



COMP 4161
NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Gerwin Klein, June Andronick, Toby Murray

$\{P\} \dots \{Q\}$

Slide 1



Content

Rough timeline

- Intro & motivation, getting started [1]
- Foundations & Principles
 - Lambda Calculus, natural deduction [2,3,4^a]
 - Higher Order Logic [5,6^b,7]
 - Term rewriting [8,9,10^c]
- Proof & Specification Techniques
 - Isar [11,12^d]
 - Inductively defined sets, rule induction [13^e,15]
 - Datatypes, recursion, induction [16,17^f,18,19]
 - Computational reasoning, mathematics style proofs [20]
 - Hoare logic, proofs about programs [21^g,22,23]

^aa1 out; ^ba1 due; ^ca2 out; ^da2 due; ^esession break; ^fa3 out; ^ga3 due

Slide 3



Last Time

- Calculations: also/finally
- [trans]-rules
- Code generation

Slide 2



A CRASH COURSE IN SEMANTICS

Slide 4

IMP - a small Imperative Language



Commands:

datatype com = SKIP
| Assign loc aexp ($_ := _$)
| Semi com com ($_ ; _$)
| Cond bexp com com (IF $_$ THEN $_$ ELSE $_$)
| While bexp com (WHILE $_$ DO $_$ OD)

types loc = string
types state = loc \Rightarrow nat

types aexp = state \Rightarrow nat
types bexp = state \Rightarrow bool

Slide 5

Example Program



Usual syntax:

```
B := 1;  
WHILE A  $\neq$  0 DO  
  B := B * A;  
  A := A - 1  
OD
```

Expressions are functions from state to bool or nat:

```
B := ( $\lambda\sigma$ . 1);  
WHILE ( $\lambda\sigma$ .  $\sigma$  A  $\neq$  0) DO  
  B := ( $\lambda\sigma$ .  $\sigma$  B *  $\sigma$  A);  
  A := ( $\lambda\sigma$ .  $\sigma$  A - 1)  
OD
```

Slide 6

What does it do?



So far we have defined:

- **Syntax** of commands and expressions
- **State** of programs (function from variables to values)

Now we need: the meaning (semantics) of programs

How to define execution of a program?

- A wide field of its own
- Some choices:
 - Operational (inductive relations, big step, small step)
 - Denotational (programs as functions on states, state transformers)
 - Axiomatic (pre-/post conditions, Hoare logic)

Slide 7

Structural Operational Semantics


$$\overline{\langle \text{SKIP}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma}$$
$$\frac{e \sigma = v}{\langle x := e, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto v]}$$
$$\frac{\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle c_2, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}{\langle c_1; c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma''}$$
$$\frac{b \sigma = \text{True} \quad \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}$$
$$\frac{b \sigma = \text{False} \quad \langle c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'}$$

Slide 8

Structural Operational Semantics



$$\frac{b \sigma = \text{False}}{\langle \text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c \text{ OD}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma}$$

$$\frac{b \sigma = \text{True} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle \text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c \text{ OD}, \sigma' \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma''}{\langle \text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c \text{ OD}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma''}$$

Slide 9



DEMO: THE DEFINITIONS IN ISABELLE

Slide 10

Proofs about Programs



Now we know:

- What programs are: Syntax
- On what they work: State
- How they work: Semantics

So we can prove properties about programs

Example:

Show that example program from slide 6 implements the factorial.

lemma $\langle \text{factorial}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma' \implies \sigma' B = \text{fac } (\sigma A)$

(where $\text{fac } 0 = 0$, $\text{fac } (\text{Suc } n) = (\text{Suc } n) * \text{fac } n$)

Slide 11



DEMO: EXAMPLE PROOF

Slide 12

Too tedious



Induction needed for each loop

Is there something easier?

Slide 13

Floyd/Hoare



Idea: describe meaning of program by pre/post conditions

Examples:

$\{\text{True}\} \quad x := 2 \quad \{x = 2\}$

$\{y = 2\} \quad x := 21 * y \quad \{x = 42\}$

$\{x = n\} \quad \text{IF } y < 0 \text{ THEN } x := x + y \text{ ELSE } x := x - y \quad \{x = n - |y|\}$

$\{A = n\} \quad \text{factorial} \quad \{B = \text{fac } n\}$

Proofs: have rules that directly work on such triples

Slide 14

Meaning of a Hoare-Triple



$\{P\} \quad c \quad \{Q\}$

What are the assertions P and Q ?

→ Here: again functions from state to bool
(shallow embedding of assertions)

→ Other choice: syntax and semantics for assertions (deep embedding)

What does $\{P\} \quad c \quad \{Q\}$ mean?

Partial Correctness:

$\models \{P\} \quad c \quad \{Q\} \equiv (\forall \sigma \sigma'. P \sigma \wedge \langle c, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma' \implies Q \sigma')$

Total Correctness:

$\models \{P\} \quad c \quad \{Q\} \equiv (\forall \sigma. P \sigma \implies \exists \sigma'. \langle c, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma' \wedge Q \sigma')$

This lecture: partial correctness only (easier)

Slide 15

Hoare Rules



$\frac{}{\{P\} \quad \text{SKIP} \quad \{P\}} \quad \frac{}{\{P[x \mapsto e]\} \quad x := e \quad \{P\}}$

$\frac{\{P\} \quad c_1 \quad \{R\} \quad \{R\} \quad c_2 \quad \{Q\}}{\{P\} \quad c_1; c_2 \quad \{Q\}}$

$\frac{\{P \wedge b\} \quad c_1 \quad \{Q\} \quad \{P \wedge \neg b\} \quad c_2 \quad \{Q\}}{\{P\} \quad \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2 \quad \{Q\}}$

$\frac{\{P \wedge b\} \quad c \quad \{P\} \quad P \wedge \neg b \implies Q}{\{P\} \quad \text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c \text{ OD} \quad \{Q\}}$

$\frac{P \implies P' \quad \{P'\} \quad c \quad \{Q'\} \quad Q' \implies Q}{\{P\} \quad c \quad \{Q\}}$

Slide 16

Hoare Rules



$$\frac{}{\vdash \{P\} \text{ SKIP } \{P\}} \quad \frac{}{\vdash \{\lambda\sigma. P(\sigma(x := e \sigma))\} x := e \{P\}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \{P\} c_1 \{R\} \quad \vdash \{R\} c_2 \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} c_1; c_2 \{Q\}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \{\lambda\sigma. P \sigma \wedge b \sigma\} c_1 \{R\} \quad \vdash \{\lambda\sigma. P \sigma \wedge \neg b \sigma\} c_2 \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} \text{ IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2 \{Q\}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \{\lambda\sigma. P \sigma \wedge b \sigma\} c \{P\} \quad \wedge\sigma. P \sigma \wedge \neg b \sigma \implies Q \sigma}{\vdash \{P\} \text{ WHILE } b \text{ DO } c \text{ OD } \{Q\}}$$

$$\frac{\wedge\sigma. P \sigma \implies P' \sigma \quad \vdash \{P'\} c \{Q'\} \quad \wedge\sigma. Q' \sigma \implies Q \sigma}{\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}}$$

Slide 17

Are the Rules Correct?



Soundness: $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\} \implies \models \{P\} c \{Q\}$

Proof: by rule induction on $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$

Demo: Hoare Logic in Isabelle

Slide 18