COMP 4161
NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Toby Murray, June Andronick, Gerwin Klein

$\lambda^{\rightarrow}$ and **HOL**

Slide 1

Last time...

- ➜ Simply typed lambda calculus: $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$
- ➜ Typing rules for $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$, type variables, type contexts
- ➜ β-reduction in $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$ satisfies subject reduction
- ➜ β-reduction in $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$ always terminates
- ➜ Types and terms in Isabelle

Slide 2

Content

- ➜ Intro & motivation, getting started [1]
- ➜ Foundations & Principles
  - Lambda Calculus, natural deduction [1,2]
  - Higher Order Logic [3[a]]
  - Term rewriting [4]
- ➜ Proof & Specification Techniques
  - Inductively defined sets, rule induction [5]
  - Datatypes, recursion, induction [6, 7]
  - Hoare logic, proofs about programs, C verification [8[b],9]
  - (mid-semester break)
  - Writing Automated Proof Methods [10]
  - Isar, codegen, typeclasses, locales [11[c],12]

[a] a1 due; [b] a2 due; [c] a3 due

Slide 3

PREVIEW: PROOFS IN ISABELLE

Slide 4

Copyright NICTA 2014, provided under Creative Commons Attribution License

Proofs in Isabelle

NICTA

General schema:

lemma name: "<goal>"
apply <method>
apply <method>
...
done

➜ Sequential application of methods until all **subgoals** are solved.

Slide 5

The Proof State

NICTA

1. $\bigwedge x_1 \ldots x_p . [\![A_1; \ldots ; A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow B$
2. $\bigwedge y_1 \ldots y_q . [\![C_1; \ldots ; C_m]\!] \Longrightarrow D$

$x_1 \ldots x_p$	Parameters
$A_1 \ldots A_n$	Local assumptions
B	Actual (sub)goal

Slide 6

Isabelle Theories

NICTA

Syntax:

`theory` $MyTh$
`imports` $ImpTh_1 \ldots ImpTh_n$
`begin`
(declarations, definitions, theorems, proofs, ...)*
`end`

➜ $MyTh$: name of theory. Must live in file $MyTh$`.thy`
➜ $ImpTh_i$: name of *imported* theories. Import transitive.

Unless you need something special:

`theory` $MyTh$ `imports Main begin ... end`

Slide 7

Natural Deduction Rules

NICTA

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} \text{ conjI} \qquad \frac{A \wedge B \quad [\![A; B]\!] \Longrightarrow C}{C} \text{ conjE}$$

$$\frac{A}{A \vee B} \quad \frac{B}{A \vee B} \text{ disjI1/2} \qquad \frac{A \vee B \quad A \Longrightarrow C \quad B \Longrightarrow C}{C} \text{ disjE}$$

$$\frac{A \Longrightarrow B}{A \longrightarrow B} \text{ impI} \qquad \frac{A \longrightarrow B \quad A \quad B \Longrightarrow C}{C} \text{ impE}$$

For each connective ($\wedge$, $\vee$, etc):
introduction and **elimination** rules

Slide 8

Copyright NICTA 2014, provided under Creative Commons Attribution License

Proof by assumption

apply assumption

proves

1. $[\![B_1; \ldots; B_m]\!] \Longrightarrow C$

by unifying C with one of the B_i

There may be more than one matching B_i and multiple unifiers.

Backtracking!

Explicit backtracking command: **back**

Slide 9

Intro rules

Intro rules decompose formulae to the right of $\Longrightarrow$.

apply (rule <intro-rule>)

Intro rule $[\![A_1; \ldots; A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$ means

- ➜ To prove A it suffices to show $A_1 \ldots A_n$

Applying rule $[\![A_1; \ldots; A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$ to subgoal C:

- ➜ unify A and C
- ➜ replace C with n new subgoals $A_1 \ldots A_n$

Slide 10

Elim rules

Elim rules decompose formulae on the left of $\Longrightarrow$.

apply (erule <elim-rule>)

Elim rule $[\![A_1; \ldots; A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$ means

- ➜ If I know A_1 and want to prove A it suffices to show $A_2 \ldots A_n$

Applying rule $[\![A_1; \ldots; A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$ to subgoal C:
Like **rule** but also

- ➜ unifies first premise of rule with an assumption
- ➜ eliminates that assumption

Slide 11

DEMO

Slide 12

More Proof Rules

Slide 13

Iff, Negation, True and False

$$\frac{A \Longrightarrow B \quad B \Longrightarrow A}{A = B}\ \text{iffI} \qquad \frac{A = B \quad [\![A \longrightarrow B; B \longrightarrow A]\!] \Longrightarrow C}{C}\ \text{iffE}$$

$$\frac{A = B}{A \Longrightarrow B}\ \text{iffD1} \qquad \frac{A = B}{B \Longrightarrow A}\ \text{iffD2}$$

$$\frac{A \Longrightarrow \mathit{False}}{\neg A}\ \text{notI} \qquad \frac{\neg A \quad A}{P}\ \text{notE}$$

$$\frac{}{\mathit{True}}\ \text{TrueI} \qquad \frac{\mathit{False}}{P}\ \text{FalseE}$$

Slide 14

Equality

$$\frac{}{t = t}\ \text{refl} \qquad \frac{s = t}{t = s}\ \text{sym} \qquad \frac{r = s \quad s = t}{r = t}\ \text{trans}$$

$$\frac{s = t \quad P\ s}{P\ t}\ \text{subst}$$

Rarely needed explicitly — used implicitly by term rewriting

Slide 15

Classical

$$\frac{}{P = \mathit{True} \vee P = \mathit{False}}\ \text{True-or-False}$$

$$\frac{}{P \vee \neg P}\ \text{excluded-middle}$$

$$\frac{\neg A \Longrightarrow \mathit{False}}{A}\ \text{ccontr} \qquad \frac{\neg A \Longrightarrow A}{A}\ \text{classical}$$

- ➜ **excluded-middle**, **ccontr** and **classical** not derivable from the other rules.
- ➜ if we include True-or-False, they are derivable

They make the logic "classical", "non-constructive"

Slide 16

Cases

$$\frac{}{P \lor \neg P}\ \text{excluded-middle}$$

is a case distinction on type $bool$

Isabelle can do case distinctions on arbitrary terms:

apply (case_tac $term$)

Slide 17

Safe and not so safe

Safe rules preserve provability

conjI, impI, notI, iffI, refl, ccontr, classical, conjE, disjE

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \land B}\ \text{conjI}$$

Unsafe rules can turn a provable goal into an unprovable one

disjI1, disjI2, impE, iffD1, iffD2, notE

$$\frac{A}{A \lor B}\ \text{disjI1}$$

Apply safe rules before unsafe ones

Slide 18

DEMO

Slide 19

What we have learned so far...

- ➜ natural deduction rules for $\land$, $\lor$, $\longrightarrow$, $\neg$, iff...
- ➜ proof by assumption, by intro rule, elim rule
- ➜ safe and unsafe rules

Slide 20