

#### **COMP 4161**

### NICTA Advanced Course

# **Advanced Topics in Software Verification**

Simon Winwood, Toby Murray, June Andronick, Gerwin Klein

 $\{P\}\,\dots\{Q\}$ 

Slide 1

### Content



- → Intro & motivation, getting started with Isabelle
- → Foundations & Principles
  - Lambda Calculus
  - Higher Order Logic, natural deduction
  - Term rewriting

### → Proof & Specification Techniques

- Inductively defined sets, rule induction
- · Datatypes, recursion, induction
- More recursion, Calculational reasoning
- Hoare logic, proofs about programs
- Locales, Presentation

Slide 2

# Last Time



- → Calculations: also/finally
- → [trans]-rules
- → Code generation

### Slide 3

### Finding Theorems



Command find\_theorems (C-c C-f) finds combinations of:

- → pattern: "\_+\_+\_"
- → lhs of simp rules: simp: "\_\* (\_+\_)"
- → intro/elim/dest on current goal
- → lemma name: name: assoc
- → exclusions thereof: -name: "HOL."

### Example:

find\_theorems dest -"hd" name: "List."

finds all theorems in the current context that

- → match the goal as dest rule,
- → do not contain the constant "hd"
- → are in the List theory (name starts with "List.")



IMP - a small Imperative Language



Can define local constant in Isar proof context:

proof

define "f  $\equiv$  big term" have "g = f x" ...

like definition, not automatically unfolded (f\_def) different to **let** ?f = "big term"

Also available in lemma statement:

fixes ...
assumes ...
defines ...
shows ...

Slide 5



**NICTA** 

# A CRASH COURSE IN SEMANTICS

Slide 6

Commands:

datatype com = SKIP

Assign loc aexp  $(\_ := \_)$ 

Semi com com (\_; \_)
Cond bexp com com (IF \_ T

Cond bexp com com (IF \_ THEN \_ ELSE \_)
While bexp com (WHILE \_ DO \_ OD)

types loc=stringtypes state=loc  $\Rightarrow$  nat

types aexp = state ⇒ nat types bexp = state ⇒ bool

Slide 7

Example Program



Usual syntax:

$$\begin{split} B &:= 1; \\ \text{WHILE } A \neq 0 \text{ DO} \\ B &:= B*A; \\ A &:= A-1 \\ \text{OD} \end{split}$$

Expressions are functions from state to bool or nat:

$$\begin{split} B &:= (\lambda \sigma. \ 1); \\ \text{WHILE} \ (\lambda \sigma. \ \sigma \ A \neq 0) \ \text{DO} \\ B &:= (\lambda \sigma. \ \sigma \ B * \sigma \ A); \\ A &:= (\lambda \sigma. \ \sigma \ A - 1) \\ \text{OD} \end{split}$$



#### So far we have defined:

- → Syntax of commands and expressions
- → State of programs (function from variables to values)

Now we need: the meaning (semantics) of programs

### How to define execution of a program?

- → A wide field of its own
- → Some choices:
  - Operational (inductive relations, big step, small step)
  - Denotational (programs as functions on states, state transformers)
  - Axiomatic (pre-/post conditions, Hoare logic)

### Slide 9

# Structural Operational Semantics



$$\overline{\langle \mathsf{SKIP}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma}$$

$$\frac{e \ \sigma = v}{\langle \mathsf{x} := \mathsf{e}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto v]}$$

$$\frac{\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle c_2, \sigma' \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma''}{\langle c_1; c_2, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma''}$$

$$\frac{b \ \sigma = \mathsf{True} \quad \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathsf{IF} \ b \ \mathsf{THEN} \ c_1 \ \mathsf{ELSE} \ c_2, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}$$

$$\frac{b \; \sigma = \mathsf{False} \quad \langle c_2, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathsf{IF} \; b \; \mathsf{THEN} \; c_1 \; \mathsf{ELSE} \; c_2, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma'}$$

Slide 10

# Structural Operational Semantics



$$\frac{b \ \sigma = \mathsf{False}}{\langle \mathsf{WHILE} \ b \ \mathsf{DO} \ c \ \mathsf{OD}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma}$$

$$\frac{b \ \sigma = \mathsf{True} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma' \quad \langle \mathsf{WHILE} \ b \ \mathsf{DO} \ c \ \mathsf{OD}, \sigma' \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma''}{\langle \mathsf{WHILE} \ b \ \mathsf{DO} \ c \ \mathsf{OD}, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma''}$$

Slide 11



**DEMO: THE DEFINITIONS IN ISABELLE** 

Slide 12

5

6

# Proofs about Programs



#### Now we know:

→ What programs are: Syntax→ On what they work: State→ How they work: Semantics

### So we can prove properties about programs

## Example:

Show that example program from slide 8 implements the factorial.

### Slide 13



DEMO: EXAMPLE PROOF

Slide 14

# Too tedious



### Induction needed for each loop

### Is there something easier?

Slide 15

### Floyd/Hoare



Idea: describe meaning of program by pre/post conditions

### Examples:

$$\begin{cases} \mathsf{True} \} & x \coloneqq 2 \quad \{x = 2\} \\ \{y = 2\} & x \coloneqq 21 * y \quad \{x = 42\} \end{cases}$$
 
$$\{x = n\} \quad \mathsf{IF} \ y < 0 \ \mathsf{THEN} \ x \coloneqq x + y \ \mathsf{ELSE} \ x \coloneqq x - y \quad \{x = n - |y|\}$$
 
$$\{A = n\} \quad \mathsf{factorial} \quad \{B = \mathsf{fac} \ n\}$$

Proofs: have rules that directly work on such triples

# Meaning of a Hoare-Triple



$$\{P\}$$
  $c$   $\{Q\}$ 

#### What are the assertions P and Q?

- → Here: again functions from state to bool (shallow embedding of assertions)
- → Other choice: syntax and semantics for assertions (deep embedding)

What does  $\{P\}$  c  $\{Q\}$  mean?

Partial Correctness:

$$\models \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\} \quad \equiv \quad (\forall \sigma \ \sigma'. \ P \ \sigma \land \langle c, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma' \Longrightarrow Q \ \sigma')$$

**Total Correctness:** 

$$\models \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\} \quad \equiv \quad (\forall \sigma. \ P \ \sigma \Longrightarrow \exists \sigma'. \ \langle c, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow \sigma' \land Q \ \sigma')$$

This lecture: partial correctness only (easier)

Slide 17

### Hoare Rules



$${P}$$
 SKIP  ${P}$   ${P[x \mapsto e]}$   $x := e$   ${P}$ 

$$\frac{\{P\}\;c_1\;\{R\}\quad\{R\}\;c_2\;\{Q\}}{\{P\}\quad c_1;c_2\quad\{Q\}}$$

$$\frac{\{P \wedge b\} \ c_1 \ \{Q\} \quad \{P \wedge \neg b\} \ c_2 \ \{Q\}}{\{P\} \quad \text{IF } b \ \text{THEN} \ c_1 \ \text{ELSE} \ c_2 \quad \{Q\}}$$

$$\frac{\{P \wedge b\} \ c \ \{P\} \quad P \wedge \neg b \Longrightarrow Q}{\{P\} \quad \text{WHILE } b \ \text{DO} \ c \ \text{OD} \quad \{Q\}}$$

$$\frac{P \Longrightarrow P' \quad \{P'\} \; c \; \{Q'\} \quad Q' \Longrightarrow Q}{\{P\} \quad c \quad \{Q\}}$$

Slide 18

# Hoare Rules



$$\vdash \{P\} \quad \mathsf{SKIP} \quad \{P\} \qquad \vdash \{\lambda \sigma. \ P \ (\sigma(x := e \ \sigma))\} \quad x := e \quad \{P\}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \{P\} \ c_1 \ \{R\} \ \vdash \{R\} \ c_2 \ \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} \ c_1; c_2 \ \{Q\}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \{\lambda \sigma. \ P \ \sigma \wedge b \ \sigma\} \ c_1 \ \{R\} \quad \vdash \{\lambda \sigma. \ P \ \sigma \wedge \neg b \ \sigma\} \ c_2 \ \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} \quad \mathsf{IF} \ b \ \mathsf{THEN} \ c_1 \ \mathsf{ELSE} \ c_2 \quad \{Q\}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \{\lambda \sigma. \ P \ \sigma \land b \ \sigma\} \ c \ \{P\} \quad \bigwedge \sigma. \ P \ \sigma \land \neg b \ \sigma \Longrightarrow Q \ \sigma}{\vdash \{P\} \quad \mathsf{WHILE} \ b \ \mathsf{DO} \ c \ \mathsf{OD} \quad \{Q\}}$$

Slide 19

### Are the Rules Correct?



Soundness:  $\vdash \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\} \Longrightarrow \models \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\}$ 

**Proof:** by rule induction on  $\vdash \{P\} \ c \ \{Q\}$ 

Demo: Hoare Logic in Isabelle