Virtual Memory II
Learning Outcomes

• An understanding of TLB refill:
  – in general,
  – and as implemented on the R3000

• An understanding of demand-paged virtual memory in depth, including:
  – Locality and working sets
  – Page replacement algorithms
  – Thrashing
TLB Recap

- Fast associative cache of page table entries
  - Part of the microprocessor (hardware)
  - Contains a subset of the page table
  - What happens if required entry for translation is not present (a *TLB miss*)?
TLB Recap

• TLB may or may not be under OS control
  – Hardware-loaded TLB
    • On miss, hardware performs PT lookup and reloads TLB
    • Example: Pentium, ARM
  – Software-loaded TLB
    • On miss, hardware generates a TLB miss exception, and software exception handler reloads TLB
    • Example: MIPS
Aside: even if filled by software

- TLB still a hardware-based translator
R3000 TLB Handling

- TLB refill is handled by software
  - An exception handler
- TLB refill exceptions accessing kuseg are expected to be frequent
  - CPU optimised for handling kuseg TLB refills by having a special exception handler just for TLB refills
## Exception Vectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program address</th>
<th>“segment”</th>
<th>Physical Address</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0x8000 0000</td>
<td>kseg0</td>
<td>0x0000 0000</td>
<td>TLB miss on kuseg reference only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x8000 0080</td>
<td>kseg0</td>
<td>0x0000 0080</td>
<td>All other exceptions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0xbfc0 0100</td>
<td>kseg1</td>
<td>0x1fc0 0100</td>
<td>Uncached alternative kuseg TLB miss entry point (used if SR bit BEV set).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0xbfc0 0180</td>
<td>kseg1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alternate alternative for all other exceptions, used if SR bit BEV set.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0xbfc0 0000</td>
<td>kseg1</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Special exception”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1. Reset and exception vectors (special vectors) for R30xx family

Special exception vector for kuseg TLB refills
Special Exception Vector

- Can be optimised for TLB refill only
  - Does not need to check the exception type
  - Does not need to save any registers
    - It uses a specialised assembly routine that only uses k0 and k1.
  - Does not check if PTE exists
    - Assumes virtual linear array – see extended OS notes (if interested)

- With careful data structure choice, exception handler can be made very fast

- An example routine
  mfc0 k1,C0_CONTEXT
  mfc0 k0,C0_EPC # mfc0 delay
  # slot
  lw k1,0(k1) # may double fault (k0 = orig EPC)
  # fault (k0 = orig EPC)
  nop
  mtc0 k1,C0_ENTRYLO
  nop
  tlbwr
  jr k0
  rfe
MIPS VM Related Exceptions

• TLB refill
  – Handled via special exception vector
  – Needs to be very fast

• Others handled by the general exception vector
  – TLB Mod
    • TLB modify exception, attempt to write to a read-only page
  – TLB Load
    • Attempt it load from a page with an invalid translation
  – TLB Store
    • Attempt to store to a page with an invalid translation
  – Note: these can be slower as they are mostly either caused by an error, or non-resident page.
    • We never optimise for errors, and page-loads from disk dominate the fault resolution cost.
<Intermezzo>
Amdahl’s law

- States that overall performance improvement is limited by the fraction of time an enhancement can be used.

Law of diminishing returns

\[ \frac{\text{Time}_{\text{old}}}{50} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\text{Time}_{\text{new}}}{50} + \frac{\text{Time}_{\text{new}}}{25} \]

Fraction in enhanced mode = 0.5 (based on old system)

Speedup of enhanced mode = 2
Amdahl’s law

- States that overall performance improvement is limited by the fraction of time an enhancement can be used.

\[ \text{Speedup} = \frac{\text{Execution Time Without Enhancement}}{\text{Execution Time With Enhancement}} \]
</Intermezzo>
c0 Registers

- **c0_EPC**
  - The address of where to restart after the exception
- **c0_status**
  - Kernel/User Mode bits, Interrupt control
- **c0_cause**
  - What caused the exception
- **c0_badvaddr**
  - The address of the fault
The TLB and EntryHi,EntryLo

Each TLB entry contains
- EntryHi to match page# and ASID
- EntryLo which contains frame# and protection

c0 Registers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c0_EntryHi</th>
<th>c0_EntryLo</th>
<th>c0_Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

TLB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EntryHi</th>
<th>EntryLo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EntryHi</td>
<td>EntryLo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EntryHi</td>
<td>EntryLo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EntryHi</td>
<td>EntryLo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EntryHi</td>
<td>EntryLo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EntryHi</td>
<td>EntryLo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Used to read and write individual TLB entries
c0 Registers

- **N** = Not cacheable
- **D** = Dirty = Write protect
- **G** = Global (ignore ASID in lookup)
- **V** = valid bit
- 64 TLB entries
- Accessed via software through Coprocessor 0 registers
  - EntryHi and EntryLo
c0 Index Register

- Used as an index to TLB entries
  - Single TLB entries are manipulated/viewed through EntryHi and EntryLo0 registers
  - Index register specifies which TLB entry to change/view
Special TLB management Instructions

- **TLBR**
  - TLB read
  - EntryHi and EntryLo are loaded from the entry pointer to by the index register.

- **TLBP**
  - TLB probe
  - Set EntryHi to the entry you wish to match, index register is loaded with the index to the matching entry

- **TLBWR**
  - Write EntryHi and EntryLo to a psuedo-random location in the TLB

- **TLBWI**
  - Write EntryHi and EntryLo to the location in the TLB pointed to by the Index register.
Coprocessor 0 registers on a refill exception

c0.EPC ← PC
c0.cause.ExcCode ← TLBL ; if read fault
c0.cause.ExcCode ← TLBS ; if write fault
c0.BadVaddr ← faulting address
c0.EntryHi.VPN ← page number of faulting address
c0.status ← kernel mode, interrupts disabled.
c0.PC ← 0x8000 0000
Outline of TLB miss handling

• Software does:
  – Look up PTE corresponding to the faulting address
  – If found:
    • load c0_EntryLo with translation
    • load TLB using TLBWR instruction
    • return from exception
  – Else, page fault

• The TLB entry (i.e. c0_EntryLo) can be:
  – (theoretically) created on the fly, or
  – stored completely in the right format in page table
    • more efficient
OS/161 Refill Handler

- After switch to kernel stack, it simply calls the common exception handler
  - Stacks all registers
  - Can (and does) call ‘C’ code
  - Unoptimised
  - Goal is ease of kernel programming, not efficiency
- Does not have a page table
  - It uses the 64 TLB entries and then panics when it runs out.
    - Only supports 256K user-level address space
Demand Paging
Demand Paging

• With VM, only parts of the program image need to be resident in memory for execution.
• Can transfer presently unused pages to disk
• Reload non-resident pages on demand.
  – Reload is triggered by a page fault
  – Faulting process is blocked and another scheduled
  – When page is resident, faulting process is restarted
  – May require freeing up memory first
    • Replace current resident page
    • How determine replacement “victim”?
    – If victim is unmodified ("clean") can simply discard it
      • This is reason for maintaining a “dirty” bit in the PT
• Why does demand paging work?
  – Program executes at full speed only when accessing the resident set.
  – TLB misses introduce delays of several microseconds
  – Page faults introduce delays of several milliseconds
  – Why do it?

• Answer
  – Less physical memory required per process
    • Can fit more processes in memory
    • Improved chance of finding a runnable one
  – Principle of locality
Principle of Locality

• An important observation comes from empirical studies of the properties of programs.
  – Programs tend to reuse data and instructions they have used recently.
  – 90/10 rule
    "A program spends 90% of its time in 10% of its code"

• We can exploit this **locality of references**

• An implication of locality is that we can reasonably predict what **instructions** and **data** a program will use in the near future based on its accesses in the recent past.
• **Two different types** of locality have been observed:
  
  – *Temporal locality*: states that recently accessed items are likely to be accessed in the near future.
  
  – *Spatial locality*: says that items whose addresses are near one another tend to be referenced close together in time.
Locality In A Memory-Reference Pattern
Working Set

- The pages/segments required by an application in a time window (Δ) is called its memory working set.
- Working set is an approximation of a programs’ locality
  - if Δ too small will not encompass entire locality.
  - if Δ too large will encompass several localities.
  - if Δ = ∞ ⇒ will encompass entire program.
  - Δ’s size is an application specific tradeoff
- System should keep resident at least a process’s working set
  - Process executes while it remains in its working set
- Working set tends to change gradually
  - Get only a few page/segment faults during a time window
  - Possible (but hard) to make intelligent guesses about which pieces will be needed in the future
    - May be able to pre-fetch pages
Working Set Example
Thrashing

- CPU utilisation tends to increase with the degree of multiprogramming
  - number of processes in system
- Higher degrees of multiprogramming – less memory available per process
- Some process’s working sets may no longer fit in RAM
  - Implies an increasing page fault rate
- Eventually many processes have insufficient memory
  - Can’t always find a runnable process
  - Decreasing CPU utilisation
  - System become I/O limited
- This is called **thrashing**.
Thrashing

- Why does thrashing occur?
  \[ \sum \text{working set sizes} > \text{total physical memory size} \]
Recovery From Thrashing

- In the presence of increasing page fault frequency and decreasing CPU utilisation
  - **Suspend** a few processes to reduce degree of multiprogramming
  - Resident pages of suspended processes will migrate to backing store
  - More physical memory becomes available
    - Less faults, faster progress for runnable processes
  - Resume suspended processes later when memory pressure eases
What is the difference?

/* reset array */
int array[10000][10000];
int i,j;
for (i = 0; i < 10000; i++) {
    for (j = 0; j < 10000; j++) {
        array[i][j] = 0;
        /* array[j][i] = 0 */
    }
}

Array[a][b]

a

b
VM Management Policies
VM Management Policies

• Operation and performance of VM system is dependent on a number of policies:
  – Page table format (may be dictated by hardware)
    • Multi-level
    • Inverted/Hashed
  – Page size (may be dictated by hardware)
  – Fetch Policy
  – Replacement policy
  – Resident set size
    • Minimum allocation
    • Local versus global allocation
  – Page cleaning policy
Page Size

Increasing page size

✗ Increases internal fragmentation
  ▪ reduces adaptability to working set size

✓ Decreases number of pages
  ▪ Reduces size of page tables

✓ Increases TLB coverage
  ▪ Reduces number of TLB misses

✗ Increases page fault latency
  ▪ Need to read more from disk before restarting process

✓ Increases swapping I/O throughput
  ▪ Small I/O are dominated by seek/rotation delays
  ▪ Optimal page size is a (work-load dependent) trade-off.
Working Set Size Generally Increases with Increasing Page Size: True/False?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>Memory Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlas</td>
<td>512 words (48-bit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honeywell/Multics</td>
<td>1K words (36-bit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM 370/XA</td>
<td>4K bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC VAX</td>
<td>512 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM AS/400</td>
<td>512 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intel Pentium</td>
<td>4K and 4M bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARM</td>
<td>4K and 64K bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIPS R4000</td>
<td>4k – 16M bytes in powers of 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC Alpha</td>
<td>8K - 4M bytes in powers of 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UltraSPARC</td>
<td>8K – 4M bytes in powers of 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerPC</td>
<td>4K bytes + “blocks”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intel IA-64</td>
<td>4K – 256M bytes in powers of 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page Size

• Multiple page sizes provide flexibility to optimise the use of the TLB

• Example:
  – Large page sizes can be used for code
  – Small page size for thread stacks

• Most operating systems have limited general support to only a single page size
  – Dealing with multiple page sizes is hard!
Fetch Policy

- Determines *when* a page should be brought into memory
  - *Demand paging* only loads pages in response to page faults
    - Many page faults when a process first starts
  - *Pre-paging* brings in more pages than needed at the moment
    - Pre-fetch when disk is idle
    - Wastes I/O bandwidth if pre-fetched pages aren’t used
    - Especially bad if we eject pages in working set in order to pre-fetch unused pages.
    - Hard to get right in practice.
Page fault on page 14, physical memory full, which page should we evict?
Replacement Policy

• Which page is chosen to be tossed out?
  – Page removed should be the page least likely to be references in the near future
  – Most policies attempt to predict the future behaviour on the basis of past behaviour

• Constraint: locked frames
  – Kernel code
  – Main kernel data structures
  – I/O buffers
  – Performance-critical user-pages (e.g. for DBMS)

• Frame table has a *pinned* bit(flag)
  – Indicates frame should not be considered for replacement
**Optimal Replacement policy**

- Toss the page that won’t be used for the longest time
- Impossible to implement
- Only good as a theoretic reference point:
  - The closer a practical algorithm gets to *optimal*, the better
- Example:
  - Reference string: 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
  - Four frames
  - How many page faults?
FIFO Replacement Policy

• First-in, first-out: Toss the oldest page
  – Easy to implement
  – Age of a page is isn’t necessarily related to usage

• Example:
  – Reference string: 1,2,3,4,1,2,5,1,2,3,4,5
  – Four frames
Least Recently Used (LRU)

- Toss the least recently used page
  - Assumes that page that has not been referenced for a long time is unlikely to be referenced in the near future
  - Will work if locality holds
  - Implementation requires a time stamp to be kept for each page, updated on every reference
  - Impossible to implement efficiently
  - Most practical algorithms are approximations of LRU
Clock Page Replacement

• Clock policy, also called second chance
  – Employs a usage or reference bit in the frame table.
  – Set to one when page is used
  – While scanning for a victim, reset all the reference bits
  – Toss the first page with a zero reference bit.
Figure 8.16  Example of Clock Policy Operation
Figure 8.16  Example of Clock Policy Operation

(a) State of buffer just prior to a page replacement

Assume a page fault on page 727
Figure 8.16  Example of Clock Policy Operation

(b) State of buffer just after the next page replacement
Issue

• How do we know when a page is referenced?
• Use the valid bit in the PTE:
  – When a page is mapped (valid bit set), set the reference bit
  – When resetting the reference bit, invalidate the PTE entry
  – On page fault
    • Turn on valid bit in PTE
    • Turn on reference bit

• We thus simulate a reference bit in software
Simulated Reference Bit

State: Page not referenced

Page fault on access, fault handler sets Ref. and Valid bit.

State: Page referenced

Uses “spare” bits in page table (ignored by hardware), or bit in frame table

Ref. and valid bit reset by Clock algorithm
Hardware Reference Bit

State: Page not referenced

| Frame# | R=0 | W | V=1 |

State: Page referenced

| Frame# | R=1 | W | V=1 |

Ref. bit reset by Clock algorithm

Page Accessed
Performance

- It terms of selecting the most appropriate replacement, they rank as follows
  1. Optimal
  2. LRU
  3. Clock
  4. FIFO

- Note there are other algorithms (Working Set, WSclock, Ageing, NFU, NRU)
- We don’t expect you to know them in this course
Resident Set Size

- How many frames should each process have?
  - Fixed Allocation
    - Gives a process a fixed number of pages within which to execute.
    - Isolates process memory usage from each other
    - When a page fault occurs, one of the pages of that process must be replaced.
    - Achieving high utilisation is an issue.
      - Some processes have high fault rate while others don’t use their allocation.
  - Variable Allocation
    - Number of pages allocated to a process varies over the lifetime of the process
Variable Allocation, Global Scope

- Easiest to implement
- Adopted by many operating systems
- Operating system keeps global list of free frames
- Free frame is added to resident set of process when a page fault occurs
- If no free frame, replaces one from any process

• Pro/Cons
  - Automatic balancing across system
  - Does not provide guarantees for important activities
Variable Allocation, Local Scope

• Allocate number of page frames to a new process based on
  – Application type
  – Program request
  – Other criteria (priority)

• When a page fault occurs, select a page from among the resident set of the process that suffers the page fault

• *Re-evaluate allocation from time to time!*
Page-Fault Frequency Scheme

- Establish “acceptable” page-fault rate.
  - If actual rate too low, process loses frame.
  - If actual rate too high, process gains frame.
Cleaning Policy

• Observation
  – Clean pages are much cheaper to replace than dirty pages

• Demand cleaning
  – A page is written out only when it has been selected for replacement
  – High latency between the decision to replace and availability of free frame.

• Precleaning
  – Pages are written out in batches (in the background, the *pagedaemon*)
  – Increases likelihood of replacing clean frames
  – Overlap I/O with current activity