



COMP 4161
NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Gerwin Klein, June Andronick, Toby Murray

a = b = c = . . .

Slide 1



Last time ...

- fun, function
- Well founded recursion

Slide 2



Content

NICTA

Rough timeline

- Intro & motivation, getting started [1]
- Foundations & Principles
 - Lambda Calculus, natural deduction [2,3,4^a]
 - Higher Order Logic [5,6^b,7]
 - Term rewriting [8,9,10^c]
- Proof & Specification Techniques
 - Isar [11,12^d]
 - Inductively defined sets, rule induction [13^e,15]
 - Datatypes, recursion, induction [16,17^f,18,19]
 - Computational reasoning, mathematics style proofs [20]
 - Hoare logic, proofs about programs [21^g,22,23]

^aa1 out; ^ba1 due; ^ca2 out; ^da2 due; ^esession break; ^fa3 out; ^ga3 due

Slide 3



CALCULATIONAL REASONING

Slide 4

The Goal


$$\begin{aligned}x \cdot x^{-1} &= 1 \cdot (x \cdot x^{-1}) \\ \dots &= 1 \cdot x \cdot x^{-1} \\ \dots &= (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot x \cdot x^{-1} \\ \dots &= (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot (x^{-1} \cdot x) \cdot x^{-1} \\ \dots &= (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot 1 \cdot x^{-1} \\ \dots &= (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot (1 \cdot x^{-1}) \\ \dots &= (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \\ \dots &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Can we do this in Isabelle?

- Simplifier: too eager
- Manual: difficult in apply style
- Isar: with the methods we know, too verbose

Slide 5

Chains of equations



The Problem

$$\begin{aligned}a &= b \\ \dots &= c \\ \dots &= d\end{aligned}$$

shows $a = d$ by transitivity of $=$

Each step usually nontrivial (requires own subproof)

Solution in Isar:

- Keywords **also** and **finally** to delimit steps
- \dots : predefined schematic term variable, refers to right hand side of last expression
- Automatic use of transitivity rules to connect steps

Slide 6

also/finally



have " $t_0 = t_1$ " [proof]	calculation register
also	" $t_0 = t_1$ "
have " $\dots = t_2$ " [proof]	
also	" $t_0 = t_2$ "
\vdots	\vdots
also	" $t_0 = t_{n-1}$ "
have " $\dots = t_n$ " [proof]	
finally	" $t_0 = t_n$ "
show P	
— 'finally' pipes fact " $t_0 = t_n$ " into the proof	

Slide 7

More about also



- Works for all combinations of $=$, \leq and $<$.
- Uses all rules declared as `[trans]`.
- To view all combinations in Proof General:
Isabelle/Isar → Show me → Transitivity rules

Slide 8

Designing [trans] Rules



```
have = "l1 ⊙ r1" [proof]
also
have "... ⊙ r2" [proof]
also
```

Anatomy of a [trans] rule:

- Usual form: plain transitivity $[[l_1 \odot r_1; r_1 \odot r_2] \implies l_1 \odot r_2]$
- More general form: $[[P \ l_1 \ r_1; Q \ r_1 \ r_2; A] \implies C \ l_1 \ r_2]$

Examples:

- pure transitivity: $[[a = b; b = c] \implies a = c]$
- mixed: $[[a \leq b; b < c] \implies a < c]$
- substitution: $[[P \ a; a = b] \implies P \ b]$
- antisymmetry: $[[a < b; b < a] \implies P]$
- monotonicity: $[[a = f \ b; b < c; \wedge x \ y. x < y \implies f \ x < f \ y] \implies a < f \ c]$

Slide 9

HOL as programming language



We have

- numbers, arithmetic
- recursive datatypes
- constant definitions, recursive functions
- = a functional programming language
- can be used to get fully verified programs

Executed using the simplifier. But:

- slow, heavy-weight
- does not run stand-alone (without Isabelle)

Slide 11



DEMO

Slide 10

Generating code



Translate HOL functional programming concepts, i.e.

- datatypes
- function definitions
- inductive predicates

into a stand-alone code in:

- SML
- Ocaml
- Haskell
- Scala

Slide 12

Syntax



export_code |definition_names_i **in** SML
module_name <module_name> **file** "<file path>"

export_code definition_names **in** Haskell
module_name <module_name> **file** "<directory path>"

Takes a space-separated list of constants for which code shall be generated.

Anything else needed for those is added implicitly Generates ML structure.

Slide 13



DEMO

Slide 14

Program Refinement



Aim: choosing appropriate code equations explicitly

Syntax:

lemma [code]:
<list of equations on function_name>

Example: more efficient definition of fibonacci function

Slide 15



DEMO

Slide 16

Inductive Predicates



Inductive specifications turned into equational ones

Example:

```
append [] ys ys
```

```
append xs ys zs  $\implies$  append (x # xs ) ys (x # zs )
```

Syntax:

code_pred append .

Slide 17



DEMO

Slide 18

We have seen today ...



- Calculations: also/finally
- [trans]-rules
- Code generation

Slide 19