COMP4161 Advanced Topics in Software Verification



Thomas Sewell, Miki Tanaka, Rob Sison T3/2024



Last Time

- → Syntax of a simple imperative language
- → Operational semantics
- → Program proof on operational semantics
- → Hoare logic rules
- → Soundness of Hoare logic

Content

→ Foundations & Principles	
 Intro, Lambda calculus, natural deduction 	[1,2]
 Higher Order Logic, Isar (part 1) 	[2,3 ^a]
Term rewriting	[3,4]
→ Proof & Specification Techniques	
 Inductively defined sets, rule induction 	[4,5]
 Datatype induction, primitive recursion 	[5,7]
 General recursive functions, termination proofs 	[7]
 Proof automation, Isar (part 2) 	[8 ^b]
 Hoare logic, proofs about programs, invariants 	[8,9]
 C verification 	[9,10]
 Practice, questions, exam prep 	[10 ^c]



^aa1 due; ^ba2 due; ^ca3 due

Automation?

Last time: Hoare rule application is nicer than using operational semantics.

BUT:

- → it's still kind of tedious
- → it seems boring & mechanical

Automation?





Problem: While – need creativity to find right (invariant) *P*

Problem: While – need creativity to find right (invariant) *P*

Solution:

→ annotate program with invariants



Problem: While – need creativity to find right (invariant) *P*

Solution:

- → annotate program with invariants
- → then, Hoare rules can be applied automatically

Problem: While – need creativity to find right (invariant) *P*

Solution:

- → annotate program with invariants
- → then, Hoare rules can be applied automatically

Example:

```
\{M = 0 \land N = 0\}
WHILE M \neq a \text{ INV } \{N = M * b\} \text{ DO}
N := N + b
M := M + 1
OD
\{N = a * b\}
```

pre
$$c$$
 Q = weakest P such that $\{P\}$ c $\{Q\}$

pre SKIP
$$Q$$
 =

pre
$$c$$
 Q = weakest P such that $\{P\}$ c $\{Q\}$

pre SKIP
$$Q$$
 = Q = Q

pre
$$c$$
 Q = weakest P such that $\{P\}$ c $\{Q\}$

pre SKIP
$$Q$$
 = Q
pre $(x := a) Q$ = $\lambda \sigma$. $Q (\sigma(x := a \sigma))$
pre $(c_1; c_2) Q$ =

pre
$$c$$
 Q = weakest P such that $\{P\}$ c $\{Q\}$

```
\begin{array}{llll} \operatorname{pre} \operatorname{SKIP} Q & = & Q \\ \operatorname{pre} \left( x := a \right) Q & = & \lambda \sigma. \ Q \left( \sigma(x := a \ \sigma) \right) \\ \operatorname{pre} \left( c_1; c_2 \right) Q & = & \operatorname{pre} c_1 \left( \operatorname{pre} c_2 \ Q \right) \\ \operatorname{pre} \left( \operatorname{IF} b \operatorname{THEN} c_1 \operatorname{ELSE} c_2 \right) Q & = & \end{array}
```

pre
$$c$$
 Q = weakest P such that $\{P\}$ c $\{Q\}$

```
\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{pre} \operatorname{SKIP} Q & = & Q \\ \operatorname{pre} \left( x := a \right) Q & = & \lambda \sigma. \ Q \left( \sigma(x := a \, \sigma) \right) \\ \operatorname{pre} \left( c_1; \, c_2 \right) Q & = & \operatorname{pre} \, c_1 \left( \operatorname{pre} \, c_2 \, Q \right) \\ \operatorname{pre} \left( \operatorname{IF} \, b \, \operatorname{THEN} \, c_1 \, \operatorname{ELSE} \, c_2 \right) Q & = & \lambda \sigma. \left( b \, \sigma \longrightarrow \operatorname{pre} \, c_1 \, Q \, \sigma \right) \wedge \\ \left( \neg \, b \sigma \longrightarrow \operatorname{pre} \, c_2 \, Q \, \sigma \right) \\ \operatorname{pre} \left( \operatorname{WHILE} \, b \, \operatorname{INV} \, I \, \operatorname{DO} \, c \, \operatorname{OD} \right) Q & = & \end{array}
```

pre
$$c$$
 Q = weakest P such that $\{P\}$ c $\{Q\}$

```
\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{pre} \operatorname{SKIP} Q & = & Q \\ \operatorname{pre} \left( x := a \right) Q & = & \lambda \sigma. \ Q \left( \sigma(x := a \, \sigma) \right) \\ \operatorname{pre} \left( c_1; \, c_2 \right) Q & = & \operatorname{pre} \, c_1 \left( \operatorname{pre} \, c_2 \, Q \right) \\ \operatorname{pre} \left( \operatorname{IF} \, b \, \operatorname{THEN} \, c_1 \, \operatorname{ELSE} \, c_2 \right) Q & = & \lambda \sigma. \left( b \, \sigma \longrightarrow \operatorname{pre} \, c_1 \, Q \, \sigma \right) \wedge \\ & & \left( \neg \, b \sigma \longrightarrow \operatorname{pre} \, c_2 \, Q \, \sigma \right) \\ \operatorname{pre} \left( \operatorname{WHILE} \, b \, \operatorname{INV} \, I \, \operatorname{DO} \, c \, \operatorname{OD} \right) Q & = & I \end{array}
```

 $\{pre\ c\ Q\}\ c\ \{Q\}$ only true under certain conditions

 $\{pre\ c\ Q\}\ c\ \{Q\}$ only true under certain conditions

These are called **verification conditions** vc *c Q*:

vc SKIP Q = True

 $\{pre\ c\ Q\}\ c\ \{Q\}$ only true under certain conditions

True

These are called **verification conditions** vc *c Q*:

vc SKIP Q =

 $\operatorname{vc}(x := a) Q = \operatorname{True}$

 $\{pre\ c\ Q\}\ c\ \{Q\}$ only true under certain conditions

These are called **verification conditions** vc c Q:

 $\operatorname{vc}\operatorname{SKIP} Q = \operatorname{True}$

 $\operatorname{vc}(x := a) Q = \operatorname{True}$

 $\operatorname{vc}\left(c_{1};c_{2}\right)Q = \operatorname{vc}\left(c_{2} Q \wedge \left(\operatorname{vc}\left(c_{1} \operatorname{cpre}\left(c_{2} Q\right)\right)\right)\right)$

 $\{pre\ c\ Q\}\ c\ \{Q\}$ only true under certain conditions

These are called **verification conditions** vc c Q:

 $\{pre\ c\ Q\}\ c\ \{Q\}$ only true under certain conditions

These are called **verification conditions** vc c Q:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{vc SKIP } Q & = & \text{True} \\ \text{vc } (x := a) \ Q & = & \text{True} \\ \text{vc } (c_1; c_2) \ Q & = & \text{vc } c_2 \ Q \land (\text{vc } c_1 \ (\text{pre } c_2 \ Q)) \\ \text{vc } (\text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } c_1 \ \text{ELSE } c_2) \ Q & = & \text{vc } c_1 \ Q \land \text{vc } c_2 \ Q \\ \text{vc } (\text{WHILE } b \ \text{INV } I \ \text{DO } c \ \text{OD}) \ Q & = & (\forall \sigma. \ I \ \sigma \land b \ \sigma \longrightarrow \text{pre } c \ I \ \sigma) \land \\ (\forall \sigma. \ I \ \sigma \land \neg b \ \sigma \longrightarrow Q \ \sigma) \land \\ \text{vc } c \ I & \text{vc } c \ I \ \sigma \land \neg b \ \sigma \longrightarrow Q \ \sigma) \land \\ \end{array}
```

 $\{pre\ c\ Q\}\ c\ \{Q\}$ only true under certain conditions

These are called **verification conditions** vc *c Q*:

$$\operatorname{vc} c Q \wedge (P \Longrightarrow \operatorname{pre} c Q) \Longrightarrow \{P\} c \{Q\}$$



- \rightarrow $x := \lambda \sigma$. 1 instead of x := 1 is ugly
- → $\{\lambda\sigma.\ \sigma\ x=n\}$ instead of $\{x=n\}$ is ugly too

- \rightarrow $x := \lambda \sigma$. 1 instead of x := 1 is ugly
- → $\{\lambda \sigma. \ \sigma \ x = n\}$ instead of $\{x = n\}$ is ugly too

Problem: program variables are functions, not values



- \rightarrow $x := \lambda \sigma$. 1 instead of x := 1 is ugly
- → $\{\lambda\sigma.\ \sigma\ x=n\}$ instead of $\{x=n\}$ is ugly too

Problem: program variables are functions, not values

Solution: distinguish program variables syntactically

- \rightarrow $x := \lambda \sigma$. 1 instead of x := 1 is ugly
- → $\{\lambda \sigma. \ \sigma \ x = n\}$ instead of $\{x = n\}$ is ugly too

Problem: program variables are functions, not values

Solution: distinguish program variables syntactically

Choices:

→ declare program variables with each Hoare triple



- \rightarrow $x := \lambda \sigma$. 1 instead of x := 1 is ugly
- → $\{\lambda\sigma.\ \sigma\ x=n\}$ instead of $\{x=n\}$ is ugly too

Problem: program variables are functions, not values

Solution: distinguish program variables syntactically

Choices:

- → declare program variables with each Hoare triple
 - nice, usual syntax
 - works well if you state full program and only use vcg



- \rightarrow $x := \lambda \sigma$. 1 instead of x := 1 is ugly
- → $\{\lambda\sigma.\ \sigma\ x=n\}$ instead of $\{x=n\}$ is ugly too

Problem: program variables are functions, not values

Solution: distinguish program variables syntactically

Choices:

- → declare program variables with each Hoare triple
 - nice, usual syntax
 - works well if you state full program and only use vcg
- → separate program variables from Hoare triple (use extensible records), indicate usage as function syntactically

- \rightarrow $x := \lambda \sigma$. 1 instead of x := 1 is ugly
- → $\{\lambda \sigma. \ \sigma \ x = n\}$ instead of $\{x = n\}$ is ugly too

Problem: program variables are functions, not values

Solution: distinguish program variables syntactically

Choices:

- → declare program variables with each Hoare triple
 - nice, usual syntax
 - works well if you state full program and only use vcg
- separate program variables from Hoare triple (use extensible records), indicate usage as function syntactically
 - more syntactic overhead
 - program pieces compose nicely



DEMO

Arrays

Depending on language, model arrays as functions:

→ Array access = function application:

$$a[i] = ai$$

→ Array update = function update:

$$a[i] :== v = a :== a(i:= v)$$

Arrays

Depending on language, model arrays as functions:

→ Array access = function application:

$$a[i] = a i$$

→ Array update = function update:

$$a[i] :== v = a :== a(i:= v)$$

Use lists to express length:

→ Array access = nth:

$$a[i] = a!i$$

→ Array update = list update:

$$a[i] :== v = a :== a[i:= v]$$

→ Array length = list length:

Choice 1

Choice 1

```
datatype ref = Ref int | Null
types heap = int ⇒ val
datatype val = Int int | Bool bool | Struct_x int int bool | ...

→ hp :: heap, p :: ref
→ Pointer access: *p = the_Int (hp (the_addr p))
→ Pointer update: *p :== v = hp :== hp ((the_addr p) := v)
```

Choice 1

```
datatype ref = Ref int | Null

types heap = int ⇒ val

datatype val = Int int | Bool bool | Struct_x int int bool | ...

→ hp :: heap, p :: ref

→ Pointer access: *p = the_Int (hp (the_addr p))

→ Pointer update: *p :== v = hp :== hp ((the_addr p) := v)
```

- → a bit klunky
- → gets even worse with structs
- → lots of value extraction (the_Int) in spec and program



Choice 2 (Burstall '72, Bornat '00)

Example: struct with next pointer and element

```
 \begin{array}{lll} \textbf{datatype} & \text{ref} & = \text{Ref int} \mid \text{Null} \\ \textbf{types} & \text{next\_hp} & = \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{ref} \\ \textbf{types} & \text{elem\_hp} & = \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int} \\ \end{array}
```

Choice 2 (Burstall '72, Bornat '00)

Example: struct with next pointer and element

```
datatype ref = Ref int | Null

types next_hp = int ⇒ ref

types elem_hp = int ⇒ int

→ next :: next_hp, elem :: elem_hp, p :: ref

→ Pointer access: p→next = next (the_addr p)

→ Pointer update: p→next :== v = next :== next ((the_addr p) := v)
```

Choice 2 (Burstall '72, Bornat '00)

Example: struct with next pointer and element

```
datatype ref = Ref int | Null

types next_hp = int ⇒ ref

types elem_hp = int ⇒ int

→ next :: next_hp, elem :: elem_hp, p :: ref

→ Pointer access: p→next = next (the_addr p)

→ Pointer update: p→next :== v = next :== next ((the_addr p) := v)
```

In general:

- → a separate heap for each struct field
- → buys you p→next ≠ p→elem automatically (aliasing)
- → still assumes type safe language



DEMO

We have seen today ...

- → Weakest precondition
- → Verification conditions
- → Example program proofs
- → Arrays, pointers

