



COMP 4161  
NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Simon Winwood, Toby Murray, June Andronick, Gerwin Klein

# HOL

Slide 1



## QUANTIFIERS

Slide 3

### Content

- Intro & motivation, getting started with Isabelle
- **Foundations & Principles**
  - Lambda Calculus
  - **Higher Order Logic, natural deduction**
  - Term rewriting
- Proof & Specification Techniques
  - Datatypes, recursion, induction
  - Inductively defined sets, rule induction
  - Calculational reasoning, mathematics style proofs
  - Hoare logic, proofs about programs



Slide 2

### Scope

- Scope of parameters: whole subgoal
- Scope of  $\forall, \exists, \dots$ : ends with ; or  $\implies$

Example:

$$\bigwedge x y. [\forall y. P y \longrightarrow Q z y; Q x y] \implies \exists x. Q x y$$

means

$$\bigwedge x y. [(\forall y_1. P y_1 \longrightarrow Q z y_1); Q x y] \implies (\exists x_1. Q x_1 y)$$



Slide 4

## Natural deduction for quantifiers



$$\frac{\bigwedge x. P x}{\forall x. P x} \text{allI} \quad \frac{\forall x. P x \quad P ?x \implies R}{R} \text{allE}$$

$$\frac{P ?x}{\exists x. P x} \text{exI} \quad \frac{\exists x. P x \quad \bigwedge x. P x \implies R}{R} \text{exE}$$

- **allI** and **exE** introduce new parameters ( $\bigwedge x$ ).
- **allE** and **exI** introduce new unknowns ( $?x$ ).

Slide 5

## Instantiating Rules



**apply** (rule\_tac x = "term" in rule)

Like **rule**, but  $?x$  in *rule* is instantiated by *term* before application.

Similar: **erule\_tac**

**!**  $x$  is in *rule*, not in goal **!**

Slide 6

## Two Successful Proofs



1.  $\forall x. \exists y. x = y$

**apply** (rule allI)

1.  $\bigwedge x. \exists y. x = y$

best practice

**apply** (rule\_tac x = "x" in exI)

1.  $\bigwedge x. x = x$

**apply** (rule refl)

**simpler & clearer**

exploration

**apply** (rule exI)

1.  $\bigwedge x. x = ?y x$

**apply** (rule refl)

$?y \mapsto \lambda u. u$

**shorter & trickier**

Slide 7

## Two Unsuccessful Proofs



1.  $\exists y. \forall x. x = y$

**apply** (rule\_tac x = "???" in exI)

**apply** (rule exI)

1.  $\forall x. x = ?y$

**apply** (rule allI)

1.  $\bigwedge x. x = ?y$

**apply** (rule refl)

$?y \mapsto x$  yields  $\bigwedge x'. x' = x$

Principle:

$?f x_1 \dots x_n$  can only be replaced by term  $t$

if  $params(t) \subseteq x_1, \dots, x_n$

Slide 8

## Safe and Unsafe Rules



**Safe** allI, exE

**Unsafe** allE, exI

Create parameters first, unknowns later

Slide 9



DEMO: QUANTIFIER PROOFS

Slide 10

## Parameter names



Parameter names are chosen by Isabelle

1.  $\forall x. \exists y. x = y$

**apply** (rule allI)

1.  $\wedge x. \exists y. x = y$

**apply** (rule\_tac x = "x" in exI)

**Brittle!**

Slide 11

## Renaming parameters



1.  $\forall x. \exists y. x = y$

**apply** (rule allI)

1.  $\wedge x. \exists y. x = y$

**apply** (rename\_tac N)

1.  $\wedge N. \exists y. N = y$

**apply** (rule\_tac x = "N" in exI)

In general:

**(rename\_tac  $x_1 \dots x_n$ )** renames the rightmost (inner)  $n$  parameters to  $x_1 \dots x_n$

Slide 12

Forward Proof: frule and drule



**apply** (frule < rule >)

Rule:  $[A_1; \dots; A_m] \Rightarrow A$

Subgoal: 1.  $[B_1; \dots; B_n] \Rightarrow C$

Substitution:  $\sigma(B_i) \equiv \sigma(A_i)$

New subgoals: 1.  $\sigma([B_1; \dots; B_n] \Rightarrow A_2)$   
 $\vdots$   
 m-1.  $\sigma([B_1; \dots; B_n] \Rightarrow A_m)$   
 m.  $\sigma([B_1; \dots; B_n; A] \Rightarrow C)$

Like **frule** but also deletes  $B_i$ : **apply** (drule < rule >)

Slide 13

Examples for Forward Rules



$$\frac{P \wedge Q}{P} \text{ conjunct1} \quad \frac{P \wedge Q}{Q} \text{ conjunct2}$$

$$\frac{P \rightarrow Q \quad P}{Q} \text{ mp}$$

$$\frac{\forall x. P x}{P ?x} \text{ spec}$$

Slide 14

Forward Proof: OF



$r$  [OF  $r_1 \dots r_n$ ]

Prove assumption 1 of theorem  $r$  with theorem  $r_1$ , and assumption 2 with theorem  $r_2$ , and ...

Rule  $r$   $[A_1; \dots; A_m] \Rightarrow A$

Rule  $r_1$   $[B_1; \dots; B_n] \Rightarrow B$

Substitution  $\sigma(B) \equiv \sigma(A_1)$

$r$  [OF  $r_1$ ]  $\sigma([B_1; \dots; B_n; A_2; \dots; A_m] \Rightarrow A)$

Slide 15

Forward proofs: THEN



$r_1$  [THEN  $r_2$ ] means  $r_2$  [OF  $r_1$ ]

Slide 16



## DEMO: FORWARD PROOFS

Slide 17

### Hilbert's Epsilon Operator



(David Hilbert, 1862-1943)

$\varepsilon x. Px$  is a value that satisfies  $P$  (if such a value exists)

$\varepsilon$  also known as **description operator**.  
In Isabelle the  $\varepsilon$ -operator is written  $\text{SOME } x. P x$

$$\frac{P ?x}{P (\text{SOME } x. P x)} \text{ someI}$$

Slide 18

### More Epsilon

$\varepsilon$  implies Axiom of Choice:

$$\forall x. \exists y. Q x y \implies \exists f. \forall x. Q x (f x)$$

Existential and universal quantification can be defined with  $\varepsilon$ .

Isabelle also knows the definite description operator **THE** (aka  $\iota$ ):

$$\frac{}{(\text{THE } x. x = a) = a} \text{ the_eq_trivial}$$

Slide 19

### Some Automation

#### More Proof Methods:

- apply** (intro <intro-rules>) repeatedly applies intro rules
- apply** (elim <elim-rules>) repeatedly applies elim rules
- apply** clarify applies all safe rules that do not split the goal
- apply** safe applies all safe rules
- apply** blast an automatic tableaux prover (works well on predicate logic)
- apply** fast another automatic search tactic

Slide 20



## EPSILON AND AUTOMATION DEMO

### Slide 21

---

We have learned so far...



- Proof rules for negation and contradiction
- Proof rules for predicate calculus
- Safe and unsafe rules
- Forward Proof
- The Epsilon Operator
- Some automation

### Slide 22