COMP9414/9814/3411: Artificial Intelligence

Week 4: Informed Search

Russell & Norvig, Chapter 3.

Search Strategies

General Search algorithm:

add initial state to queue

Romania Street Map

120

Dobreta

- repeat:
 - ▶ take node from front of queue
 - ▶ test if it is a goal state; if so, terminate
 - "expand" it, i.e. generate successor nodes and add them to the queue

Search strategies are distinguished by the order in which new nodes are added to the queue of nodes awaiting expansion.

UNSW		©Alan Blair, 2013-18		UNSW		©Alan Blair, 2013-18
COMP9414/9814/3411 18s1	Informed Search		2	COMP9414/9814/3411 18s1	Informed Search	3

Search Strategies

- BFS and DFS treat all new nodes the same way:
 - **BFS** add all new nodes to the back of the queue
 - ► DFS add all new nodes to the front of the queue
- (Seemingly) Best First Search uses an evaluation function *f*() to order the nodes in the queue; we have seen one example of this:
 - ▶ UCS $f(n) = \cos t g(n)$ of path from root to node *n*
- Informed or Heuristic search strategies incorporate into f() an estimate of distance to goal
 - ▶ Greedy Search f(n) = estimate h(n) of cost from node n to goal
 - A * Search f(n) = g(n) + h(n)

 Oradea Neam 87 **Zerind** 151 140 92 Sibiu 99 Fagaras T Vaslu 80 Rimnicu Vilce imisoar 142 211 Pitest 🗖 Lugoj 97 98 146 85 10 Mohadia 86 128

Buchares

/90

🖬 Giurgiu



Arad

118

UNSW

Zerind

5

7

Heuristic Function

There is a whole family of Best First Search algorithms with different evaluation functions f(). A key component of these algorithms is a heuristic function:

- Heuristic function h: {Set of nodes} $\longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$:
 - *h*(*n*) = estimated cost of the cheapest path from current node *n* to *goal* node.
 - in the area of search, heuristic functions are problem specific functions that provide an estimate of solution cost.

Greedy Best-First Search

- Greedy Best-First Search: Best-First Search that selects the next node for expansion using the heuristic function for its evaluation function, i.e. f(n) = h(n)
- $\blacksquare h(n) = 0 \iff n \text{ is a goal state}$
- i.e. greedy search minimises the estimated cost to the goal; it expands whichever node n is estimated to be closest to the goal.
- Greedy: tries to "bite off" as big a chunk of the solution as possible, without worrying about long-term consequences.

UNSW	()Alan Blair, 2013-18		UNSW		©Alan Blair, 2013-18
COMP9414/9814/3411 18s1	Informed Search		6	COMP9414/9814/3411 18s1	Informed Search	

Straight Line Distance as a Heuristic

- $h_{SLD}(n)$ = straight-line distance between *n* and the goal location (Bucharest).
- Assume that roads typically tend to approximate the direct connection between two cities.
- Need to know the map coordinates of the cities:
 - $\checkmark \sqrt{(Sibiu_x Bucharest_x)^2 + (Sibiu_y Bucharest_y)^2}$

Greedy Best-First Search Example



8

Greedy Best-First Search Example



Greedy Best-First Search Example



UNSW		©Alan Blair, 2013-18		UNSW	©Alan Blair, 2013-18
COMP9414/9814/3411 18s1	Informed Search		10	COMP9414/9814/3411 18s1	Informed Search

Examples of Greedy Best-First Search

Try

- Iasi to Fagaras
- Fagaras to Iasi
- Rimnicu Vilcea to Lugoj

Properties of Greedy Best-First Search

- Complete: No! can get stuck in loops, e.g., Iasi → Neamt → Iasi → Neamt → ... Complete in finite space with repeated-state checking
- **Time:** $O(b^m)$, where *m* is the maximum depth in search space.
- **Space:** $O(b^m)$ (retains all nodes in memory)
- Optimal: No! e.g., the path Sibiu → Fagaras → Bucharest is 32 km longer than Sibiu → Rimnicu Vilcea → Pitesti → Bucharest.

Therefore Greedy Search has the same deficits as Depth-First Search. However, a good heuristic can reduce time and memory costs substantially. 9

Recall: Uniform-Cost Search

- Expand root first, then expand least-cost unexpanded node
- Implementation: QUEUEINGFN = insert nodes in order of increasing path cost.
- Reduces to breadth-first search when all actions have same cost
- Finds the cheapest goal provided path cost is monotonically increasing along each path (i.e. no negative-cost steps)

Uniform Cost Search



UNSW	©A	lan Blair, 2013-18
COMD0414/0814/2411 18-1	Informed Course	14

Uniform Cost Search



UNSW	©Alan Blair, 2013-18
OMP9414/9814/3411 18s1	Informed Search

Properties of Uniform Cost Search

- **Complete**? Yes, if *b* is finite and step costs $\geq \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$.
- Optimal? Yes.
- Guaranteed to find optimal solution, but does so by exhaustively expanding all nodes closer to the initial state than the goal.

Q: can we still guarantee optimality but search more efficiently, by giving priority to more "promising" nodes?

©Alan Blair, 2013-18

Informed Search

17

A* Search

- A* Search uses evaluation function f(n) = g(n) + h(n)
 - ▶ g(n) = cost from initial node to node n
 - \blacktriangleright h(n) = estimated cost of cheapest path from *n* to goal
 - > f(n) = estimated total cost of cheapest solution through node n
- Greedy Search minimizes h(n)
 - ▶ efficient but not optimal or complete
- Uniform Cost Search minimizes g(n)
 - ▶ optimal and complete but not efficient

A *	Search

- A* Search minimizes f(n) = g(n) + h(n)
 - idea: preserve efficiency of Greedy Search but avoid expanding paths that are already expensive
- Q: is A* Search optimal and complete ?
- A: Yes! provided *h*() is admissible in the sense that it never overestimates the cost to reach the goal.

©Alan Blair, 2013-18	UNSW	©Alan Blair, 201	3-18
18	COMP9414/9814/3411 18s1	Informed Search	19

A* Search Example





A* Search Example

UNSW

COMP9414/9814/3411 18s1

A* Search Example



A *	Search	Exampl	e
------------	--------	--------	---



A* Search Example



A* Search

- Heuristic h() is called admissible if $\forall n h(n) \le h^*(n)$ where $h^*(n)$ is true cost from n to goal
- If *h* is admissible then *f*(*n*) never overestimates the actual cost of the best solution through *n*.
- Example: *h*_{SLD}() is admissible because the shortest path between any two points is a line.
- Theorem: A^{*} Search is optimal if h() is admissible.

21

UNSW

COMP9414/9814/3411 18s1

©Alan Blair, 2013-18

22

UNSW

Optimality of A* Search

Suppose a suboptimal goal node G_2 has been generated and is in the queue. Let *n* be the last unexpanded node on a shortest path to an optimal goal node *G*.



UNSW			©Alan Blair, 2013-18	
COMP9414/98	314/3411 18s1	Informed Search		26

Properties of A* Search

- Complete: Yes, unless there are infinitely many nodes with $f \leq \text{cost of solution}$.
- **Time:** Exponential in [relative error in $h \times$ length of solution]
- Space: Keeps all nodes is memory
- Optimal: Yes (assuming h() is admissible).

Optimality of A* Search

Since $f(G_2) > f(n)$, A^{*} will never select G_2 for expansion.

Note: suboptimal goal node G_2 may be generated, but it will never be expanded.

In other words, even after a goal node has been generated, A* will keep searching so long as there is a possibility of finding a shorter solution.

Once a goal node is selected for expansion, we know it must be optimal, so we can terminate the search.

UNSW

Informed Search

27

© Alan Blair, 2013-18

25

Iterative Deepening A* Search

- Iterative Deepening A* is a low-memory variant of A* which performs a series of depth-first searches, but cuts off each search when the sum f() = g() + h() exceeds some pre-defined threshold.
- The threshold is steadily increased with each successive search.
- IDA* is asymptotically as efficient as A* for domains where the number of states grows exponentially.

Exercise

What sort of search will greedy search emulate if we run it with:

- h(n) = -g(n) ?
- h(n) = g(n)?
- h(n) = number of steps from initial state to node *n* ?

Examples of Admissible Heuristics

e.g. for the 8-puzzle:

 $h_1(n)$ = total number of misplaced tiles

 $h_2(n) =$ total Manhattan distance = Σ distance from goal position

7	2	4	1	2	3
5		6	4	5	6
8	3	1	7	8	
Start State				Goal State	

 $h_1(S) = ?$ $h_2(S) = ?$

Why are h_1 , h_2 admissible?

						O 11 . DI - 2012 10
UNSW		CAlan Blair, 2013-18		UNSW		C)Alan Blair, 2013-18
COMP9414/9814/3411 18s1	Informed Search		30	COMP9414/9814/3411 18s1	Informed Search	

Examples of Admissible Heuristics

e.g. for the 8-puzzle:

 $h_1(n)$ = total number of misplaced tiles

 $h_2(n)$ = total Manhattan distance = Σ distance from goal position



 $h_1(S) = 6$

- Start State Goal State $h_2(S) = 4 + 0 + 3 + 3 + 1 + 0 + 2 + 1 = 14$
- h_1 : every tile must be moved at least once.
- \blacksquare h_2 : each action can only move one tile one step closer to the goal.

Dominance

- if $h_2(n) \ge h_1(n)$ for all *n* (both admissible) then h_2 dominates h_1 and is better for search. So the aim is to make the heuristic h() as large as possible, but without exceeding $h^*()$.
- typical search costs:

14-puzzle	IDS	IDS $= 3,473,941$ node		
	$\mathbf{A}^*(h_1)$	= 539 nodes		
24-puzzle	$\mathbf{A}^*(h_2)$	= 113 nodes		
	IDS	$\approx 54 \times 10^9 \text{ nodes}$		
	$\mathbf{A}^*(h_1)$	= 39,135 nodes		
	$A^*(h_2)$	= 1,641 nodes		

31

- Admissible heuristics can often be derived from the exact solution cost of a simplified or "relaxed" version of the problem.
 (i.e. with some of the constraints weakened or removed)
 - ► If the rules of the 8-puzzle are relaxed so that a tile can move anywhere, then h₁(n) gives the shortest solution.
 - ▶ If the rules are relaxed so that a tile can move to any adjacent square, then $h_2(n)$ gives the shortest solution.

Composite Heuristic Functions

- Let $h_1, h_2, ..., h_m$ be admissible heuristics for a given task.
- Define the composite heuristic

 $h(n) = \max(h_1(n), h_2(n), ..., h_m(n))$

- *h* is admissible
- \blacksquare h dominates $h_1, h_2, ..., h_m$

	UNSW ©Alan Blair, 2013-18		©Alan Blair, 2013-18		UNSW		©Alan Blair, 2013-18
CO	DMP9414/9814/3411 18s1	Informed Search		34	COMP9414/9814/3411 18s1	Informed Search	

Heuristics for Rubik's Cube

- **3**D Manhattan distance, but to be admissible need to divide by 8.
- better to take 3D Manhattan distance for edges only, divided by 4.
- alternatively, max of 3D Manhattan distance for edges and corners, divided by 4 (but the corners slow down the computation without much additional benefit).
- best approach is to pre-compute Pattern Databases which store the minimum number of moves for every combination of the 8 corners, and for two sets of 6 edges.
- \blacksquare to save memory, use IDA^{*}.

"Finding Optimal Solutions to Rubik's Cube using Pattern Databases" (Korf, 1997)

Summary of Informed Search

- Heuristics can be applied to reduce search cost.
- Greedy Search tries to minimize cost from current node *n* to the goal.
- A* combines the advantages of Uniform-Cost Search and Greedy Search.
- A* is complete, optimal and optimally efficient among all optimal search algorithms.
- Memory usage is still a concern for A*. IDA* is a low-memory variant.

UNSW