takes the first's result:

$$c \gg = d \equiv \lambda s$$
. let  $(r,s') = c s$  in  $d r s'$ 

**gets** – returns a projection of the state; leaves state unchanged:

gets 
$$f \equiv$$

**get** – returns the entire state without modifying it:

get 
$$\equiv \lambda s. (s,s)$$

**put** – replaces the state and returns the unit value ():

put 
$$s \equiv \lambda_{-}$$
. ((), $s$ )

**bind** – sequences two computations; 2nd takes the first's result:

$$c \gg = d \equiv \lambda s$$
. let  $(r,s') = c s$  in  $d r s'$ 

**gets** – returns a projection of the state; leaves state unchanged:

gets 
$$f \equiv \text{get} \gg = (\lambda s. \text{ return } (f s))$$

**get** – returns the entire state without modifying it:

get 
$$\equiv \lambda s. (s,s)$$

**put** – replaces the state and returns the unit value ():

put 
$$s \equiv \lambda_{-}$$
. ((), $s$ )

**bind** – sequences two computations; 2nd takes the first's result:

$$c \gg = d \equiv \lambda s$$
. let  $(r,s') = c s$  in  $d r s'$ 

**gets** – returns a projection of the state; leaves state unchanged:

gets 
$$f \equiv \text{get} \gg = (\lambda s. \text{ return } (f s))$$

**modify** – applies its argument to modify the state; returns ():

modify 
$$f \equiv \text{get} \gg = (\lambda s. \text{ put } (f s))$$

Formally: a monad  ${\bf M}$  is a type constructor with two operations.

return ::  $\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \alpha$  bind ::  $\mathbf{M} \ \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta) \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta$ 

**Formally:** a monad **M** is a type constructor with two operations.

return ::  $\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \alpha$  bind ::  $\mathbf{M} \ \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta) \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta$ 

**Infix Notation:**  $a \gg = b$  is infix notation for bind a b

**Formally:** a monad **M** is a type constructor with two operations.

return :: 
$$\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \alpha$$
 bind ::  $\mathbf{M} \ \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta) \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta$ 

**Infix Notation:**  $a \gg = b$  is infix notation for bind a b

**Do-Notation:**  $a \gg = (\lambda x. \ b \ x)$  is often written as **do**  $\{ x \leftarrow a; b \ x \}$ 

**Formally:** a monad **M** is a type constructor with two operations.

return :: 
$$\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \alpha$$
 bind ::  $\mathbf{M} \ \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta) \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta$ 

**Infix Notation:**  $a \gg = b$  is infix notation for bind a b

**Do-Notation:**  $a \gg = (\lambda x. \ b \ x)$  is often written as **do**  $\{ x \leftarrow a; b \ x \}$ 

**Monad Laws:** 

**Formally:** a monad **M** is a type constructor with two operations.

return :: 
$$\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \alpha$$
 bind ::  $\mathbf{M} \ \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta) \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta$ 

**Infix Notation:**  $a \gg = b$  is infix notation for bind a b

**Do-Notation:**  $a \gg = (\lambda x. \ b \ x)$  is often written as **do**  $\{ x \leftarrow a; b \ x \}$ 

**Monad Laws:** 

return-left: (return 
$$x > = f$$
) =  $f x$ 

**Formally:** a monad **M** is a type constructor with two operations.

return :: 
$$\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \alpha$$
 bind ::  $\mathbf{M} \ \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta) \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta$ 

**Infix Notation:**  $a \gg = b$  is infix notation for bind a b

**Do-Notation:**  $a \gg = (\lambda x. \ b \ x)$  is often written as **do**  $\{ x \leftarrow a; b \ x \}$ 

**Monad Laws:** 

return-left: (return 
$$x > = f$$
) =  $f x$ 

**return-right:** 
$$(m \gg = \text{return}) = m$$

**Formally:** a monad **M** is a type constructor with two operations.

return :: 
$$\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \alpha$$
 bind ::  $\mathbf{M} \ \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta) \Rightarrow \mathbf{M} \ \beta$ 

**Infix Notation:**  $a \gg = b$  is infix notation for bind a b

**Do-Notation:**  $a \gg = (\lambda x. \ b \ x)$  is often written as **do**  $\{ x \leftarrow a; b \ x \}$ 

**Monad Laws:** 

return-left: (return x >>= f) = f x

**return-right:**  $(m \gg = \text{return}) = m$ 

**bind-assoc:**  $((a > = b) > = c) = (a > = (\lambda x. b x > = c))$ 

# State Monad: Example

```
A fragment of C:

void f(int *p) {

   int x = *p;

   if (x < 10) {

      *p = x+1;

   }
```

### State Monad: Example

```
record state =
                                   hp :: int ptr \Rightarrow int
A fragment of C:
                             f :: "int ptr \Rightarrow (state \Rightarrow (unit, state))"
void f(int *p) {
                             f p \equiv
    int x = *p;
                             do {
    if (x < 10) {
                                x \leftarrow gets (\lambda s. hp s p);
       *p = x+1;
                                if x < 10 then
                                   modify (hp_update (\lambdah. (h(p := x + 1))))
                                else
                                   return ()
```

Computations can **fail**:  $s \Rightarrow ((a \times bool))$ 

Computations can **fail**:  $s \Rightarrow ((a \times bool)$ 

**bind** – fails when either computation fails bind  $ab \equiv \mathbf{let} ((r,s'),f) = as; ((r'',s''),f') = brs' \mathbf{in} ((r'',s''),f \vee f')$ 

Computations can **fail**:  $s \Rightarrow ((a \times bool)$ 

**bind** – fails when either computation fails bind  $ab \equiv \mathbf{let} ((r,s'),f) = as; ((r'',s''),f') = brs' \mathbf{in} ((r'',s''),f \vee f')$ 

**fail** – the computation that always fails: fail  $\equiv \lambda s$ . (undefined, True)



Computations can **fail**: 
$$s \Rightarrow ((a \times bool))$$

**bind** – fails when either computation fails bind 
$$ab \equiv \mathbf{let} ((r,s'),f) = as; ((r'',s''),f') = brs' \mathbf{in} ((r'',s''),f \vee f')$$

fail - the computation that always fails:

fail  $\equiv \lambda$ s. (undefined, True)

assert – fails when given condition is False:

assert  $P \equiv if P then return () else fail$ 



Computations can **fail**: 
$$s \Rightarrow ((a \times bool))$$

**bind** – fails when either computation fails bind 
$$ab \equiv \mathbf{let} ((r,s'),f) = as; ((r'',s''),f') = brs' \mathbf{in} ((r'',s''),f \vee f')$$

fail - the computation that always fails:

fail  $\equiv \lambda$ s. (undefined, True)

assert - fails when given condition is False:

assert  $P \equiv if P then return () else fail$ 

**guard** – fails when given condition applied to the state is False: guard  $P \equiv get \gg = (\lambda s. \ assert \ (P \ s))$ 



### Guards

Used to assert the absence of undefined behaviour in C



### Guards

Used to assert the absence of undefined behaviour in C

→ pointer validity, absence of divide by zero, signed overflow, etc.

#### Guards

Used to assert the absence of undefined behaviour in C

→ pointer validity, absence of divide by zero, signed overflow, etc.

Computations can be **nondeterministic:**  $s \Rightarrow ((a \times b) \text{ set } \times \text{ bool})$ 

Computations can be **nondeterministic:**  $s \Rightarrow ((a \times b) \text{ set} \times bool)$ 

Nondeterminism: computations return a set of possible results.

→ Allows underspecification: e.g. malloc, external devices, etc.



Computations can be **nondeterministic:**  $s \Rightarrow ((a \times b) \text{ set} \times bool)$ 

Nondeterminism: computations return a set of possible results.

→ Allows underspecification: e.g. malloc, external devices, etc.

bind - runs 2nd computation for all results returned by the first:

bind 
$$ab \equiv \lambda s.$$
 ( $\{(r",s"). \exists (r',s") \in fst (as). (r",s") \in fst (br's")\}, snd  $(as) \lor (\exists (r',s") \in fst (as). snd (br's"))$ )$ 

Computations can be **nondeterministic:**  $s \Rightarrow ((a \times b) \text{ set} \times bool)$ 

Nondeterminism: computations return a set of possible results.

→ Allows underspecification: e.g. malloc, external devices, etc.

bind – runs 2nd computation for all results returned by the first:

bind 
$$ab \equiv \lambda s.$$
 ( $\{(r",s"). \exists (r',s") \in fst (as). (r",s") \in fst (br's")\}, snd  $(as) \lor (\exists (r',s") \in fst (as). snd (br's"))$ )$ 

All non-failing computations so far are **deterministic**:

- $\rightarrow$  e.g. return  $x \equiv \lambda$ s. ({(x,s)},False)
- → Others are similar.



Computations can be **nondeterministic:**  $s \Rightarrow ((a \times b) \text{ set } \times \text{ bool})$ 

Nondeterminism: computations return a set of possible results.

→ Allows underspecification: e.g. malloc, external devices, etc.

bind – runs 2nd computation for all results returned by the first:

bind 
$$ab \equiv \lambda s.$$
 ( $\{(r",s"). \exists (r',s") \in fst (as). (r",s") \in fst (br's")\}, snd  $(as) \lor (\exists (r',s") \in fst (as). snd (br's"))$ )$ 

All non-failing computations so far are **deterministic**:

- $\rightarrow$  e.g. return  $x \equiv \lambda$ s. ({(x,s)},False)
- → Others are similar.

select - nondeterministic selection from a set:

select 
$$A \equiv \lambda s$$
.  $((A \times \{s\}), False)$ 



# **DEMO**

Monadic while loop, defined inductively.



Monadic while loop, defined **inductively**.

whileLoop :: ('
$$a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool$$
)  $\Rightarrow$   
(' $a \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow (a \times s) \text{ set } \times bool$ ))  $\Rightarrow$   
(' $a \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow (a \times s) \text{ set } \times bool$ ))

### Monadic while loop, defined **inductively**.

```
whileLoop :: ('a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow

('a \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow (a \times s) \text{ set } \times bool)) \Rightarrow

('a \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow (a \times s) \text{ set } \times bool))
```

### whileLoop CB

- → condition C: takes loop parameter and state as arguments, returns bool
- → monadic body B: takes loop parameter as argument, return-value is the updated loop parameter
- → fails if the loop body ever fails or if the loop never terminates

Monadic while loop, defined **inductively**.

whileLoop :: ('
$$a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool$$
)  $\Rightarrow$   
(' $a \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow (a \times s) \text{ set } \times bool$ ))  $\Rightarrow$   
(' $a \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow (a \times s) \text{ set } \times bool$ ))

### whileLoop CB

- → condition C: takes loop parameter and state as arguments, returns bool
- → monadic body B: takes loop parameter as argument, return-value is the updated loop parameter
- → fails if the loop body ever fails or if the loop never terminates

**Example:** whileLoop ( $\lambda p$  s. hp s p = 0) ( $\lambda p$ . return (ptrAdd p 1)) p

```
Results: while_results :: (a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
(a \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow (a \times s) \text{ set } \times bool)) \Rightarrow
((a \times s) \text{ option}) \times ((a \times s) \text{ option}) set
```

**Results:** while\_results :: 
$$(a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$$
 $(a \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow (a \times s) \text{ set } \times bool)) \Rightarrow$ 
 $((a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow (a \times s) \text{ set } \times bool)) \Rightarrow$ 
 $((a \times s) \text{ option}) \times ((a \times s) \text{ option})) \text{ set}$ 

$$\frac{\neg Crs}{(Some (r,s), Some (r,s)) \in while\_results CB} \text{ (terminate)}$$

**Results:** while\_results :: 
$$(a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$$
 $(a \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow (a \times s) \text{ set } \times bool)) \Rightarrow$ 
 $(((a \times s) \text{ option}) \times ((a \times s) \text{ option})) \times ((a \times s) \text{ option})) \times ((a \times s) \text{ option}))$  set
$$\frac{\neg C r s}{(\text{Some } (r,s), \text{ Some } (r,s)) \in \text{ while\_results } C B} \text{ (terminate)}$$

$$\frac{C r s \quad \text{snd } (B r s)}{(\text{Some } (r,s), \, \text{None}) \in \text{while\_results } C B} \text{ (fail)}$$



**Results:** while\_results :: 
$$(a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$$

$$(a \Rightarrow (s \Rightarrow (a \times s) \text{ set } \times bool)) \Rightarrow$$

$$(((a \times s) \text{ option}) \times ((a \times s) \text{ option})) \text{ set}$$

$$\frac{\neg C r s}{(\text{Some } (r,s), \text{ Some } (r,s)) \in \text{ while\_results } C B} \text{ (terminate)}$$

$$\frac{C r s \quad \text{snd } (B r s)}{(\text{Some } (r,s), \text{None}) \in \text{while\_results } C B}$$
 (fail)

$$\frac{\textit{Crs} \quad (\textit{r'},\textit{s'}) \in \mathsf{fst} \; (\textit{Brs}) \quad (\mathsf{Some} \; (\textit{r'},\textit{s'}), \; \textit{z}) \in \mathsf{while\_results} \; \textit{CB}}{(\mathsf{Some} \; (\textit{r},\textit{s}), \; \textit{z}) \in \mathsf{while\_results} \; \textit{CB}} \; \; (\mathsf{loop})$$



```
while_terminates :: (a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow

(a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow

(a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow

a \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool
```

while\_terminates :: 
$$('a \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$$
 $('a \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow ('a \times 's) \text{ set } \times bool)) \Rightarrow$ 
 $'a \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool$ 

$$\frac{\neg Crs}{\text{while_terminates } CBrs} \text{ (terminate)}$$

while\_terminates :: 
$$('a \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$$
 $('a \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow ('a \times 's) \text{ set } \times bool)) \Rightarrow$ 
 $'a \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool$ 

$$\frac{\neg Crs}{\text{while_terminates } CBrs} \text{ (terminate)}$$

$$\frac{Crs}{\text{while_terminates } CBrs} \Rightarrow CBrs \Rightarrow CBrs$$

$$\frac{Crs}{\text{while\_terminates } CBrs} \stackrel{\forall (r,s') \in \text{fst } (Brs). \text{ while\_terminates } CBrs}{\text{while\_terminates } CBrs} \text{ (loop)}$$

while\_terminates :: 
$$('a \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$$
 $('a \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow ('a \times 's) \text{ set } \times bool)) \Rightarrow$ 
 $`a \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool$ 

$$\frac{\neg \ C \ r \ s}{\text{while\_terminates} \ C \ B \ r \ s} \ \text{(terminate)}$$

$$\frac{C \ r \ s}{\text{while\_terminates} \ C \ B \ r \ s} \ \text{(loop)}$$

$$\frac{\text{while\_terminates} \ C \ B \ r \ s}{\text{while\_terminates} \ C \ B \ r \ s} \ \text{(loop)}$$

$$\frac{(\land r \ s) \ ( \ ( \ (r',s') \ ( \ Some \ (r,s), Some \ (r',s') ) \in \text{while\_results} \ C \ B \},}{\text{(Some} \ (r,s), None)} \ \in \text{while\_results} \ \lor$$

$$\neg \text{while\_terminates} \ C \ B \ r \ s))$$



#### Partial correctness:

$$\{P\}\ m\ \{Q\} \equiv \forall s.\ Ps \longrightarrow \forall (r,s') \in fst\ (ms).\ Qrs'$$

→ Post-condition *Q* is a predicate of return-value and result state.

#### Partial correctness:

$$\{P\}\ m\ \{Q\} \equiv \forall s.\ Ps \longrightarrow \forall (r,s') \in fst\ (ms).\ Qrs'$$

→ Post-condition *Q* is a predicate of return-value and result state.

$$\{\lambda s.\ P\ x\ s\}\ \text{return }x\ \{\lambda r\ s.\ P\ r\ s\}\$$
  $\{P\}$   $\{P\}$   $\{P\}$   $\{P\}$   $\{P\}$   $\{P\}$   $\{P\}$   $\{P\}$   $\{P\}$   $\{P\}$ 

#### Partial correctness:

$$\{P\}\ m\ \{Q\} \equiv \forall s.\ Ps \longrightarrow \forall (r,s') \in fst\ (ms).\ Qrs'$$

→ Post-condition *Q* is a predicate of return-value and result state.

$$\{\lambda s.\ Px\ s\}\ \text{return }x\ \{\lambda rs.\ Prs\}\ \{\lambda s.\ Ps\ s\}\ \text{get}\ \{P\}\ \{\ \}\ \text{put}\ x\ \{P\}$$

#### Partial correctness:

$$\{P\}\ m\ \{Q\} \equiv \forall s.\ Ps \longrightarrow \forall (r,s') \in fst\ (ms).\ Qrs'$$

→ Post-condition *Q* is a predicate of return-value and result state.

#### Partial correctness:

$$\{P\}\ m\ \{Q\} \equiv \forall s.\ Ps \longrightarrow \forall (r,s') \in fst\ (ms).\ Qrs'$$

→ Post-condition *Q* is a predicate of return-value and result state.

#### Partial correctness:

$$\{P\}\ m\ \{Q\} \equiv \forall s.\ Ps \longrightarrow \forall (r,s') \in \mathsf{fst}\ (ms).\ Qrs'$$

→ Post-condition *Q* is a predicate of return-value and result state.

#### Partial correctness:

$$\{P\}\ m\ \{Q\} \equiv \forall s.\ Ps \longrightarrow \forall (r,s') \in fst\ (ms).\ Qrs'$$

→ Post-condition *Q* is a predicate of return-value and result state.

$$\{\lambda s.\ P\ x\ s\}\ \text{return }x\ \{\lambda r\ s.\ P\ rs\}$$
  $\{\lambda s.\ P\ s\ s\}\ \text{get}\ \{P\}$   $\{\lambda s.\ P\ ()\ x\}\ \text{put}\ x\ \{P\}$ 

$$\{\lambda s.\ P\ (f\ s)\ s\}\ \text{gets}\ f\ \{P\}$$
  $\{\lambda s.\ P\ ()\ (f\ s)\}\ \text{modify}\ f\ \{P\}$ 

$$\{\lambda s.\ P\ \longrightarrow Q\ ()\ s\}\ \text{assert}\ P\ \{Q\}$$

#### Partial correctness:

$$\{P\}\ m\ \{Q\} \equiv \forall s.\ Ps \longrightarrow \forall (r,s') \in fst\ (ms).\ Qrs'$$

→ Post-condition *Q* is a predicate of return-value and result state.

$$\{\lambda s.\ P\ x\ s\}\ \text{return }x\ \{\lambda r\ s.\ P\ r\ s\}\ \{\lambda s.\ P\ s\ s\}\ \text{get}\ \{P\}\ \{\lambda s.\ P\ ()\ x\}\ \text{put}\ x\ \{P\}\ \{\lambda s.\ P\ ()\ x\}\ \text{put}\ x\ \{P\}\ \{\lambda s.\ P\ ()\ (f\ s)\}\ \text{modify}\ f\ \{P\}\ \{\lambda s.\ P\ \longrightarrow Q\ ()\ s\}\ \text{assert}\ P\ \{Q\}\ \{\lambda ..\ \text{True}\}\ \text{fail}\ \{Q\}\$$

 $\{ \}$  if P then f else g  $\{ S \}$ 



$$\frac{P \implies \{\!\!\{Q\!\!\}\ f\,\{\!\!\{S\!\!\}\} \quad \neg\ P \implies \{\!\!\{R\!\!\}\ g\,\{\!\!\{S\!\!\}\}}{\{\!\!\{\lambda s.(P \longrightarrow Q\,s) \land (\neg P \longrightarrow R\,s)\!\!\}\ \text{if }P\text{ then }f\text{ else }g\,\{\!\!\{S\!\!\}\}}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} P \Longrightarrow \{ \mid Q \mid \mid f \mid \mid S \mid \mid \neg P \Longrightarrow \{ \mid R \mid \mid g \mid \mid S \mid \} \\ \{ \mid \lambda s.(P \longrightarrow Q \mid s) \land (\neg P \longrightarrow R \mid s) \mid \mid \text{if } P \text{ then } f \text{ else } g \mid \mid S \mid \} \\ \\ \frac{\bigwedge x. \{ \mid B \mid x \mid \mid \mid g \mid x \mid \mid C \mid \mid \mid A \mid \mid \mid f \mid \mid B \mid \}}{\{ \mid A \mid \mid \mid do \mid x \leftarrow f, g \mid x \mid \} \{ \mid C \mid \mid A \mid \mid f \mid \mid B \mid \}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} P \Longrightarrow \{Q\} \ f \, \{S\} \quad \neg \ P \Longrightarrow \{R\} \ g \, \{S\} \\ \hline \{ \lambda s.(P \longrightarrow Q \ s) \ \land \ (\neg P \longrightarrow R \ s) \} \ \ \textbf{if} \ P \ \textbf{then} \ f \ \textbf{else} \ g \, \{S\} \\ \hline \frac{\bigwedge x. \, \{B \ x\} \ g \, x \, \{C\} \quad \{A\} \ f \, \{B\} \}}{\{A\} \ \textbf{do} \{ \ x \leftarrow f, \ g \, x \} \, \{C\} } \\ \hline \frac{\{B\} \ m \, \{Q\} \quad \land s. \ P \, s \Longrightarrow R \, s}{\{P\} \ m \, \{Q\} } \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} P \Longrightarrow \{Q\} \ f \{S\} \ \neg P \Longrightarrow \{R\} \ g \{S\} \\ \hline \{\lambda s.(P \longrightarrow Q s) \land (\neg P \longrightarrow R s)\} \ \ \textbf{if} \ P \ \textbf{then} \ f \ \textbf{else} \ g \{S\} \\ \hline \\ \underbrace{\bigwedge x. \{B x\} \ g x \{C\} \ \{A\} \ f \{B\} \}}_{\{A\} \ \textbf{do} \{\ x \leftarrow f; \ g x \} \{C\} } \\ \hline \\ \underbrace{\{R\} \ m \{Q\} \ \bigwedge s. \ P s \Longrightarrow R s}_{\{P\} \ m \{Q\}} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{ \bigwedge r. \ \{ \lambda s. \ Irs \land \ Crs \} \ B \ \{ I \} \quad \bigwedge rs. \ \llbracket Irs; \neg Crs \rrbracket \implies Qrs}{ \{ Ir \} \text{ whileLoop } CBr \ \{ Q \} }$$



# **DEMO**

### We have seen today

- → Deep and shallow embeddings
- → Isabelle records
- → Nondeterministic State Monad with Failure
- → Monadic Weakest Precondition Rules