

NICTA

COMP 4161

NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Toby Murray, June Andronick, Gerwin Klein

$$\{P\}\,\ldots\{Q\}$$

Slide 1

Content	NICTA
→ Intro & motivation, getting started	[1]
→ Foundations & Principles	
 Lambda Calculus, natural deduction 	[1,2]
Higher Order Logic	[3 ^a]
Term rewriting	[4]
→ Proof & Specification Techniques	
 Inductively defined sets, rule induction 	[5]
 Datatypes, recursion, induction 	[6, 7]
 Hoare logic, proofs about programs, C verification 	[8 ^b ,9]
 (mid-semester break) 	
 Writing Automated Proof Methods 	[10]
 Isar, codegen, typeclasses, locales 	[11 ^c ,12]
^a a1 due; ^b a2 due; ^c a3 due	

Slide 2

A CRASH COURSE IN SEMANTICS

Slide 3

NICTA

(FOR MORE, SEE THE BOOK *Concrete Semantics* BY TOBIAS NIPKOW AND GERWIN KLEIN)

IMP - a small Imperative Language



Commands:

datatype com

= SKIP

Assign vname aexp $(_{-} := _{-})$ Semi com com (_; _)

Cond bexp com com (IF _ THEN _ ELSE _)

While bexp com

(WHILE _ DO _ OD)

type_synonym vname = string

type_synonym state $vname \Rightarrow nat$

type_synonym aexp $state \Rightarrow nat$ type_synonym bexp = state ⇒ bool

Slide 5

Example Program



Usual syntax:

$$\begin{split} B := 1; \\ \text{WHILE } A \neq 0 \text{ DO} \\ B := B*A; \\ A := A-1 \end{split}$$
 OD

Expressions are functions from state to bool or nat:

$$\begin{split} B := (\lambda \sigma. \ 1); \\ \text{WHILE } (\lambda \sigma. \ \sigma \ A \neq 0) \ \text{DO} \\ B := (\lambda \sigma. \ \sigma \ B * \sigma \ A); \\ A := (\lambda \sigma. \ \sigma \ A - 1) \\ \text{OD} \end{split}$$

Slide 6

What does it do?



So far we have defined:

- → Syntax of commands and expressions
- → State of programs (function from variables to values)

Now we need: the meaning (semantics) of programs

How to define execution of a program?

- → A wide field of its own
- → Some choices:
 - Operational (inductive relations, big step, small step)
 - Denotational (programs as functions on states, state transformers)
 - Axiomatic (pre-/post conditions, Hoare logic)

Slide 7

Structural Operational Semantics



$$\langle \mathsf{SKIP}, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma$$

$$\frac{e \ \sigma = v}{\langle \mathsf{x} := \mathsf{e}, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma[x \mapsto v]}$$

$$\frac{\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma' \quad \langle c_2, \sigma' \rangle \to \sigma''}{\langle c_1; c_2, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma''}$$

$$\frac{b \ \sigma = \mathsf{True} \quad \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma'}{\langle \mathsf{IF} \ b \ \mathsf{THEN} \ c_1 \ \mathsf{ELSE} \ c_2, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma'}$$

$$\frac{b \ \sigma = \mathsf{False} \quad \langle c_2, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma'}{\langle \mathsf{IF} \ b \ \mathsf{THEN} \ c_1 \ \mathsf{ELSE} \ c_2, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma'}$$

Structural Operational Semantics



 $\frac{b \; \sigma = \mathsf{False}}{\langle \mathsf{WHILE} \; b \; \mathsf{DO} \; c \; \mathsf{OD}, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma}$

 $\frac{b \ \sigma = \mathsf{True} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma' \quad \langle \mathsf{WHILE} \ b \ \mathsf{DO} \ c \ \mathsf{OD}, \sigma' \rangle \to \sigma''}{\langle \mathsf{WHILE} \ b \ \mathsf{DO} \ c \ \mathsf{OD}, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma''}$

Slide 9



DEMO: THE DEFINITIONS IN ISABELLE

Slide 10

Proofs about Programs



Now we know:

→ What programs are: Syntax→ On what they work: State→ How they work: Semantics

So we can prove properties about programs

Example:

Show that example program from slide 6 implements the factorial.

$$\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{lemma} \ \langle \mbox{factorial}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \Longrightarrow \sigma' B = \mbox{fac} \ (\sigma A)$$
 (where
$$\mbox{fac} \ 0 = 1, \quad \mbox{fac (Suc} \ n) = (\mbox{Suc} \ n) * \mbox{fac} \ n)$$

Slide 11



6

DEMO: EXAMPLE PROOF



Induction needed for each loop

Is there something easier?

Slide 13

Floyd/Hoare



Idea: describe meaning of program by pre/post conditions

Examples:

$$\begin{cases} \mathsf{True} \} & x \coloneqq 2 \quad \{x=2\} \\ \{y=2\} \quad x \coloneqq 21 * y \quad \{x=42\} \end{cases}$$

$$\{x=n\} \quad \mathsf{IF} \ y < 0 \ \mathsf{THEN} \ x \coloneqq x+y \ \mathsf{ELSE} \ x \coloneqq x-y \quad \{x=n-|y|\}$$

$$\{A=n\} \quad \mathsf{factorial} \quad \{B=\mathsf{fac} \ n\}$$

Proofs: have rules that directly work on such triples

Slide 14

Meaning of a Hoare-Triple



$\{P\}$ c $\{Q\}$

What are the assertions P and Q?

- → Here: again functions from state to bool (shallow embedding of assertions)
- → Other choice: syntax and semantics for assertions (deep embedding)

What does $\{P\}$ c $\{Q\}$ mean?

Partial Correctness:

$$\models \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\} \quad \equiv \quad \forall \sigma \ \sigma'. \ P \ \sigma \wedge \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \longrightarrow Q \ \sigma'$$

Total Correctness:

$$\begin{tabular}{ll} \models \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\} & \equiv & (\forall \sigma \ \sigma'. \ P \ \sigma \land \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \longrightarrow Q \ \sigma') \land \\ & (\forall \sigma. \ P \ \sigma \longrightarrow \exists \sigma'. \ \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma') \\ \end{tabular}$$

This lecture: partial correctness only (easier)

Slide 15

Hoare Rules



$$\begin{array}{ll} \hline \{P\} \quad \mathsf{SKIP} \quad \{P\} \quad \overline{\{P[x\mapsto e]\}} \quad x := e \quad \{P\} \\ & \frac{\{P\}\,c_1\,\{R\}\,\ \{R\}\,c_2\,\{Q\}}{\{P\}\,\ c_1;c_2\ \{Q\}} \\ & \frac{\{P\wedge b\}\,c_1\,\{Q\}\,\ \{P\wedge \neg b\}\,c_2\,\{Q\}}{\{P\} \quad \mathsf{IF}\,\,b\,\,\mathsf{THEN}\,\,c_1\,\,\mathsf{ELSE}\,\,c_2\quad \{Q\} } \\ & \frac{\{P\wedge b\}\,c\,\{P\}\,\ P\wedge \neg b \Longrightarrow Q}{\{P\} \quad \mathsf{WHILE}\,\,b\,\,\mathsf{DO}\,\,c\,\,\mathsf{OD}\quad \{Q\}} \\ & \frac{P\Longrightarrow P'\quad \{P'\}\,c\,\,\{Q'\}\quad Q'\Longrightarrow Q}{\{P\}\quad c\quad \{Q\}} \end{array}$$

Slide 16

Hoare Rules



$$\vdash \{P\} \quad \mathsf{SKIP} \quad \{P\} \qquad \vdash \{\lambda \sigma. \ P \ (\sigma(x := e \ \sigma))\} \quad x := e \quad \{P\}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \{P\} \ c_1 \ \{R\} \ \vdash \{R\} \ c_2 \ \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} \ c_1; c_2 \ \{Q\}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \left\{\lambda\sigma.\ P\ \sigma \land b\ \sigma\right\}\ c_1\ \left\{R\right\}\quad \vdash \left\{\lambda\sigma.\ P\ \sigma \land \neg b\ \sigma\right\}\ c_2\ \left\{Q\right\}}{\vdash \left\{P\right\}\quad \mathsf{IF}\ b\ \mathsf{THEN}\ c_1\ \mathsf{ELSE}\ c_2\quad \left\{Q\right\}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \{\lambda \sigma. \ P \ \sigma \land b \ \sigma\} \ c \ \{P\} \quad \bigwedge \sigma. \ P \ \sigma \land \neg b \ \sigma \Longrightarrow Q \ \sigma}{\vdash \{P\} \quad \mathsf{WHILE} \ b \ \mathsf{DO} \ c \ \mathsf{OD} \quad \{Q\}}$$

$$\frac{\bigwedge \sigma.\ P\ \sigma \Longrightarrow P'\ \sigma \ \vdash \{P'\}\ c\ \{Q'\} \ \bigwedge \sigma.\ Q'\ \sigma \Longrightarrow Q\ \sigma}{\vdash \{P\}\ c\ \{Q\}}$$

Slide 17

Are the Rules Correct?



 $\textbf{Soundness:} \vdash \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\} \Longrightarrow \models \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\}$

Proof: by rule induction on $\vdash \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\}$

Demo: Hoare Logic in Isabelle