
COMP 4161
NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Gerwin Klein, June Andronick, Toby Murray, Rafal Kolanski

λ \rightarrow **and HOL**

Last time...

- Simply typed lambda calculus: λ^{\rightarrow}
- Typing rules for λ^{\rightarrow} , type variables, type contexts
- β -reduction in λ^{\rightarrow} satisfies subject reduction
- β -reduction in λ^{\rightarrow} always terminates
- Types and terms in Isabelle

Content

- Intro & motivation, getting started [1]

- Foundations & Principles
 - Lambda Calculus, natural deduction [1,2]
 - Higher Order Logic [3^a]
 - Term rewriting [4]

- Proof & Specification Techniques
 - Inductively defined sets, rule induction [5]
 - Datatypes, recursion, induction [6^b, 7]
 - Code generation, type classes [7]
 - Hoare logic, proofs about programs, refinement [8,9^c,10^d]
 - Isar, locales [11,12]

^a a1 due; ^b a2 due; ^c session break; ^d a3 due

PREVIEW: PROOFS IN ISABELLE

Proofs in Isabelle

General schema:

lemma name: "<goal>"

apply <method>

apply <method>

...

done

- Sequential application of methods until all **subgoals** are solved.

The Proof State

1. $\bigwedge x_1 \dots x_p. \llbracket A_1; \dots; A_n \rrbracket \implies B$

2. $\bigwedge y_1 \dots y_q. \llbracket C_1; \dots; C_m \rrbracket \implies D$

$x_1 \dots x_p$ Parameters

$A_1 \dots A_n$ Local assumptions

B Actual (sub)goal

Isabelle Theories

Syntax:

```
theory MyTh
imports ImpTh1 ... ImpThn
begin
(declarations, definitions, theorems, proofs, ...)*
end
```

- *MyTh*: name of theory. Must live in file *MyTh.thy*
- *ImpTh*_{*i*}: name of *imported* theories. Import transitive.

Unless you need something special:

```
theory MyTh imports Main begin ... end
```

Natural Deduction Rules

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} \text{ conjI}$$

$$\frac{A \wedge B \quad [A; B] \Longrightarrow C}{C} \text{ conjE}$$

$$\frac{A}{A \vee B} \quad \frac{B}{A \vee B} \text{ disjI1/2}$$

$$\frac{A \vee B \quad A \Longrightarrow C \quad B \Longrightarrow C}{C} \text{ disjE}$$

$$\frac{A \Longrightarrow B}{A \longrightarrow B} \text{ impl}$$

$$\frac{A \longrightarrow B \quad A \quad B \Longrightarrow C}{C} \text{ impE}$$

For each connective (\wedge , \vee , etc):
introduction and **elimination** rules

Proof by assumption

apply assumption

proves

1. $\llbracket B_1; \dots; B_m \rrbracket \implies C$

by unifying C with one of the B_i

There may be more than one matching B_i and multiple unifiers.

Backtracking!

Explicit backtracking command: **back**

Intro rules

Intro rules decompose formulae to the right of \implies .

apply (rule <intro-rule>)

Intro rule $\llbracket A_1; \dots; A_n \rrbracket \implies A$ means

→ To prove A it suffices to show $A_1 \dots A_n$

Applying rule $\llbracket A_1; \dots; A_n \rrbracket \implies A$ to subgoal C :

→ unify A and C

→ replace C with n new subgoals $A_1 \dots A_n$

Elim rules

Elim rules decompose formulae on the left of \implies .

apply (erule <elim-rule>)

Elim rule $\llbracket A_1; \dots; A_n \rrbracket \implies A$ means

→ If I know A_1 and want to prove A it suffices to show $A_2 \dots A_n$

Applying rule $\llbracket A_1; \dots; A_n \rrbracket \implies A$ to subgoal C :

Like **rule** but also

- unifies first premise of rule with an assumption
- eliminates that assumption

DEMO

MORE PROOF RULES

Iff, Negation, True and False

$$\frac{A \implies B \quad B \implies A}{A = B} \text{ iffI} \qquad \frac{A = B \quad [[A \longrightarrow B; B \longrightarrow A]] \implies C}{C} \text{ iffE}$$

$$\frac{A = B}{A \implies B} \text{ iffD1}$$

$$\frac{A = B}{B \implies A} \text{ iffD2}$$

$$\frac{A \implies \text{False}}{\neg A} \text{ notI}$$

$$\frac{\neg A \quad A}{P} \text{ notE}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{True}} \text{ TrueI}$$

$$\frac{\text{False}}{P} \text{ FalseE}$$

Equality

$$\frac{}{t = t} \text{ refl} \quad \frac{s = t}{t = s} \text{ sym} \quad \frac{r = s \quad s = t}{r = t} \text{ trans}$$

$$\frac{s = t \quad P \ s}{P \ t} \text{ subst}$$

Rarely needed explicitly — used implicitly by term rewriting

Classical

$$\frac{}{P = True \vee P = False} \text{ True-False}$$

$$\frac{}{P \vee \neg P} \text{ excluded-middle}$$

$$\frac{\neg A \implies False}{A} \text{ ccontr} \qquad \frac{\neg A \implies A}{A} \text{ classical}$$

- **excluded-middle, ccontr** and **classical**
not derivable from the other rules.
- if we include True-False, they are derivable

They make the logic “classical”, “non-constructive”

Cases

$\overline{P \vee \neg P}$ excluded-middle

is a case distinction on type *bool*

Isabelle can do case distinctions on arbitrary terms:

apply (case_tac *term*)

Safe and not so safe

Safe rules preserve provability

conjI, impl, notI, iffI, refl, ccontr, classical, conjE, disjE

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} \text{ conjI}$$

Unsafe rules can turn a provable goal into an unprovable one

disjI1, disjI2, impE, iffD1, iffD2, notE

$$\frac{A}{A \vee B} \text{ disjI1}$$

Apply safe rules before unsafe ones

DEMO

What we have learned so far...

- natural deduction rules for \wedge , \vee , \longrightarrow , \neg , iff...
- proof by assumption, by intro rule, elim rule
- safe and unsafe rules