

# Lists in the $\lambda$ -calculus

Simon Winwood

July 30, 2010

We will represent list objects as functions taking an argument for the *cons* case, and one for the *nil* case, so lists will, in general, have the form

$$\lambda f n. \dots$$

Thus, in the *nil* case we can just return the second argument.

$$nil = \lambda f n.n$$

In the *cons* case, we need to pass the list elements to the first function, along with something for the tail of the list

$$cons = \lambda x xs.\lambda f n.f x (xs f n)$$

Note that we need to pass  $f$  and  $n$  to  $xs$ .

To implement *map*, that is,

$$map f [x_1, \dots, x_n] = [f x_1, \dots, f x_n]$$

we note that, in the *nil* case, we simply want *nil* again. In the *cons* case, we want

$$map f (cons x xs) = cons (fx)(map f xs)$$

hence

$$map = \lambda f xs.xs (\lambda x xs'.cons (fx) xs') nil$$

The *foldl* function

$$foldl f i [x_1, \dots, x_n] = f x_1 (f x_2 (f x_3 (\dots (f x_n i)))) \dots$$

is rather simpler, and is left as an exercise.