

**COMP 4161**

NICTA Advanced Course

**Advanced Topics in Software Verification**

Gerwin Klein, June Andronick, Toby Murray, Rafal Kolanski

**fun**

# Content

---

- Intro & motivation, getting started [1]
  
- Foundations & Principles
  - Lambda Calculus, natural deduction [1,2]
  - Higher Order Logic [3]
  - Term rewriting [4<sup>a</sup>]
  
- Proof & Specification Techniques
  - Inductively defined sets, rule induction [5]
  - Datatypes, recursion, induction [6, 7]
  - Code generation, type classes [7]
  - Hoare logic, proofs about programs, refinement [8<sup>b</sup>,9<sup>c</sup>,10]
  - Isar, locales [11<sup>d</sup>,12]

<sup>a</sup> a1 due; <sup>b</sup> a2 due; <sup>c</sup> session break; <sup>d</sup> a3 due

# General Recursion

---

## The Choice

- Limited expressiveness, automatic termination
  - `primrec`
  
- High expressiveness, termination proof may fail
  - `fun`
  
- High expressiveness, tweakable, termination proof manual
  - `function`

## fun — examples

---

**fun** sep :: "'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list"

**where**

"sep a (x # y # zs) = x # a # sep a (y # zs)" |

"sep a xs = xs"

**fun** ack :: "nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat"

**where**

"ack 0 n = Suc n" |

"ack (Suc m) 0 = ack m 1" |

"ack (Suc m) (Suc n) = ack m (ack (Suc m) n)"

→ The defintion:

- pattern matching in all parameters
- arbitrary, linear constructor patterns
- reads equations sequentially like in Haskell (top to bottom)
- proves termination automatically in many cases  
(tries lexicographic order)

→ Generates own induction principle

→ May fail to prove termination:

- use **function (sequential)** instead
- allows you to prove termination manually

## fun — induction principle

---

→ Each **fun** definition induces an induction principle

→ For each equation:

show  $P$  holds for lhs, provided  $P$  holds for each recursive call on rhs

→ Example **sep.induct**:

$\llbracket \bigwedge a. P a \rrbracket;$

$\bigwedge a w. P a [w]$

$\bigwedge a x y z s. P a (y\#zs) \implies P a (x\#y\#zs);$

$\rrbracket \implies P a xs$

# Termination

---

## Isabelle tries to prove termination automatically

- For most functions this works with a lexicographic termination relation.
- Sometimes not  $\Rightarrow$  error message with unsolved subgoal
- You can prove automation separately.

**function** (sequential) quicksort **where**

quicksort [] = [] |

quicksort ( $x\#xs$ ) = quicksort  $[y \leftarrow xs.y \leq x]@[x]@$  quicksort  $[y \leftarrow xs.x < y]$

**by** pat\_completeness auto

**termination**

**by** (relation “measure length”) (auto simp: less\_Suc\_eq\_le)

**function** is the fully tweakable, manual version of **fun**

# DEMO



## How does fun/function work?

---

### Recall **primrec**:

- defined one recursion operator per **datatype**  $D$
- inductive definition of its graph  $(x, f\ x) \in D\_rel$
- prove totality:  $\forall x. \exists y. (x, y) \in D\_rel$
- prove uniqueness:  $(x, y) \in D\_rel \Rightarrow (x, z) \in D\_rel \Rightarrow y = z$
- recursion operator for datatype  $D\_rec$ , defined via *THE*.
- primrec: apply datatype recursion operator

## How does fun/function work?

---

Similar strategy for **fun**:

- a new inductive definition for each **fun**  $f$
- extract *recursion scheme* for equations in  $f$
- define graph  $f\_rel$  inductively, encoding recursion scheme
- prove totality (= termination)
- prove uniqueness (automatic)
- derive original equations from  $f\_rel$
- export induction scheme from  $f\_rel$

## How does fun/function work?

---

Can separate and defer termination proof:

- skip proof of totality
- instead derive equations of the form:  $x \in f\_dom \Rightarrow f\ x = \dots$
- similarly, conditional induction principle
- $f\_dom = acc\ f\_rel$
- $acc$  = accessible part of  $f\_rel$
- the part that can be reached in finitely many steps
- termination =  $\forall x. x \in f\_dom$
- still have conditional equations for partial functions

## Proving Termination

---

Command **termination fun\_name** sets up termination goal

$\forall x. x \in \text{fun\_name\_dom}$

Three main proof methods:

- **lexicographic\_order** (default tried by **fun**)
- **size\_change** (different automated technique)
- **relation R** (manual proof via well-founded relation)

# Well Founded Orders

---

## Definition

$<_r$  is well founded if well founded induction holds

$$\text{wf } r \equiv \forall P. (\forall x. (\forall y <_r x. P y) \longrightarrow P x) \longrightarrow (\forall x. P x)$$

## Well founded induction rule:

$$\frac{\text{wf } r \quad \bigwedge x. (\forall y <_r x. P y) \implies P x}{P a}$$

## Alternative definition (equivalent):

there are no infinite descending chains, or (equivalent):

every nonempty set has a minimal element wrt  $<_r$

$$\text{min } r Q x \equiv \forall y \in Q. y \not<_r x$$

$$\text{wf } r = (\forall Q \neq \{\}. \exists m \in Q. \text{min } r Q m)$$

## Well Founded Orders: Examples

---

- $<$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  is well founded  
well founded induction = complete induction
- $>$  and  $\leq$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  are **not** well founded
- $x <_r y = x \text{ dvd } y \wedge x \neq 1$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  is well founded  
the minimal elements are the prime numbers
- $(a, b) <_r (x, y) = a <_1 x \vee a = x \wedge b <_2 y$  is well founded  
if  $<_1$  and  $<_2$  are
- $A <_r B = A \subset B \wedge \text{finite } B$  is well founded
- $\subseteq$  and  $\subset$  in general are **not** well founded

More about well founded relations: *Term Rewriting and All That*

## Extracting the Recursion Scheme

---

So far for termination. What about the recursion scheme?  
Not fixed anymore as in primrec.

Examples:

→ **fun fib where**

fib 0 = 1 |

fib (Suc 0) = 1 |

fib (Suc (Suc n)) = fib n + fib (Suc n)

Recursion:  $\text{Suc (Suc } n) \rightsquigarrow n$ ,  $\text{Suc (Suc } n) \rightsquigarrow \text{Suc } n$

→ **fun f where**  $f\ x = (\text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } f\ (x - 1) * 2)$

Recursion:  $x \neq 0 \implies x \rightsquigarrow x - 1$

## Extracting the Recursion Scheme

---

Higher Order:

→ **datatype** 'a tree = Leaf 'a | Branch 'a tree list

```
fun treemap :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a tree ⇒ 'a tree where  
treemap fn (Leaf n) = Leaf (fn n) |  
treemap fn (Branch l) = Branch (map (treemap fn) l)
```

**Recursion:**  $x \in \text{set } l \implies (\text{fn}, \text{Branch } l) \rightsquigarrow (\text{fn}, x)$

How to extract the context information for the call?



# Extracting the Recursion Scheme

---

Extracting context for equations

$\Rightarrow$

Congruence Rules!

Recall rule **if\_cong**:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ b = c; c \implies x = u; \neg c \implies y = v ] ] \implies \\ & (\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y) = (\text{if } c \text{ then } u \text{ else } v) \end{aligned}$$

**Read:** for transforming  $x$ , use  $b$  as context information, for  $y$  use  $\neg b$ .

**In fun\_def:** for recursion in  $x$ , use  $b$  as context, for  $y$  use  $\neg b$ .

## Congruence Rules for fun\_defs

---

The same works for function definitions.

**declare** my\_rule[fundef\_cong]  
(if\_cong already added by default)

Another example (higher-order):

$[| xs = ys; \bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } ys \implies f x = g x |] \implies \text{map } f \text{ } xs = \text{map } g \text{ } ys$

**Read:** for recursive calls in  $f$ ,  $f$  is called with elements of  $xs$

# DEMO

## Further Reading

---

Alexander Krauss,

*Automating Recursive Definitions and Termination Proofs in Higher-Order Logic.*

PhD thesis, TU Munich, 2009.

[http://www4.in.tum.de/~krauss/diss/krauss\\_phd.pdf](http://www4.in.tum.de/~krauss/diss/krauss_phd.pdf)

## We have seen today ...

---

- General recursion with **fun/function**
- Induction over recursive functions
- How **fun** works
- Termination, partial functions, congruence rules