

COMP 4161
NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Gerwin Klein
Formal Methods



Slide 1

So, WHAT CAN YOU DO WITH λ CALCULUS?

λ calculus is very expressive, you can encode:

- logic, set theory
- turing machines, functional programs, etc.

Examples:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{true} \equiv \lambda x y. x & \text{if true } x y \xrightarrow{\beta}^* x \\ \text{false} \equiv \lambda x y. y & \text{if false } x y \xrightarrow{\beta}^* y \\ \text{if } \quad \equiv \lambda z x y. z x y & \end{array}$$

Now, not, and, or, etc is easy:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{not} \equiv \lambda x. \text{if } x \text{ false true} \\ \text{and} \equiv \lambda x y. \text{if } x y \text{ false} \\ \text{or } \equiv \lambda x y. \text{if } x \text{ true } y \end{array}$$

MORE EXAMPLES

Encoding natural numbers (Church Numerals)

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \equiv \lambda f x. x \\ 1 \equiv \lambda f x. f x \\ 2 \equiv \lambda f x. f(f x) \\ 3 \equiv \lambda f x. f(f(f x)) \\ \dots \end{array}$$

Numeral n takes arguments f and x , applies f n -times to x .

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{iszero} \equiv \lambda n. n(\lambda x. \text{false}) \text{ true} \\ \text{succ} \equiv \lambda n f x. f(n f x) \\ \text{add} \equiv \lambda m n. \lambda f x. m f(n f x) \end{array}$$

Slide 3

FIX POINTS

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda x f. f(x x f)) (\lambda x f. f(x x f)) t \xrightarrow{\beta} \\ & (\lambda f. f((\lambda x f. f(x x f)) (\lambda x f. f(x x f)) f)) t \xrightarrow{\beta} \\ & t ((\lambda x f. f(x x f)) (\lambda x f. f(x x f)) t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (\lambda x f. f(x x f)) (\lambda x f. f(x x f)) \\ \mu t &\xrightarrow{\beta} t (\mu t) \xrightarrow{\beta} t(t(\mu t)) \xrightarrow{\beta} t(t(t(\mu t))) \xrightarrow{\beta} \dots \end{aligned}$$

$(\lambda x f. f(x x f)) (\lambda x f. f(x x f))$ is Turing's fix point operator

Slide 2

Slide 4

NICE, BUT ...



NICTA

As a mathematical foundation, λ does not work. It is inconsistent.

- Frege (Predicate Logic, ~ 1879):
allows arbitrary quantification over predicates
- Russel (1901): Paradox $R \equiv \{X | X \notin X\}$
- Whitehead & Russel (Principia Mathematica, 1910-1913):
Fix the problem
- Church (1930): λ calculus as logic, true, false, \wedge, \dots as λ terms

Problem:

with $\{x | P x\} \equiv \lambda x. P x \quad x \in M \equiv M x$

you can write $R \equiv \lambda x. \text{not}(x x)$

and get $(R R) =_{\beta} \text{not}(R R)$

Slide 5

WE HAVE LEARNED SO FAR...



NICTA

- λ calculus syntax
- free variables, substitution
- β reduction
- α and η conversion
- β reduction is confluent
- λ calculus is very expressive (turing complete)
- λ calculus is inconsistent

ISABELLE DEMO

Slide 7

CONTENT



NICTA

- Intro & motivation, getting started with Isabelle
- Foundations & Principles
 - Lambda Calculus
 - Higher Order Logic, natural deduction
 - Term rewriting
- Proof & Specification Techniques
 - Datatypes, recursion, induction
 - Inductively defined sets, rule induction
 - Calculational reasoning, mathematics style proofs
 - Hoare logic, proofs about programs

Slide 6

Slide 8

λ CALCULUS IS INCONSISTENT



NICTA

From last lecture:

Can find term R such that $R R =_{\beta} \text{not}(R R)$

There are more terms that do not make sense:

1 2, true false, etc.

Solution: rule out ill-formed terms by using types.

(Church 1940)

THAT'S ABOUT IT

Slide 9

INTRODUCING TYPES



NICTA

Idea: assign a type to each “sensible” λ term.

Examples:

- for term t has type α write $t :: \alpha$
- if x has type α then $\lambda x. x$ is a function from α to α
Write: $(\lambda x. x) :: \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$
- for $s t$ to be sensible:
 s must be function
 t must be right type for parameter
If $s :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ and $t :: \alpha$ then $(s t) :: \beta$

Slide 11



NOW FORMALLY AGAIN

Slide 10

Slide 12

SYNTAX FOR λ -



NICTA

Terms: $t ::= v \mid c \mid (t t) \mid (\lambda x. t)$
 $v, x \in V, \quad c \in C, \quad V, C$ sets of names

Types: $\tau ::= b \mid \nu \mid \tau \Rightarrow \tau$
 $b \in \{\text{bool}, \text{int}, \dots\}$ base types
 $\nu \in \{\alpha, \beta, \dots\}$ type variables
 $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \gamma = \alpha \Rightarrow (\beta \Rightarrow \gamma)$

Context Γ :

Γ : function from variable and constant names to types.

Term t has type τ in context Γ : $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$

Slide 13

EXAMPLES



NICTA

$$\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x) :: \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$$

$$[y \leftarrow \text{int}] \vdash y :: \text{int}$$

$$[z \leftarrow \text{bool}] \vdash (\lambda y. y) z :: \text{bool}$$

$$\emptyset \vdash \lambda f x. f x :: (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$$

A term t is **well typed** or **type correct**
if there are Γ and τ such that $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$

TYPE CHECKING RULES



NICTA

Variables: $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x :: \Gamma(x)}$

Application: $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 :: \tau_2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 :: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1 t_2) :: \tau_1}$

Abstraction: $\frac{\Gamma[x \leftarrow \tau_1] \vdash t :: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. t) :: \tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2}$

Slide 15

EXAMPLE TYPE DERIVATION:



NICTA

$$\frac{[x \leftarrow \alpha, y \leftarrow \beta] \vdash x :: \alpha \quad [x \leftarrow \alpha] \vdash \lambda y. x :: \beta \Rightarrow \alpha}{\emptyset \vdash \lambda x. y. x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \alpha}$$

Slide 14

Slide 16

MORE COMPLEX EXAMPLE



NICTA

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f :: \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \quad \Gamma \vdash x :: \alpha}{\frac{\Gamma \vdash \underline{f} \underline{x} :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta \quad \Gamma \vdash \underline{x} :: \alpha}{\frac{\Gamma \vdash \underline{f} \underline{x} \underline{x} :: \beta}{\frac{[f \leftarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta] \vdash \lambda x. f \ x \ x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta}{[] \vdash \lambda f \ x. f \ x \ x :: (\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta}}}}$$

$$\Gamma = [f \leftarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta, x \leftarrow \alpha]$$

Slide 17