Virtual Memory II

Learning Outcomes

- An understanding of TLB refill:
  - in general,
  - and as implemented on the R3000
- An understanding of demand-paged virtual memory in depth, including:
  - Locality and working sets
  - Page replacement algorithms
  - Thrashing

TLB Recap

- Fast associative cache of page table entries
  - Part of the microprocessor (hardware)
  - Contains a subset of the page table
  - What happens if required entry for translation is not present (a TLB miss)?

Aside: even if filled by software

- TLB still a hardware-based translator

R3000 TLB Handling

- TLB refill is handled by software
  - An exception handler
  - TLB refill exceptions accessing kuseg are expected to be frequent
  - CPU optimised for handling kuseg TLB refills by having a special exception handler just for TLB refills
### Exception Vectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Address</th>
<th>&quot;segment&quot;</th>
<th>Physical Address</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0x0000 0000</td>
<td>kseg0</td>
<td>0x1000 0000</td>
<td>TLB miss on kuseg reference only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x3000 0000</td>
<td>kseg0</td>
<td>0x1000 0008</td>
<td>All other exceptions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x5000 0100</td>
<td>kseg1</td>
<td>0x1000 0100</td>
<td>Uncaught alternative kuseg TLB miss entry point (used if S&amp; bit BEV set).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x8000 0100</td>
<td>kseg1</td>
<td>0x1000 0108</td>
<td>Special exception vector for kuseg TLB refills.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Special Exception Vector
- Can be optimized for TLB refill only.
  - Does not need to check the exception type.
  - Does not need to save any registers.
- It uses a specialized assembly routine that only uses k0 and k1.
  - Does not check if PTE exists.
- Assumes virtual linear array — see extended OS notes (if interested).
- With careful data structure choice, exception handler can be made very fast.

#### MIPS VM Related Exceptions
- TLB refill
  - Handled via special exception vector.
  - Needs to be very fast.
- Others handled by the general exception vector
  - TLB Mod
    - TLB modify exception, attempt to write to a read-only page.
  - TLB Load
    - Attempt to load from a page with an invalid translation.
  - TLB Store
    - Attempt to store to a page with an invalid translation.
  - TLB Store
    - Attempt to store to a page with an invalid translation.
- Note: these can be slower as they are mostly either caused by an error, or non-resident page.
- We never optimise for errors, and page-loads from disk dominate the fault resolution cost.

#### Amdahl’s Law
- States that overall performance improvement is limited by the fraction of time an enhancement can be used.
- Law of diminishing returns
  - \[
  \text{Speedup} = \frac{\text{Execution Time Without Enhancement}}{\text{Execution Time With Enhancement}}
  \]
  - \( \text{Speedup} = \frac{\text{Time}_{\text{old}}}{\text{Time}_{\text{new}}} \)
  - Fraction in enhanced mode = 0.5 (based on old system)
  - Speedup of enhanced mode = 2

---

**<Intermezzo>**
c0 Registers

- **c0_EPC**
  - The address of where to restart after the exception
- **c0_status**
  - Kernel/User Mode bits, Interrupt control
- **c0_cause**
  - What caused the exception
- **c0_badvaddr**
  - The address of the fault

The TLB and EntryHi,EntryLo

- c0 Registers
  - EntryHi to match page# and ASID
  - EntryLo which contains frame# and protection

Special TLB management Instructions

- **TLBR**
  - TLB read
  - EntryHi and EntryLo are loaded from the entry pointer to by the index register.
- **TLBP**
  - TLB probe
  - Set EntryHi to the entry you wish to match, index register is loaded with the index to the matching entry
- **TLBWR**
  - Write EntryHi and EntryLo to a pseudo-random location in the TLB
- **TLBWI**
  - Write EntryHi and EntryLo to the location in the TLB pointed to by the index register.
Coprocessor 0 registers on a refill exception

c0.EPC ← PC
c0.cause.ExcCode ← TLBL ; if read fault
c0.cause.ExcCode ← TLBS ; if write fault
c0.BadVaddr ← faulting address
c0.EntryHi.VPN ← page number of faulting address
c0.status ← kernel mode, interrupts disabled.
c0.PC ← 0x8000 0000

Outline of TLB miss handling

• Software does:
  – Look up PTE corresponding to the faulting address
  – If found:
    • load c0_EntryLo with translation
    • load TLB using TLBWR instruction
    • return from exception
  – Else, page fault
• The TLB entry (i.e., c0_EntryLo) can be:
  – (theoretically) created on the fly, or
  – stored completely in the right format in page table
    • more efficient

OS/161 Refill Handler

• After switch to kernel stack, it simply calls the common exception handler
  – Stacks all registers
  – Can (and does) call ‘C’ code
  – Unoptimised
  – Goal is ease of kernel programming, not efficiency
• Does not have a page table
  – It uses the 64 TLB entries and then panics when it runs out.
    • Only supports 256K user-level address space

Demand Paging

• With VM, only parts of the program image need to be resident in memory for execution.
• Can transfer presently unused pages to disk
• Reload non-resident pages on demand.
  – Reload is triggered by a page fault
  – Faulting process is blocked and another scheduled
  – When page is resident, faulting process is restarted
  – May require freeing up memory first
    • Replace current resident page
    • How determine replacement “victim”?
    – If victim is unmodified (“clean”) can simply discard it
      • This is reason for maintaining a “dirty” bit in the PT
• Why does demand paging work?
  – Program executes at full speed only when accessing the resident set.
  – TLB misses introduce delays of several microseconds
  – Page faults introduce delays of several milliseconds
  – Why do it?
• Answer
  – Less physical memory required per process
    • Can fit more processes in memory
    • Improved chance of finding a runnable one
  – Principle of locality
Principle of Locality

- An important observation comes from empirical studies of the properties of programs.
  - Programs tend to reuse data and instructions they have used recently.
  - 90/10 rule: “A program spends 90% of its time in 10% of its code”
- We can exploit this locality of references
- An implication of locality is that we can reasonably predict what instructions and data a program will use in the near future based on its accesses in the recent past.

Two different types of locality have been observed:
- Temporal locality: states that recently accessed items are likely to be accessed in the near future.
- Spatial locality: says that items whose addresses are near one another tend to be referenced close together in time.

Locality In A Memory-Reference Pattern

Working Set

- The pages/segments required by an application in a time window ($\Delta$) is called its memory working set.
- Working set is an approximation of a program’s locality
  - If $\Delta$ too small will not encompass entire locality.
  - If $\Delta$ too large will encompass several localities.
  - If $\Delta$ is $\infty$ will encompass entire program.
  - $\Delta$’s size is an application specific tradeoff
- System should keep resident at least a process’s working set
  - Process executes while it remains in its working set
- Working set tends to change gradually
  - Get only a few page/segment faults during a time window
  - Possible (but hard) to make intelligent guesses about which pieces will be needed in the future
    - May be able to pre-fetch pages

Thrashing

- CPU utilisation tends to increase with the degree of multiprogramming
  - Number of processes in system
- Higher degrees of multiprogramming – less memory available per process
- Some process’s working sets may no longer fit in RAM
  - Implies an increasing page fault rate
- Eventually many processes have insufficient memory
  - Can’t always find a runnable process
  - Decreasing CPU utilisation
  - System becomes I/O limited
- This is called thrashing.
Thrashing
• Why does thrashing occur?
   \[ \sum \text{working set sizes} > \text{total physical memory size} \]

Recovery From Thrashing
• In the presence of increasing page fault frequency and decreasing CPU utilisation
  – Suspend a few processes to reduce degree of multiprogramming
  – Resident pages of suspended processes will migrate to backing store
  – More physical memory becomes available
  – Less faults, faster progress for runnable processes
  – Resume suspended processes later when memory pressure eases

What is the difference?
```c
/* reset array */
int array[10000][10000];
int i,j;
for (i = 0; i < 10000; i++) {
    for (j = 0; j < 10000; j++) {
        array[i][j] = 0;
        // array[j][i] = 0 */
    }
}
```

VM Management Policies
• Operation and performance of VM system is dependent on a number of policies:
  – Page table format (may be dictated by hardware)
    • Multi-level
    • Inverted/Hashed
  – Page size (may be dictated by hardware)
  – Fetch Policy
  – Replacement policy
  – Resident set size
    • Minimum allocation
    • Local versus global allocation
  – Page cleaning policy

Page Size
Increasing page size

- Increases internal fragmentation
  • reduces adaptability to working set size
- Decreases number of pages
  • Reduces size of page tables
  • Increases TLB coverage
  • Reduces number of TLB misses
- Increases page fault latency
  • Need to read more from disk before restarting process
- Increases swapping I/O throughput
  • Small I/O are dominated by seek/rotation delays
- Optimal page size is a (work-load dependent) trade-off.
Working Set Size Generally Increases with Increasing Page Size: True/False?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlas</td>
<td>512 words (48-bit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honeywell/Multics</td>
<td>1K words (36-bit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM 370/XA</td>
<td>4K bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC VAX</td>
<td>512 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM AS/400</td>
<td>512 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intel Pentium</td>
<td>4K and 4M bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARM</td>
<td>4K and 64K bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIPS R4000</td>
<td>4K – 16M bytes in powers of 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC Alpha</td>
<td>8K – 4M bytes in powers of 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UltraSPARC</td>
<td>8K – 4M bytes in powers of 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerPC</td>
<td>4K bytes + &quot;blocks&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intel IA-64</td>
<td>4K – 256M bytes in powers of 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page Size

- Multiple page sizes provide flexibility to optimise the use of the TLB
- Example:
  - Large page sizes can be used for code
  - Small page size for thread stacks
- Most operating systems have limited general support to only a single page size
  - Dealing with multiple page sizes is hard!

Fetch Policy

- Determines when a page should be brought into memory
  - *Demand paging* only loads pages in response to page faults
    - Many page faults when a process first starts
  - *Pre-paging* brings in more pages than needed at the moment
    - Pre-fetch when disk is idle
    - Wastes I/O bandwidth if pre-fetched pages aren’t used
    - Especially bad if we eject pages in working set in order to pre-fetch unused pages.
  - Hard to get right in practice.

Replacement Policy

- Which page is chosen to be tossed out?
  - Page removed should be the page least likely to be references in the near future
  - Most policies attempt to predict the future behaviour on the basis of past behaviour
- Constraint: locked frames
  - Kernel code
  - Main kernel data structures
  - I/O buffers
  - Performance-critical user-pages (e.g. for DBMS)
- Frame table has a *pinned* bit/flag
  - Indicates frame should not be considered for replacement
**Optimal Replacement policy**

- Toss the page that won’t be used for the longest time
- Impossible to implement
- Only good as a theoretic reference point:
  - The closer a practical algorithm gets to **optimal**, the better
- **Example:**
  - Reference string: 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
  - Four frames
  - How many page faults?

**FIFO Replacement Policy**

- First-in, first-out: Toss the oldest page
  - Easy to implement
  - Age of a page isn’t necessarily related to usage
- **Example:**
  - Reference string: 1,2,3,4,1,2,5,1,2,3,4,5
  - Four frames

**Least Recently Used (LRU)**

- Toss the least recently used page
  - Assumes that page that has not been referenced for a long time is unlikely to be referenced in the near future
  - Will work if locality holds
  - Implementation requires a time stamp to be kept for each page, updated on **every reference**
  - Impossible to implement efficiently
  - Most practical algorithms are approximations of LRU

**Clock Page Replacement**

- Clock policy, also called **second chance**
  - Employs a usage or reference bit in the frame table.
  - Set to one when page is used
  - While scanning for a victim, reset all the reference bits
  - Toss the first page with a zero reference bit.

---

**Figure 8.16 Example of Clock Policy Operation**

Two different clock policy scenarios are illustrated, each representing a different state of the system. In both images, the numbers around the clock represent different pages, and the arrows indicate the progression of the clock. The images highlight the dynamic nature of the clock policy as it continuously cycles through the pages, with the goal of minimizing page faults by keeping the most recently used pages in memory.
Issue

- How do we know when a page is referenced?
- Use the valid bit in the PTE:
  - When a page is mapped (valid bit set), set the reference bit
  - When resetting the reference bit, invalidate the PTE entry
  - On page fault
    - Turn on valid bit in PTE
    - Turn on reference bit
- We thus simulate a reference bit in software

Simulated Reference Bit

- Uses “spare” bits in page table (ignored by hardware), or bit in frame table
- Page fault on access, fault handler sets Ref. and Valid bit.
- Ref. and valid bit reset by Clock algorithm

Hardware Reference Bit

- Page Accessed
- Ref. bit reset by Clock algorithm

Performance

- In terms of selecting the most appropriate replacement, they rank as follows
  1. Optimal
  2. LRU
  3. Clock
  4. FIFO
- Note there are other algorithms (Working Set, WSclock, Ageing, NFU, NRU)
- We don’t expect you to know them in this course

Resident Set Size

- How many frames should each process have?
  - Fixed Allocation
    - Gives a process a fixed number of pages within which to execute.
    - Isolates process memory usage from each other
    - When a page fault occurs, one of the pages of that process must be replaced.
    - Achieving high utilisation is an issue.
      - Some processes have high fault rate while others don’t use their allocation.
  - Variable Allocation
    - Number of pages allocated to a process varies over the lifetime of the process
**Variable Allocation, Global Scope**

- Easiest to implement
- Adopted by many operating systems
- Operating system keeps global list of free frames
- Free frame is added to resident set of process when a page fault occurs
- If no free frame, replaces one from any process

**Pro/Cons**
- Automatic balancing across system
- Does not provide guarantees for important activities

**Variable Allocation, Local Scope**

- Allocate number of page frames to a new process based on
  - Application type
  - Program request
  - Other criteria (priority)
- When a page fault occurs, select a page from among the resident set of the process that suffers the page fault
- Re-evaluate allocation from time to time!

**Page-Fault Frequency Scheme**

- Establish “acceptable” page-fault rate.
  - If actual rate too low, process loses frame.
  - If actual rate too high, process gains frame.

**Cleaning Policy**

- Observation
  - Clean pages are much cheaper to replace than dirty pages
- Demand cleaning
  - A page is written out only when it has been selected for replacement
  - High latency between the decision to replace and availability of free frame.
- Precleaning
  - Pages are written out in batches (in the background, the paged daemon)
  - Increases likelihood of replacing clean frames
  - Overlap I/O with current activity