Virtual Memory II
TLB Recap

• Fast associative cache of page table entries
  – Contains a subset of the page table
  – What happens if required entry for translation is not present (a *TLB miss*)?
TLB Recap

- TLB may or may not be under OS control
  - Hardware-loaded TLB
    - On miss, hardware performs PT lookup and reloads TLB
    - Example: Pentium
  - Software-loaded TLB
    - On miss, hardware generates a TLB miss exception, and exception handler reloads TLB
    - Example: MIPS
Aside: even if filled by software

- TLB still a hardware-based translator
R3000 TLB Handling

• TLB refill is handled by software
  – An exception handler

• TLB refill exceptions accessing kuseg are expected to be frequent
  – CPU optimised for handling kuseg TLB refills by having a special exception handler just for TLB refills
## Exception Vectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program address</th>
<th>“segment”</th>
<th>Physical Address</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0x8000 0000</td>
<td>kseg0</td>
<td>0x0000 0000</td>
<td>TLB miss on kuseg reference only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x8000 0080</td>
<td>kseg0</td>
<td>0x0000 0080</td>
<td>All other exceptions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0xbfc0 0100</td>
<td>kseg1</td>
<td>0x1fc0 0100</td>
<td>Uncached alternative kuseg TLB miss entry point (used if SR bit BEV set).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0xbfc0 0180</td>
<td>kseg1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alternative for all other exceptions, used if SR bit BEV set.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0xbfc0 0000</td>
<td>kseg1</td>
<td></td>
<td>“bad segment exception”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1. Reset and exception vectors (special vectors) for R30xx family

Special exception vector for kuseg TLB refills
Special Exception Vector

- Can be optimised for TLB refill only
  - Does not need to check the exception type
  - Does not need to save any registers
    - It uses a specialised assembly routine that only uses k0 and k1.
  - Does not check if PTE exists
    - Assumes virtual linear array – see extended OS notes

- With careful data structure choice, exception handler can be made very fast

- An example routine
  mfc0 k1,C0_CONTEXT
  mfc0 k0,C0_EPC # mfc0 delay
  lw k1,0(k1) # may double
  # slot
  # fault (k0 = orig EPC)
  nop
  mtc0 k1,C0_ENTRYLO
  nop
  tlbwr
  jr k0
  rfe
MIPS VM Related Exceptions

- TLB refill
  - Handled via special exception vector
  - Needs to be very fast
- Others handled by the general exception vector
  - TLB Mod
    - TLB modify exception, attempt to write to a read-only page
  - TLB Load
    - Attempt to load from a page with an invalid translation
  - TLB Store
    - Attempt to store to a page with an invalid translation
  - Note: these can be slower as they are mostly either caused by an error, or non-resident page.
    - We never optimise for errors, and page-loads from disk dominate the fault resolution cost.
<Intermezzo>
Amdahl’s law

• States that overall performance improvement is limited by the fraction of time an enhancement can be used.

Law of diminishing returns

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
\text{Time}_{\text{old}} & 50 & 50 & \Rightarrow \\
\text{Time}_{\text{new}} & 50 & 25
\end{array}
\]

fraction in enhanced mode = 0.5 (based on old system)

Speedup of enhanced mode = 2
Amdahl’s law

- States that overall performance improvement is limited by the fraction of time an enhancement can be used.
</Intermezzo>
c0 Registers

- **c0_EPC**
  - The address of where to restart after the exception
- **c0_status**
  - Kernel/User Mode bits, Interrupt control
- **c0_cause**
  - What caused the exception
- **c0_badvaddr**
  - The address of the fault
The TLB and EntryHi, EntryLo

Each TLB entry contains
- EntryHi to match page# and ASID
- EntryLo which contains frame# and protection

Used to read and write individual TLB entries

c0 Registers

- c0_EntryHi
- c0_EntryLo
- c0_Index

TLB
### c0 Registers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>31</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VPN</td>
<td>ASID</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EntryHi Register (TLB key fields)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>31</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFN</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EntryLo Register (TLB data fields)**

- **N** = Not cacheable
- **D** = Dirty = Write protect
- **G** = Global (ignore ASID in lookup)
- **V** = valid bit
- 64 TLB entries
- Accessed via software through Cooprocessor 0 registers
  - EntryHi and EntryLo
c0 Index Register

• Used as an index to TLB entries
  – Single TLB entries are manipulated/viewed through EntryHi and EntryLo0
  – Index register specifies which TLB entry to change/view
Special TLB management
Instructions

- **TLBR**
  - TLB read
    - EntryHi and EntryLo are loaded from the entry pointer to by the index register.

- **TLBP**
  - TLB probe
    - Set EntryHi to the entry you wish to match, index register is loaded with the index to the matching entry

- **TLBWR**
  - Write EntryHi and EntryLo to a psuedo-random location in the TLB

- **TLBWl**
  - Write EntryHi and EntryLo to the location in the TLB pointed to by the Index register.
Cooprocessor 0 registers on a refill exception

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{c0.EPC} & \leftarrow \text{PC} \\
\text{c0.cause.ExcCode} & \leftarrow \text{TLBL} ; \text{if read fault} \\
\text{c0.cause.ExcCode} & \leftarrow \text{TLBS} ; \text{if write fault} \\
\text{c0.BadVaddr} & \leftarrow \text{faulting address} \\
\text{c0.EntryHi.VPN} & \leftarrow \text{faulting address} \\
\text{c0.status} & \leftarrow \text{kernel mode, interrupts disabled.} \\
\text{c0.PC} & \leftarrow 0x8000\ 0000
\end{align*}
\]
Outline of TLB miss handling

• Software does:
  – Look up PTE corresponding to the faulting address
  – If found:
    • load c0_EntryLo with translation
    • load TLB using TLBWR instructions
    • return from exception
  – Else, page fault
• The TLB entry (i.e. c0_EntryLo) can be:
  – created on the fly, or
  – stored completely in the right format in page table
    • more efficient
OS/161 Refill Handler

• After switch to kernel stack, it simply calls the common exception handler
  – Stacks all registers
  – Can (and does) call ‘C’ code
  – Unoptimised
  – Goal is ease of kernel programming, not efficiency

• Does not have a page table
  – It uses the 64 TLB entries and then panics when it runs out.
    • Only support 256K user-level address space
Demand
Paging/Segmentation
Demand Paging/Segmentation

- With VM, only parts of the program image need to be resident in memory for execution.
- Can transfer presently unused pages/segments to disk
- Reload non-resident pages/segment on demand.
  - Reload is triggered by a page or segment fault
  - Faulting process is blocked and another scheduled
  - When page/segment is resident, faulting process is restarted
  - May require freeing up memory first
    - Replace current resident page/segment
    - How determine replacement “victim”?
      - If victim is unmodified (“clean”) can simply discard it
    - This is reason for maintaining a “dirty” bit in the PT
• Why does demand paging/segmentation work?
  – Program executes at full speed only when accessing the resident set.
  – TLB misses introduce delays of several microseconds
  – Page/segment faults introduce delays of several milliseconds
  – Why do it?

• Answer
  – Less physical memory required per process
    • Can fit more processes in memory
    • Improved chance of finding a runnable one
  – Principle of locality
Principle of Locality

• An important observation comes from empirical studies of the properties of programs.
  – Programs tend to reuse data and instructions they have used recently.
  – 90/10 rule
    "A program spends 90% of its time in 10% of its code"

• We can exploit this locality of references

• An implication of locality is that we can reasonably predict what instructions and data a program will use in the near future based on its accesses in the recent past.
• **Two different types** of locality have been observed:
  
  – *Temporal* locality: states that recently accessed items are likely to be accessed in the near future.
  
  – *Spatial* locality: says that items whose addresses are near one another tend to be referenced close together in time.
Locality In A Memory-Reference Pattern
Working Set

• The pages/segments required by an application in a time window (Δ) is called its memory working set.

• Working set is an approximation of a programs’ locality
  – if Δ too small will not encompass entire locality.
  – if Δ too large will encompass several localities.
  – if Δ = ∞ ⇒ will encompass entire program.
  – Δ’s size is an application specific tradeoff

• System should keep resident at least a process’s working set
  – Process executes while it remains in its working set

• Working set tends to change gradually
  • Get only a few page/segment faults during a time window
  • Possible to make intelligent guesses about which pieces will be needed in the future
    – May be able to pre-fetch page/segments
Working Set Model
Thrashing

- CPU utilisation tends to increase with the degree of multiprogramming
  - number of processes in system
- Higher degrees of multiprogramming – less memory available per process
- Some process’s working sets may no longer fit in RAM
  - Implies an increasing page fault rate
- Eventually many processes have insufficient memory
  - Can’t always find a runnable process
  - Decreasing CPU utilisation
  - System become I/O limited
- This is called **thrashing**.
Thrashing

- Why does thrashing occur?
  \[ \sum \text{working set sizes} > \text{total physical memory size} \]
Recovery From Thrashing

• In the presence of increasing page fault frequency and decreasing CPU utilisation
  – Suspend a few processes to reduce degree of multiprogramming
  – Resident pages of suspended processes will migrate to backing store
  – More physical memory becomes available
    • Less faults, faster progress for runnable processes
  – Resume suspended processes later when memory pressure eases
Quiz

- how does an IPT work?
  - what is good about it?
  - what is bad about it?
- what is the TLB?
  - what happens on a context switch?
- what is a working set?
- what is thrashing?
What is the difference?

/* reset array */
int array[10000][10000];
int i, j;
for (i = 0; i < 10000; i++) {
    for (j = 0; j < 10000; j++) {
        array[i][j] = 0;
        /* array[j][i] = 0 */
    }
}

Array[a][b]
VM Management Policies
VM Management Policies

• Operation and performance of VM system is dependent on a number of policies:
  – Page table format (may be dictated by hardware)
    • Multi-level
    • Hashed
  – Page size (may be dictated by hardware)
  – Fetch Policy
  – Replacement policy
  – Resident set size
    • Minimum allocation
    • Local versus global allocation
  – Page cleaning policy
  – Degree of multiprogramming
Page Size

Increasing page size
× Increases internal fragmentation
  ▪ reduces adaptability to working set size
✓ Decreases number of pages
  ▪ Reduces size of page tables
✓ Increases TLB coverage
  ▪ Reduces number of TLB misses
× Increases page fault latency
  ▪ Need to read more from disk before restarting process
✓ Increases swapping I/O throughput
  ▪ Small I/O are dominated by seek/rotation delays

▪ Optimal page size is a (work-load dependent) trade-off.
Working Set Size Generally Increases with Increasing Page Size: True/False?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processor</th>
<th>Memory Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlas</td>
<td>512 words (48-bit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honeywell/Multics</td>
<td>1K words (36-bit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM 370/XA</td>
<td>4K bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC VAX</td>
<td>512 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM AS/400</td>
<td>512 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intel Pentium</td>
<td>4K and 4M bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARM</td>
<td>4K and 64K bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIPS R4000</td>
<td>4k – 16M bytes in powers of 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC Alpha</td>
<td>8K - 4M bytes in powers of 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UltraSPARC</td>
<td>8K – 4M bytes in powers of 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PowerPC</td>
<td>4K bytes + “blocks”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intel IA-64</td>
<td>4K – 256M bytes in powers of 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page Size

- Multiple page sizes provide flexibility to optimise the use of the TLB
- Example:
  - Large page sizes can be use for code
  - Small page size for thread stacks
- Most operating systems support only a single page size
  - Dealing with multiple page sizes is hard!
Fetch Policy

- Determines *when* a page should be brought into memory
  - *Demand paging* only loads pages in response to page faults
    - Many page faults when a process first starts
  - *Pre-paging* brings in more pages than needed at the moment
    - Improves I/O performance by reading in larger chunks
    - Pre-fetch when disk is idle
    - Wastes I/O bandwidth if pre-fetched pages aren’t used
    - Especially bad if we eject pages in working set in order to pre-fetch unused pages.
    - Hard to get right in practice.
Page fault on page 14, physical memory full, which page should we evict?
Replacement Policy

- Which page is chosen to be tossed out?
  - Page removed should be the page least likely to be references in the near future
  - Most policies attempt to predict the future behaviour on the basis of past behaviour

- Constraint: locked frames
  - Kernel code
  - Main kernel data structure
  - I/O buffers
  - Performance-critical user-pages (e.g. for DBMS)

- Frame table has a lock bit
Optimal Replacement policy

- Toss the page that won’t be used for the longest time
- Impossible to implement
- Only good as a theoretic reference point:
  - The closer a practical algorithm gets to optimal, the better
- Example:
  - Reference string: 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
  - Four frames
  - How many page faults?
FIFO Replacement Policy

• First-in, first-out: Toss the oldest page
  – Easy to implement
  – Age of a page is isn’t necessarily related to usage

• Example:
  – Reference string: 1,2,3,4,1,2,5,1,2,3,4,5
  – Four frames
  – How many page faults?
  – Three frames?
**FIFO Replacement Policy**

- First-in, first-out: Toss the oldest page
  - Easy to implement
  - Age of a page is isn’t necessarily related to usage
- Example:
  - Reference string: 1,2,3,4,1,2,5,1,2,3,4,5
  - Four frames
  - How many page faults?
  - Three frames?
Belady’s Anomaly

• For FIFO, more frames does not imply fewer page faults
Least Recently Used (LRU)

• Toss the least recently used page
  – Assumes that page that has not been referenced for a long time is unlikely to be referenced in the near future
  – Will work if locality holds
  – Implementation requires a time stamp to be kept for each page, updated on every reference
  – Impossible to implement efficiently
  – Most practical algorithms are approximations of LRU
Clock Page Replacement

• Clock policy, also called second chance
  – Employs a usage or reference bit in the frame table.
  – Set to one when page is used
  – While scanning for a victim, reset all the reference bits
  – Toss the first page with a zero reference bit.
(a) State of buffer just prior to a page replacement

Figure 8.16 Example of Clock Policy Operation
Figure 8.16  Example of Clock Policy Operation

Assume a page fault on page 727

(a) State of buffer just prior to a page replacement
(b) State of buffer just after the next page replacement

Figure 8.16   Example of Clock Policy Operation
Issue

• How do we know when a page is referenced?

• Use the valid bit in the PTE:
  – When a page is mapped (valid bit set), set the reference bit
  – When resetting the reference bit, invalidate the PTE entry
  – On page fault
    • Turn on valid bit in PTE
    • Turn on reference bit

• We thus simulate a reference bit in software
Simulating a Reference Bit
Performance

- It terms of selecting the most appropriate replacement, they rank as follows
  1. Optimal
  2. LRU
  3. Clock
  4. FIFO

- Note there are other algorithms (Working Set, WSclock, Ageing, NFU, NRU)
- We don’t expect you to know them in this course
Resident Set Size

• How many frames should each process have?
  – *Fixed Allocation*
    • Gives a process a fixed number of pages within which to execute.
    • When a page fault occurs, one of the pages of that process must be replaced.
    • Achieving high utilisation is an issue.
      – Some processes have high fault rate while others don’t use their allocation.
  – *Variable Allocation*
    • Number of pages allocated to a process varies over the lifetime of the process
Variable Allocation, Global Scope

- Easiest to implement
- Adopted by many operating systems
- Operating system keeps global list of free frames
- Free frame is added to resident set of process when a page fault occurs
- If no free frame, replaces one from any process

**Pro/Cons**
- Automatic balancing across system
- Does not provide guarantees for important activities
Variable Allocation, Local Scope

• Allocate number of page frames to a new process based on
  – Application type
  – Program request
  – Other criteria (priority)

• When a page fault occurs, select a page from among the resident set of the process that suffers the page fault

• *Re-evaluate allocation from time to time!*
Page-Fault Frequency Scheme

- Establish “acceptable” page-fault rate.
  - If actual rate too low, process loses frame.
  - If actual rate too high, process gains frame.
Cleaning Policy

• Observation
  – Clean pages are much cheaper to replace than dirty pages

• Demand cleaning
  – A page is written out only when it has been selected for replacement
  – High latency between the decision to replace and availability of free frame.

• Precleaning
  – Pages are written out in batches (in the background, the pagedaemon)
  – Increases likelihood of replacing clean frames
  – Overlap I/O with current activity
Load Control (Degree of multiprogramming)

- Determines the number of runnable processes
- Controlled by:
  - Admission control
    - Only let new process’s threads enter ready state if enough memory is available
  - Suspension:
    - Move all threads of some process into a special suspended state
    - Swap complete process image of suspended process to disk
- Trade-off
  - Too many processes will lead to thrashing
  - Too few will lead to idle CPU
Load Control Considerations

- Can use page fault frequency.