

COMP 4161
NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Gerwin Klein
Formal Methods

HOL

Slide 1

CONTENT

- Intro & motivation, getting started with Isabelle
- **Foundations & Principles**
 - Lambda Calculus
 - **Higher Order Logic, natural deduction**
 - Term rewriting
- Proof & Specification Techniques
 - Datatypes, recursion, induction
 - Inductively defined sets, rule induction
 - Calculational reasoning, mathematics style proofs
 - Hoare logic, proofs about programs

Slide 2

QUANTIFIERS

Slide 3

SCOPE

- Scope of parameters: whole subgoal
- Scope of \forall, \exists, \dots : ends with ; or \implies

Example:

$$\wedge x y. [\forall y. P y \longrightarrow Q z y; Q x y] \implies \exists x. Q x y$$

means

$$\wedge x y. [(\forall y_1. P y_1 \longrightarrow Q z y_1); Q x y] \implies (\exists x_1. Q x_1 y)$$

Slide 4

NATURAL DEDUCTION FOR QUANTIFIERS



$$\frac{\bigwedge x. P x}{\forall x. P x} \text{allI} \quad \frac{\forall x. P x \quad P ?x \implies R}{R} \text{allE}$$

$$\frac{P ?x}{\exists x. P x} \text{exI} \quad \frac{\exists x. P x \quad \bigwedge x. P x \implies R}{R} \text{exE}$$

- **allI** and **exE** introduce new parameters ($\bigwedge x$).
- **allE** and **exI** introduce new unknowns ($?x$).

Slide 5

INSTANTIATING RULES



apply (rule_tac x = "term" in rule)

Like **rule**, but $?x$ in *rule* is instantiated by *term* before application.

Similar: **erule_tac**

! *x* is in *rule*, not in goal **!**

Slide 6

TWO SUCCESSFUL PROOFS



1. $\forall x. \exists y. x = y$

apply (rule allI)

1. $\bigwedge x. \exists y. x = y$

best practice

apply (rule_tac x = "x" in exI)

1. $\bigwedge x. x = x$

apply (rule refl)

simpler & clearer

exploration

apply (rule exI)

1. $\bigwedge x. x = ?y x$

apply (rule refl)

$?y \mapsto \lambda u. u$

shorter & trickier

Slide 7

TWO UNSUCCESSFUL PROOFS



1. $\exists y. \forall x. x = y$

apply (rule_tac x = "???" in exI)

apply (rule exI)

1. $\forall x. x = ?y$

apply (rule allI)

1. $\bigwedge x. x = ?y$

apply (rule refl)

$?y \mapsto x$ yields $\bigwedge x'. x' = x$

Principle:

$?f x_1 \dots x_n$ can only be replaced by term *t*

if $params(t) \subseteq x_1, \dots, x_n$

Slide 8

SAFE AND UNSAFE RULES



Safe allI, exE

Unsafe allE, exI

Create parameters first, unknowns later

Slide 9



DEMO: QUANTIFIER PROOFS

Slide 10

PARAMETER NAMES



Parameter names are chosen by Isabelle

1. $\forall x. \exists y. x = y$

apply (rule allI)

1. $\wedge x. \exists y. x = y$

apply (rule_tac x = "x" in exI)

Brittle!

Slide 11

RENAMING PARAMETERS



1. $\forall x. \exists y. x = y$

apply (rule allI)

1. $\wedge x. \exists y. x = y$

apply (rename_tac N)

1. $\wedge N. \exists y. N = y$

apply (rule_tac x = "N" in exI)

In general:

(rename_tac $x_1 \dots x_n$) renames the rightmost (inner) n parameters to $x_1 \dots x_n$

Slide 12

apply (frule < rule >)

Rule: $\llbracket A_1; \dots; A_m \rrbracket \Rightarrow A$

Subgoal: 1. $\llbracket B_1; \dots; B_n \rrbracket \Rightarrow C$

Substitution: $\sigma(B_i) \equiv \sigma(A_i)$

New subgoals: 1. $\sigma(\llbracket B_1; \dots; B_n \rrbracket \Rightarrow A_2)$

\vdots

m-1. $\sigma(\llbracket B_1; \dots; B_n \rrbracket \Rightarrow A_m)$

m. $\sigma(\llbracket B_1; \dots; B_n; A \rrbracket \Rightarrow C)$

Like **frule** but also deletes B_i : **apply** (drule < rule >)

Slide 13

$$\frac{P \wedge Q}{P} \text{ conjunct1} \quad \frac{P \wedge Q}{Q} \text{ conjunct2}$$

$$\frac{P \rightarrow Q \quad P}{Q} \text{ mp}$$

$$\frac{\forall x. P \ x}{P \ ?x} \text{ spec}$$

Slide 14

r [OF $r_1 \dots r_n$]

Prove assumption 1 of theorem r with theorem r_1 , and assumption 2 with theorem r_2 , and ...

Rule r $\llbracket A_1; \dots; A_m \rrbracket \Rightarrow A$

Rule r_1 $\llbracket B_1; \dots; B_n \rrbracket \Rightarrow B$

Substitution $\sigma(B) \equiv \sigma(A_1)$

r [OF r_1] $\sigma(\llbracket B_1; \dots; B_n; A_2; \dots; A_m \rrbracket \Rightarrow A)$

Slide 15

r_1 [THEN r_2] means r_2 [OF r_1]

Slide 16

DEMO: FORWARD PROOFS

Slide 17

HILBERT'S EPSILON OPERATOR

(David Hilbert, 1862-1943)

$\varepsilon x. Px$ is a value that satisfies P (if such a value exists)

ε also known as **description operator**.

In Isabelle the ε -operator is written `SOME $x. P x$`

$$\frac{P ?x}{P (\text{SOME } x. P x)} \text{ someI}$$

Slide 18

MORE EPSILON

ε implies Axiom of Choice:

$$\forall x. \exists y. Q x y \implies \exists f. \forall x. Q x (f x)$$

Existential and universal quantification can be defined with ε .

Isabelle also knows the definite description operator **THE** (aka ι):

$$\frac{}{(\text{THE } x. x = a) = a} \text{ the_eq_trivial}$$

Slide 19

SOME AUTOMATION

More Proof Methods:

- apply** (intro <intro-rules>) repeatedly applies intro rules
- apply** (elim <elim-rules>) repeatedly applies elim rules
- apply** clarify applies all safe rules that do not split the goal
- apply** safe applies all safe rules
- apply** blast an automatic tableaux prover (works well on predicate logic)
- apply** fast another automatic search tactic

Slide 20

EPSILON AND AUTOMATION DEMO

Slide 21

WE HAVE LEARNED SO FAR...

- Proof rules for negation and contradiction
- Proof rules for predicate calculus
- Safe and unsafe rules
- Forward Proof
- The Epsilon Operator
- Some automation

Slide 22