

#### **COMP 4161**

NICTA Advanced Course

### **Advanced Topics in Software Verification**

Gerwin Klein, June Andronick, Toby Murray, Rafal Kolanski



Slide 1

### Exercises from last time



- → Download and install Isabelle from http://mirror.cse.unsw.edu.au/pub/isabelle/
- → Step through the demo files from the lecture web page
- → Write your own theory file, look at some theorems in the library, try 'find\_theorems'
- → How many theorems can help you if you need to prove something like "Suc(Suc x))"?
- → What is the name of the theorem for associativity of addition of natural numbers in the library?

Slide 2

# Content



→	Intro	X.	motivation.	aettina	started

[1]

[4]

→ Foundations & Principles

• Lambda Calculus, natural deduction [1,2]

 Higher Order Logic  $[3^{a}]$ Term rewriting

→ Proof & Specification Techniques

· Inductively defined sets, rule induction [5] · Datatypes, recursion, induction  $[6^b, 7]$ 

· Code generation, type classes [7] · Hoare logic, proofs about programs, refinement  $[8,9^c,10^d]$  Isar, locales [11,12]

#### Slide 3

#### $\lambda$ -calculus



#### Alonzo Church

- → lived 1903-1995
- → supervised people like Alan Turing, Stephen Kleene
- → famous for Church-Turing thesis, lambda calculus, first undecidability results
- $\rightarrow$  invented  $\lambda$  calculus in 1930's

#### $\lambda$ -calculus

- → originally meant as foundation of mathematics
- → important applications in theoretical computer science
- → foundation of computability and functional programming

Slide 4

1 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> a1 due; <sup>b</sup> a2 due; <sup>c</sup>session break; <sup>d</sup> a3 due

# untyped $\lambda$ -calculus



- → turing complete model of computation
- → a simple way of writing down functions

### Basic intuition:

instead of 
$$f(x) = x + 5$$
  
write  $f = \lambda x. x + 5$ 

 $\lambda x. x + 5$ 

- → a term
- → a nameless function
- → that adds 5 to its parameter

# Slide 5

# **Function Application**



For applying arguments to functions

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{instead of} & f(a) \\ \text{write} & f \ a \end{array}$$

**Example:** 
$$(\lambda x. \ x+5) \ a$$

**Evaluating:** in  $(\lambda x. t)$  a replace x by a in t

(computation!)

**Example:**  $(\lambda x. \ x+5) \ (a+b)$  evaluates to (a+b)+5

Slide 6



THAT'S IT!

Slide 7

NICTA

Now Formal

# Syntax



Terms:  $t ::= v \mid c \mid (t \ t) \mid (\lambda x. \ t)$ 

 $v, x \in V, \quad c \in C, \quad V, C \text{ sets of names}$ 

- $\rightarrow v, x$  variables
- → c constants
- $\rightarrow$   $(t \ t)$  application
- $\rightarrow$   $(\lambda x. t)$  abstraction

### Slide 9

### Conventions



5

- → leave out parentheses where possible
- $\Rightarrow$  list variables instead of multiple  $\lambda$

**Example:** instead of  $(\lambda y. (\lambda x. (x y)))$  write  $\lambda y x. x y$ 

### Rules:

- $\rightarrow$  list variables:  $\lambda x. (\lambda y. t) = \lambda x y. t$
- $\rightarrow$  application binds to the left:  $x \ y \ z = (x \ y) \ z \neq x \ (y \ z)$
- ightharpoonup abstraction binds to the right:  $\lambda x.\ x\ y = \lambda x.\ (x\ y) \neq (\lambda x.\ x)\ y$
- → leave out outermost parentheses

#### Slide 10

# Getting used to the Syntax



### Example:

```
\begin{split} \lambda x & y \ z. \ x \ z \ (y \ z) = \\ \lambda x & y \ z. \ (x \ z) \ (y \ z) = \\ \lambda x & y \ z. \ ((x \ z) \ (y \ z)) = \\ \lambda x. \ \lambda y. \ \lambda z. \ ((x \ z) \ (y \ z)) = \\ (\lambda x. \ (\lambda y. \ (\lambda z. \ ((x \ z) \ (y \ z))))) \end{split}
```

### Slide 11

# Computation



**Intuition:** replace parameter by argument this is called  $\beta$ -reduction

### Example

```
(\lambda x \ y. \ f \ (y \ x)) \ 5 \ (\lambda x. \ x) \longrightarrow_{\beta}(\lambda y. \ f \ (y \ 5)) \ (\lambda x. \ x) \longrightarrow_{\beta}f \ ((\lambda x. \ x) \ 5) \longrightarrow_{\beta}f \ 5
```

# Defining Computation



# eta reduction:

Still to do: define  $s[x \leftarrow t]$ 

### Slide 13

# Defining Substitution



Easy concept. Small problem: variable capture.

**Example:**  $(\lambda x. \ x \ z)[z \leftarrow x]$ 

We do **not** want:  $(\lambda x. x x)$  as result.

What do we want?

In  $(\lambda y. \ y \ z) \ [z \leftarrow x] = (\lambda y. \ y \ x)$  there would be no problem.

So, solution is: rename bound variables.

#### Slide 14

# Free Variables



**Bound variables:** in  $(\lambda x. t)$ , x is a bound variable.

Free variables FV of a term:

$$\begin{split} FV\left(x\right) &= \left\{x\right\} \\ FV\left(c\right) &= \left\{\right\} \\ FV\left(s\;t\right) &= FV(s) \cup FV(t) \\ FV\left(\lambda x.\;t\right) &= FV(t) \setminus \left\{x\right\} \end{split}$$

**Example:** 
$$FV(\quad \lambda x.\ (\lambda y.\ (\lambda x.\ x)\ y)\ y\ x\quad)=\{y\}$$

Term t is called **closed** if  $FV(t) = \{\}$ 

Our problematic substitution example,  $(\lambda x. x. z)[z \leftarrow x]$ , is problematic because the bound variable x is a free variable of the replacement term "x".

### Slide 15

### Substitution



8

$$x [x \leftarrow t] = t$$

$$y [x \leftarrow t] = y \qquad \text{if } x \neq y$$

$$c [x \leftarrow t] = c$$

$$(s_1 s_2) [x \leftarrow t] = (s_1 [x \leftarrow t] s_2 [x \leftarrow t])$$

$$(\lambda x.\ s)\ [x \leftarrow t] = (\lambda x.\ s)$$

$$(\lambda y.\ s)\ [x \leftarrow t] = (\lambda y.\ s[x \leftarrow t]) \hspace{1cm} \text{if}\ x \neq y \text{ and } y \notin FV(t)$$

$$(\lambda y.\ s)\ [x \leftarrow t] = (\lambda z.\ s[y \leftarrow z][x \leftarrow t]) \quad \text{ if } x \neq y \\ \text{and } z \notin FV(t) \cup FV(s)$$

# Substitution Example



$$\begin{array}{ll} & (x \ (\lambda x. \ x) \ (\lambda y. \ z \ x))[x \leftarrow y] \\ \\ = & (x[x \leftarrow y]) \ ((\lambda x. \ x)[x \leftarrow y]) \ ((\lambda y. \ z \ x)[x \leftarrow y]) \\ \\ = & y \ (\lambda x. \ x) \ (\lambda y'. \ z \ y) \end{array}$$

# Slide 17

### $\alpha$ Conversion



# Bound names are irrelevant:

 $\lambda x. \ x$  and  $\lambda y. \ y$  denote the same function.

### $\alpha$ conversion:

 $s =_{\alpha} t$  means s = t up to renaming of bound variables.

$$s =_{\alpha} t \quad \text{iff} \quad s \longrightarrow_{\alpha}^{*} t$$
 ( $\longrightarrow_{\alpha}^{*} = \text{transitive, reflexive closure of } \longrightarrow_{\alpha} = \text{multiple steps}$ )

#### Slide 18

# $\alpha$ Conversion



# Equality in Isabelle is equality modulo $\alpha$ conversion:

if  $s =_{\alpha} t$  then s and t are syntactically equal.

# Examples:

$$x (\lambda x y. x y)$$

$$=_{\alpha} x (\lambda y x. y x)$$

$$=_{\alpha} x (\lambda z y. z y)$$

$$\neq_{\alpha} \quad z \; (\lambda z \; y. \; z \; y)$$

$$\neq_{\alpha} x (\lambda x \ x. \ x \ x)$$

### Slide 19

# Back to $\beta$



We have defined  $\beta$  reduction:  $\longrightarrow_{\beta}$ 

Some notation and concepts:

- $\rightarrow \beta$  conversion:  $s =_{\beta} t$  iff  $\exists n. \ s \longrightarrow_{\beta}^* n \land t \longrightarrow_{\beta}^* n$
- $\rightarrow$  t is **reducible** if there is an s such that  $t \longrightarrow_{\beta} s$
- $\rightarrow$   $(\lambda x.\ s)\ t$  is called a **redex** (reducible expression)
- → t is reducible iff it contains a redex
- → if it is not reducible, t is in normal form

Slide 20

10

# Does every $\lambda$ term have a normal form?



### No!

# Example:

$$(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) \longrightarrow_{\beta} \dots$$

(but:  $(\lambda x \ y. \ y) \ ((\lambda x. \ x \ x) \ (\lambda x. \ x \ x)) \longrightarrow_{\beta} \ \lambda y. \ y)$ 

# $\lambda$ calculus is not terminating

# Slide 21

# $\beta$ reduction is confluent



**Confluence:** 
$$s \longrightarrow_{\beta}^* x \land s \longrightarrow_{\beta}^* y \Longrightarrow \exists t. \ x \longrightarrow_{\beta}^* t \land y \longrightarrow_{\beta}^* t$$



Order of reduction does not matter for result Normal forms in  $\lambda$  calculus are unique

#### Slide 22

# $\beta$ reduction is confluent



### Example:

$$(\lambda x \ y. \ y) \ ((\lambda x. \ x \ x) \ a) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x \ y. \ y) \ (a \ a) \longrightarrow_{\beta} \lambda y. \ y$$
$$(\lambda x \ y. \ y) \ ((\lambda x. \ x \ x) \ a) \longrightarrow_{\beta} \lambda y. \ y$$

### Slide 23

# $\eta$ Conversion



# Another case of trivially equal functions: $t = (\lambda x. \ t \ x)$

**Example:** 
$$(\lambda x. \ f \ x) \ (\lambda y. \ g \ y) \longrightarrow_{\eta} (\lambda x. \ f \ x) \ g \longrightarrow_{\eta} f \ g$$

- $\rightarrow \eta$  reduction is confluent and terminating.
- $\rightarrow$   $\xrightarrow{}_{\beta\eta}$  is confluent.
  - $\longrightarrow_{\beta\eta}$  means  $\longrightarrow_{\beta}$  and  $\longrightarrow_{\eta}$  steps are both allowed.
- ightharpoonup Equality in Isabelle is also modulo  $\eta$  conversion.

In fact ..



# Equality in Isabelle is modulo $\alpha$ , $\beta$ , and $\eta$ conversion.

We will see later why that is possible.

### Slide 25



### So, what can you do with $\lambda$ calculus?

 $\lambda$  calculus is very expressive, you can encode:

- → logic, set theory
- → turing machines, functional programs, etc.

#### Examples:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{true } \equiv \lambda x \, y. \, x & \text{if true } x \, y \longrightarrow_{\beta}^* x \\ \text{false} \equiv \lambda x \, y. \, y & \text{if false } x \, y \longrightarrow_{\beta}^* y \\ \text{if } \equiv \lambda z \, x \, y. \, z \, x \, y \end{array}$$

Now, not, and, or, etc is easy:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{not} \equiv \lambda x. \text{ if } x \text{ false true} \\ & \text{and} \equiv \lambda x \text{ } y. \text{ if } x \text{ } y \text{ false} \\ & \text{or} \quad \equiv \lambda x \text{ } y. \text{ if } x \text{ true } y \end{aligned}$$

#### Slide 26

# More Examples



# **Encoding natural numbers (Church Numerals)**

```
0 \equiv \lambda f x. x
1 \equiv \lambda f x. f x
2 \equiv \lambda f x. f (f x)
3 \equiv \lambda f x. f (f (f x))
```

Numeral n takes arguments f and x, applies f n-times to x.

```
iszero \equiv \lambda n. \ n \ (\lambda x. \ \text{false}) \ \text{true} succ \equiv \lambda n. \ f. \ x. \ f. \ (n. f. x) add \equiv \lambda m. \ n. \ \lambda f. \ x. \ m. \ f. \ (n. f. x)
```

### Slide 27

#### Fix Points



```
\begin{split} (\lambda x\,f.\,f\,\,(x\,x\,f))\,\,\,(\lambda x\,f.\,f\,\,(x\,x\,f))\,\,t &\longrightarrow_{\beta} \\ (\lambda f.\,f\,\,((\lambda x\,f.\,f\,\,(x\,x\,f))\,\,\,(\lambda x\,f.\,f\,\,(x\,x\,f))\,\,f))\,\,t &\longrightarrow_{\beta} \\ t\,\,\,((\lambda x\,f.\,f\,\,(x\,x\,f))\,\,(\lambda x\,f.\,f\,\,(x\,x\,f))\,\,t) \\ \\ \mu &= (\lambda x f.\,f\,\,(x\,x\,f))\,\,(\lambda x f.\,f\,\,(x\,x\,f)) \\ \mu\,t &\longrightarrow_{\beta} t\,\,(\mu\,t) &\longrightarrow_{\beta} t\,\,(t\,\,(\mu\,t)) &\longrightarrow_{\beta} t\,\,(t\,\,(t\,\,(\mu\,t))) &\longrightarrow_{\beta} \ldots \\ \\ (\lambda x f.\,f\,\,(x\,x\,f))\,\,(\lambda x f.\,f\,\,(x\,x\,f))\,\,\text{is Turing's fix point operator} \end{split}
```

Slide 28

Nice, but ..



As a mathematical foundation,  $\lambda$  does not work. It is inconsistent.

- → Frege (Predicate Logic, ~ 1879): allows arbitrary quantification over predicates
- → Russell (1901): Paradox  $R \equiv \{X | X \notin X\}$
- → Whitehead & Russell (Principia Mathematica, 1910-1913): Fix the problem
- → Church (1930):  $\lambda$  calculus as logic, true, false,  $\wedge$ , ... as  $\lambda$  terms

with  $\{x \mid P \mid x\} \equiv \lambda x. P \mid x \qquad x \in M \equiv M \mid x$ 

**Problem:** you can write  $R \equiv \lambda x$ . not  $(x \ x)$ 

and get  $(R R) =_{\beta} \text{not } (R R)$ 

Slide 29



ISABELLE DEMO

Slide 30

### We have learned so far...



- → λ calculus syntax
- → free variables, substitution
- $\rightarrow \beta$  reduction
- $\rightarrow \alpha$  and  $\eta$  conversion
- $\rightarrow \beta$  reduction is confluent
- $\rightarrow$   $\lambda$  calculus is very expressive (turing complete)
- $\rightarrow$   $\lambda$  calculus is inconsistent