



COMP 4161
NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Simon Winwood, Toby Murray, June Andronick, Gerwin Klein



Slide 1

Content

- Intro & motivation, getting started with Isabelle
- Foundations & Principles
 - Lambda Calculus
 - Higher Order Logic, natural deduction
 - **Term rewriting**
- Proof & Specification Techniques
 - Inductively defined sets, rule induction
 - Datatypes, recursion, induction
 - Calculational reasoning, mathematics style proofs
 - Hoare logic, proofs about programs

Slide 2

Last Time

- Isar, structured proofs
- shows, assumes
- the three modes of Isar

Slide 3

BACK TO TERM REWRITING ...

Slide 4

Applying a Rewrite Rule



- $l \longrightarrow r$ **applicable** to term $t[s]$
if there is substitution σ such that $\sigma l = s$
- **Result:** $t[\sigma r]$
- **Equationally:** $t[s] = t[\sigma r]$

Example:

Rule: $0 + n \longrightarrow n$

Term: $a + (0 + (b + c))$

Substitution: $\sigma = \{n \mapsto b + c\}$

Result: $a + (b + c)$

Slide 5

Conditional Term Rewriting



Rewrite rules can be conditional:

$$[P_1 \dots P_n] \Longrightarrow l = r$$

is **applicable** to term $t[s]$ with σ if

- $\sigma l = s$ and
- $\sigma P_1, \dots, \sigma P_n$ are provable by rewriting.

Slide 6

Rewriting with Assumptions



Last time: Isabelle uses assumptions in rewriting.

Can lead to non-termination.

Example:

lemma " $f\ x = g\ x \wedge g\ x = f\ x \Longrightarrow f\ x = 2$ "

<code>simp</code>	use and simplify assumptions
<code>(simp (no_asm))</code>	ignore assumptions
<code>(simp (no_asm_use))</code>	simplify , but do not use assumptions
<code>(simp (no_asm_simp))</code>	use , but do not simplify assumptions

Slide 7

Preprocessing



Preprocessing (recursive) for maximal simplification power:

$$\begin{aligned} \neg A &\mapsto A = False \\ A \longrightarrow B &\mapsto A \Longrightarrow B \\ A \wedge B &\mapsto A, B \\ \forall x. A\ x &\mapsto A\ ?x \\ A &\mapsto A = True \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} &(p \longrightarrow q \wedge \neg r) \wedge s \\ &\mapsto \\ &p \Longrightarrow q = True \quad r = False \quad s = True \end{aligned}$$

Slide 8



DEMO

Slide 9

Case splitting with simp

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P \text{ (if } A \text{ then } s \text{ else } t) \\
 &= \\
 &(A \longrightarrow P \ s) \wedge (\neg A \longrightarrow P \ t)
 \end{aligned}$$

Automatic

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P \text{ (case } e \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow a \mid \text{Suc } n \Rightarrow b) \\
 &= \\
 &(e = 0 \longrightarrow P \ a) \wedge (\forall n. e = \text{Suc } n \longrightarrow P \ b)
 \end{aligned}$$

Manually: apply (simp split: nat.split)

Similar for any data type t: **t.split**

Slide 10

Congruence Rules

congruence rules are about using context

Example: in $P \longrightarrow Q$ we could use P to simplify terms in Q

For \implies hardwired (assumptions used in rewriting)

For other operators expressed with conditional rewriting.

Example: $\llbracket P = P'; P' \implies Q = Q' \rrbracket \implies (P \longrightarrow Q) = (P' \longrightarrow Q')$

Read: to simplify $P \longrightarrow Q$

- first simplify P to P'
- then simplify Q to Q' using P' as assumption
- the result is $P' \longrightarrow Q'$

Slide 11

More Congruence

Sometimes useful, but not used automatically (slowdown):

conj.cong: $\llbracket P = P'; P' \implies Q = Q' \rrbracket \implies (P \wedge Q) = (P' \wedge Q')$

Context for if-then-else:

if_cong: $\llbracket b = c; c \implies x = u; \neg c \implies y = v \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y) = (\text{if } c \text{ then } u \text{ else } v)$

Prevent rewriting inside then-else (default):

if_weak.cong: $b = c \implies (\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y) = (\text{if } c \text{ then } x \text{ else } y)$

- declare own congruence rules with **[cong]** attribute
- delete with **[cong del]**

Slide 12

Ordered rewriting



Problem: $x + y \longrightarrow y + x$ does not terminate

Solution: use permutative rules only if term becomes lexicographically smaller.

Example: $b + a \rightsquigarrow a + b$ but not $a + b \rightsquigarrow b + a$.

For types nat, int etc:

- lemmas **add_ac** sort any sum (+)
- lemmas **times_ac** sort any product (*)

Example: **apply** (simp add: add_ac) yields
 $(b + c) + a \rightsquigarrow \dots \rightsquigarrow a + (b + c)$

Slide 13

AC Rules



Example for associative-commutative rules:

Associative: $(x \odot y) \odot z = x \odot (y \odot z)$

Commutative: $x \odot y = y \odot x$

These 2 rules alone get stuck too early (not confluent).

Example: $(z \odot x) \odot (y \odot v)$

We want: $(z \odot x) \odot (y \odot v) = v \odot (x \odot (y \odot z))$

We get: $(z \odot x) \odot (y \odot v) = v \odot (y \odot (x \odot z))$

We need: **AC rule** $x \odot (y \odot z) = y \odot (x \odot z)$

If these 3 rules are present for an AC operator
 Isabelle will order terms correctly

Slide 14

DEMO



Slide 15

Back to Confluence



Last time: confluence in general is undecidable.

But: confluence for terminating systems is decidable!

Problem: overlapping lhs of rules.

Definition:

Let $l_1 \longrightarrow r_1$ and $l_2 \longrightarrow r_2$ be two rules with disjoint variables.

They form a **critical pair** if a non-variable subterm of l_1 unifies with l_2 .

Example:

Rules: (1) $f x \longrightarrow a$ (2) $g y \longrightarrow b$ (3) $f (g z) \longrightarrow b$

Critical pairs:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (1)+(3) & \{x \mapsto g z\} & a \xleftarrow{(1)} f g t \xrightarrow{(3)} b \\ (3)+(2) & \{z \mapsto y\} & b \xleftarrow{(3)} f g t \xrightarrow{(2)} b \end{array}$$

Slide 16

Completion

(1) $f x \longrightarrow a$ (2) $g y \longrightarrow b$ (3) $f (g z) \longrightarrow b$
is not confluent

But it can be made confluent by adding rules!

How: join all critical pairs

Example:

(1)+(3) $\{x \mapsto g z\}$ $a \xrightarrow{(1)} f g t \xrightarrow{(3)} b$

shows that $a = b$ (because $a \xrightarrow{*} b$), so we add $a \longrightarrow b$ as a rule

This is the main idea of the Knuth-Bendix completion algorithm.

Slide 17



NICTA

DEMO: WALDMEISTER

Slide 18

Orthogonal Rewriting Systems

Definitions:

A rule $l \longrightarrow r$ is **left-linear** if no variable occurs twice in l .

A **rewrite system** is **left-linear** if all rules are.

A system is **orthogonal** if it is left-linear and has no critical pairs.

Orthogonal rewrite systems are confluent

Application: functional programming languages

Slide 19



NICTA

We have learned today ...

- Conditional term rewriting
- Congruence rules
- AC rules
- More on confluence

Slide 20