

COMP 4161NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Gerwin KleinFormal Methods

wfrec

1

CONTENT

- **→** Intro & motivation, getting started with Isabelle
- **→** Foundations & Principles
	- Lambda Calculus
	- Higher Order Logic, natural deduction
	- **•** Term rewriting

➜ **Proof & Specification Techniques**

- Inductively defined sets, rule induction
- Datatypes, recursion, induction
- **More recursion, Calculational reasoning**
- Hoare logic, proofs about programs
- Locales, Presentation

GENERAL ^RECURSION

The Choice

- \rightarrow Limited expressiveness, automatic termination
	- primrec
- \rightarrow High expressiveness, termination proof may fail
	- fun
- \rightarrow High expressiveness, tweakable, termination proof manual
	- function


```
fun sep :: "'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list"
where
```

```
"sep a (x # y # zs) = x # a # sep a (y # zs)" |
"sep a xs = xs"
```

```
fun ack :: "nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat"<br>'''bere
```
where

```
"ack 0 n = Suc n" \mid"ack (Suc m) 0 = ack m 1" \mid"ack (Suc m) (Suc n) = ack m (ack (Suc m) n)"
```


- \rightarrow The definiton:
	- pattern matching in all parameters
	- arbitrary, linear constructor patterns
	- reads equations sequentially like in Haskell (top to bottom)
	- proves termination automatically in many cases (tries lexicographic order)
- **→** Generates own induction principle
- \rightarrow May have fail to prove automation:
	- use **function (sequential)** instead
	- allows to prove termination manually

FUN — INDUCTION PRINCIPLE

- ➜ Each **fun** definition induces an induction principle
- \rightarrow For each equation:

show that the property holds for the lhs provided it holds for eachrecursive call on the rhs

NICTA

➜ Example **sep.induct**:

$$
\begin{array}{l}\n\left[\begin{array}{c}\n\bigwedge a. P a \right]; \\
\bigwedge a w. P a \left[w \right] \\
\bigwedge a x y z s. P a (y \# z s) \Longrightarrow P a (x \# y \# z s); \\
\right] \Longrightarrow P a x s\n\end{array}\n\end{array}
$$

TERMINATION

Isabelle tries to prove termination automatically

- → For most functions this works with a lexicographic termination relation.
- → Sometimes not \Rightarrow error message with unsolved subgoal
- \rightarrow You can prove automation separately.

function (sequential) quicksort **where**

quicksort $| \cdot | = | \cdot |$ quicksort $(x\#xs)$ = quicksort $[y\leftarrow xs.y\leq x]@[x]@$ quicksort $[y\leftarrow xs.x < y]$ **by** pat₋completeness auto

termination

by (relation "measure length") (auto simp: less₋Suc₋eq₋le)

function is the fully tweakable, manual version of **fun**

DEMO

We need:general recursion operator

something like: $\quad rec \ F=F \ (rec \ F)$ (F stands for the recursion equations) $\,$

Example:

- \rightarrow recursion equations: $f = 0$ f $(\text{Suc } n) = f \cdot n$
- \rightarrow as one λ -term: $f = \lambda n'$. case n' of $0 \Rightarrow 0$ | Suc $n \Rightarrow f n$
- \rightarrow functor: $F = \lambda f$. $\lambda n'$. case n' of $0 \Rightarrow 0 \mid$ Suc $n \Rightarrow f n$
- \rightarrow rec :: $((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$ like above cannot exist in HOL (only total functions)
- **→** But 'guarded' form possible:

wfrec $:: (\alpha \times \alpha)$ set $\Rightarrow ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)) \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$

 \rightarrow $(\alpha \times \alpha)$ set a well founded order, decreasing with execution

Why rec
$$
F = F
$$
 (rec F)?

Because we want the recursion equations to hold.

Example:

$$
F \equiv \lambda g. \lambda n'. \text{ case } n' \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow 0 \mid \text{Suc } n \Rightarrow g \text{ } n
$$

$$
f \equiv rec F
$$

$$
f 0 = rec F 0
$$

\n
$$
\therefore = F (rec F) 0
$$

\n
$$
\therefore = (\lambda g. \lambda n'. \text{ case } n' \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow 0 | \text{Suc } n \Rightarrow g n) (rec F) 0
$$

\n
$$
\therefore = (case 0 \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow 0 | \text{Suc } n \Rightarrow rec F n)
$$

\n
$$
\therefore = 0
$$

WELL ^FOUNDED ^ORDERS

Definition

 $<_{r}$ is well founded if well founded induction holds wf $r \equiv \forall P. (\forall x. (\forall y <_r x. P y) \longrightarrow P x) \longrightarrow (\forall x. P x)$

Well founded induction rule:

$$
\frac{\text{wf } r \quad \bigwedge x. \ (\forall y <_r x. \ P \ y) \Longrightarrow P \ x}{P \ a}
$$

Alternative definition (equivalent):

there are no infinite descending chains, or (equivalent): every nonempty set has a minimal element wrt \lt_r

$$
\min r Q x \equiv \forall y \in Q. \ y \nless r x
$$
\n
$$
\text{wf } r \quad = \quad (\forall Q \neq \{\}. \ \exists m \in Q. \ \min r Q \ m)
$$

WELL ^FOUNDED ^ORDERS: ^EXAMPLES

- \rightarrow $<$ on $\mathbb N$ is well founded well founded induction = complete induction
- ➜ > and [≤] on IN are **not** well founded
- \rightarrow $x <_r y = x$ dvd $y \wedge x \neq 1$ on N is well founded the minimal elements are the prime numbers
- → $(a, b) <_r (x, y) = a <_1 x ∨ a = x ∧ b <_1 y$ is well founded if $\mathord{<}_1$ and $\mathord{<}_2$ are
- \blacktriangleright $A <_{r} B = A \subset B \wedge$ finite B is well founded
- ➜ [⊆] and [⊂] in general are **not** well founded

More about well founded relations: Term Rewriting and All That

Back to recursion: $rec\ F = F\ (rec\ F)$ not possible

Idea: have wfrec R F where R is well founded

Cut:

- \rightarrow only do recursion if parameter decreases wrt R
- **→** otherwise: abort
- \rightarrow arbitrary :: α

cut :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha \times \alpha)$ set $\Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$
cut $G, B, \dots \Rightarrow$ if $(\alpha, \alpha) \in B$ then G we also sub cut $G \mathrel R x \equiv \lambda y.$ if $(y,x) \in R$ then $G \mathrel y$ else arbitrary

wf $R \Longrightarrow$ wfrec $R F x = F$ (cut (wfrec $R F$) $R x$) x

THE ^RECURSION ^OPERATOR

Admissible recursion

- \rightarrow recursive call for x only depends on parameters $y <_{R} x$
- \rightarrow describes exactly one function if R is well founded

adm_wf $R F \equiv \forall f g x. (\forall z. (z, x) \in R \longrightarrow f z = g z) \longrightarrow F f x = F g x$

Definition of wf rec: again first by induction, then by epsilon

$$
\forall z. (z, x) \in R \longrightarrow (z, g z) \in \text{wfree_rel } R F
$$

$$
(x, F g x) \in \text{wfree_rel } R F
$$

wfrec $R F x \equiv \textsf{THE}\ y.\ (x,y) \in \mathsf{wfree_rel}\ R\ (\lambda f\ x.\ F\ (\mathsf{cut}\ f\ R\ x)\ x)$

More: John Harrison, Inductive definitions: automation and application

DEMO